# FAYETTEVILLE CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING MINUTES CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER JUNE 10, 2013

#### 7:00 P.M.

Present: Mayor Anthony G. Chavonne

Council Members Keith Bates, Sr. (District 1); Kady-Ann Davy (District 2); Robert A. Massey, Jr. (District 3); Darrell J. Haire (District 4); Bobby Hurst (District 5); William J. L. Crisp (District 6); Valencia A. Applewhite (District 7); Wade Fowler (District 8) (via telephone); James W. Arp, Jr. (District 9)

Others Present:

Theodore Voorhees, City Manager Kristoff Bauer, Deputy City Manager Rochelle Small-Toney, Deputy City Manager Dele Lowman Smith, Assistant City Manager Karen McDonald, City Attorney Harold Medlock, Police Chief Lisa Smith, Chief Financial Officer Tracey Broyles, Budget Manager Rusty Thompson, Engineering and Infrastructure Director Scott Shuford, Development Services Director Victor Sharpe, Community Development Director Karen Hilton, Planning and Zoning Division Manager Craig Harmon, Planner II David Nash, Planner II Lee Jernigan, Traffic Engineer Greg Caison, Stormwater Manager Jami McLaughlin, Downtown Manager Pamela Megill, City Clerk Members of the Press

### 1.0 CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Chavonne called the meeting to order.

### 2.0 INVOCATION

The invocation was offered by Pastor Dwayne Smith of Lighthouse Baptist Church.

# 3.0 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance to the American Flag was led by Boy Scout Troop 748, chartered by Camp Ground United Methodist Church.

### 4.0 APPROVAL OF AGENDA

### MOTION: Council Member Bates moved to approve the agenda.

### SECOND: Council Member Fowler

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

# ANNOUNCEMENTS AND RECOGNITIONS

A Certificate of Excellence was presented to Ms. Savanna Pulver, a ten year old student at New Century Elementary School and winner of the Public Speaking contest held in Union County at Wingate University.

Ms. Kellie Perkins, an art teacher with Terry Sanford High School, presented a power point presentation on the making of a mural by some of her students entitled "Homage to Fayetteville:

Past, Present and Future", depicting Fayetteville's continuing and growing heritage. She stated the mural was on display in City Hall.

Council Member Hurst presented Ms. Victoria Huggins, Miss Fayetteville 2013, and Ms. Emili McPhail, Miss Fayetteville's Outstanding Teen, each with a City coin and recognized both young ladies for being marvelous ambassadors for the City.

Mayor Chavonne presented a Proclamation to Mr. Jerry Dietzen, Environmental Services Director, proclaiming June 17, 2013, to be "Garbage Man Day".

Mr. Roger Beasley, Treasurer of the North Carolina Chapter of the American Planning Association, presented the "Great Place in North Carolina - Hay Street" award to Mayor Chavonne.

# 5.0 PUBLIC FORUM

Ms. Barbara Marshall, 7640 Wilkins Drive, Fayetteville, NC 28311, requested additional time for submitting a grant application in reference to a property slated for demolition at 869 Brewer Street.

# 6.0 CONSENT

MOTION: Council Member Applewhite moved to approve the consent agenda with the exception of Item 6.1 which was pulled for discussion and separate vote.

SECOND: Council Member Haire

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

6.1 Pulled for a separate vote by Council Member Applewhite.

6.2 Amendment to City Code Chapter 24, Article III, Driveways.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE AMENDING CHAPTER 24, ARTICLE III, DRIVEWAYS, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA. ORDINANCE NO. S2013-010.

6.3 Budget Ordinance Amendment 2013-15 (General Fund, Emergency Telephone System Fund and Transit Operating Fund); related Capital Project Ordinance Amendments 2013-34 (Building Maintenance), 2013-35 (Sidewalks), 2013-36 (Parking Lot Improvements), and 2013-37 (Festival Park Plaza); and Special Revenue Fund Project Ordinance Amendment 2013-8 (HOME Program).

Amendment 2013-15 increased total General Fund appropriations by \$380,000.00 across several departments, Emergency Telephone System Fund appropriations by \$25,000.00, and Transit Operating Fund appropriations by \$150,000.00. These budget amendments were based upon year-end projections developed with the budget process and include additional adjustments to ensure sufficient funding for unexpected expenditures through the fiscal year-end.

Amendments 2013-34, 2013-35, 2016-36, and 2013-37 allowed the building maintenance and renovation, sidewalk, and parking lot projects to move forward consistent with funding needs communicated with the year-end budget projections and the capital improvement plan update. Amendment 2013-8 for the HOME Investment Partnership Program was consistent with funding needs communicated with year-end budget projections and the Community Development Action Plan.

# 6.4 PWC financial matters:

The following PWC financial matters were approved:

A. Electric, Water/Wastewater, and Fleet Maintenance Internal Service Fund Budget Amendment #2: The current adopted

budget as amended for Fiscal Year 2013 was \$350,502,525.00 and the Amendment #2 decrease was \$8,004,700.00.

This brought the proposed Fiscal Year 2013 budget to \$342,497,825.00.

B. Capital Project Fund Budget Amendments:

1. Electric Rate Stabilization Fund Amendment #16 decreasing the loan advance to the General Fund for Fiscal Year

2013 and increasing expected interest income.

2. Electric Rate Stabilization Fund Amendment #17 to transfer to and from the Electric Fund the budgeted amount for

Fiscal Year 2014 and providing for Interest Income for Fiscal Year 2014. Budget effective July 1, 2013.

3. Water and Wastewater Rate Stabilization Fund Amendment #10 to transfer from the Water and Wastewater fund the

budgeted amount for Fiscal Year 2014 and providing for Interest Income for Fiscal Year 2014. Budget effective July 1, 2013.

4. Annexation Phase V Reserve Fund Amendment #8 reflected expected activity for Fiscal Year 2013.

5. Annexation Phase V Reserve Fund Amendment #9 reflected expected activity for Fiscal Year 2014. Budget effective July 1, 2013.

6. 2012 WTF Clearwell and Chemical Feed Improvements State Revolving Loan CPF Amendment #1 to increase

anticipated construction cost of the project.

# 6.5 Rescission of gross receipts tax payment to PWC.

On May 22, 2013, PWC considered and approved an agreement to rescind the agreement between the City and PWC dated June 10, 2009. The agreement entitled "Agreement Between The City of Fayetteville and The Public Works Commission of the City of Fayetteville to Define Distribution of Gross Receipts Tax Revenues from the State of North Carolina for Electricity Sales Within the City Of Fayetteville" was effective in conjunction with the Power Supply Contract dated July 1, 2012.

# 6.6 Revised Capital Project Ordinance Amendment 2013-28 (Storm Water Drainage Improvements).

The amendment replaced the amendment approved by Council on May 13, 2013, which expanded the scope of the original storm water improvements project ordinance to include all capital expenditures necessary for the maintenance and upkeep of the City's storm water drainage system. The amendment also appropriated the necessary funds to purchase a camera device for inspecting and recording storm water drain pipe conditions. Due to a clerical error, the amendment had not reflected a partial closeout that was approved by Council on January 28, 2013, which reduced the storm water capital project.

# 6.7 Special Revenue Fund Project Ordinance 2013-10 (2013 Badges for Baseball Program).

The ordinance appropriated \$23,950.00 for the 2013 Badges for Baseball Program.

# 6.8 Special Revenue Fund Project Ordinance 2013-11 (2012 Badges for Baseball Program Grant #2).

The ordinance appropriated \$4,624.00 for the 2012 Badges for Baseball Program Grant No. 2.

# 6.9 Special Revenue Fund Project Ordinance Closeouts 2013-8 through 2013-13 (Community Development Block Grant and HOME Projects.

Annually the City closes out several projects that are completed in previous fiscal years and no longer active. Various Community Development Block Grant Fund and HOME Investment Partnership projects and activities funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development were completed in a previous fiscal year and the revenues and expenditures related to the projects were audited.

# 6.10 Tax refunds greater than \$100.00.

| Name                   | Year      | Basis                | City Refund     |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Baxley, Thomas E., Sr. | 2009-2011 | Corrected Assessment | <u>\$104.30</u> |
| Total                  |           |                      | <u>\$104.30</u> |

# 6.1 Adoption of resolution advocating for passage of special legislation to allow the City of Fayetteville to confidentially disclose limited personnel information to the members of the Citizen Review Board to facilitate its review of police disciplinary cases.

This item was pulled by Council Member Applewhite. She stated along with certain staff members and the City Manager she had met with the members of the Police Benevolent Association (PBA) on June 6, 2013, to discuss supporting the passage of legislation to allow the City of Fayetteville to confidentially disclose limited personnel information to members of a Citizen Review Board. She stated as a result of that meeting she was making the following motion:

MOTION: Council Member Applewhite moved to table this item for a period not to exceed 90 days in order to allow the PBA time to identify areas to agree and compromise

with staff.

SECOND: Council Member Crisp

VOTE: FAILED by a vote of 3 in favor to 7 in opposition (Council Members Chavonne, Arp, Davy, Bates, Massey, Fowler, and Hurst)

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE TO SUPPORT AND ADVOCATE FOR PASSAGE OF SPECIAL LEGISLATION TO ALLOW THE CITY TO CONFIDENTIALLY DISCLOSE LIMITED PERSONNEL INFORMATION TO MEMBERS OF A CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD. RESOLUTION NO. R2013-027.

MOTION: Council Member Bates moved to approve the Resolution advocating for passage of special legislation to allow the City of Fayetteville to confidentially disclose limited personnel information to the members of the Citizen Review Board to facilitate its review of police disciplinary cases.

SECOND: Council Member Fowler

VOTE: PASSED by a vote of 8 in favor to 2 in opposition (Council Members Applewhite and Crisp)

# 7.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS

7.1 Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Budget public hearing, Budget Ordinance and Fee Schedule, Fiscal Year 2014-2018 Capital Improvement and Information Technology Plans, Capital Project Ordinances 2014-1 through 2014-10, and Capital Project Ordinance Amendments 2014-1 through 2014-16.

Mr. Theodore Voorhees, City Manager, presented this item with the aid of a power point presentation. He reviewed the following changes that had been made to the original recommended budget:

- General tax rate set at 46.6 cents per \$100.00 value
- Removed \$1 million each in one-time funding for street resurfacing and the community investment initiative
- Eliminated the storm water and solid waste fee increases
- Eliminated \$499,600.00 payment to PWC from the electric franchise taxes
- Reduced purchasing payment to PWC by \$157,204.00
- Added \$85,000.00 payment from PWC for government access channel
- Reduced payments for the General Fund, Solid Waste Fund, and Risk Management Fund to PWC Fleet Maintenance Internal Service Fund by \$483,250.00
- Added new initiatives (Transit system initiatives, desktop support specialist, Development Services vehicle, study circles and records management)

This is the advertised public hearing set for this date and time. The public hearing was opened. Mr. Jerry Reinoehl, 516 Deerpath Drive, Fayetteville, NC 28311, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget pertaining to the tax increase.

Mr. Paul Williams, 2539 S. Edgewater Drive, Fayetteville, NC 28303, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget and stated additional police officers should be funded by the City without a tax increase as safety was their number one priority.

Ms. Annette Wilson-Renteria, 7130 Ashwood Circle, NC 28303, stated she was also representing her neighbors and requested that the interest on Phase V Project 7 assessments be removed.

Mr. David Wilson, 6326 Morganton Road, Fayetteville, NC, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget and stated the City was being run in an unprofessional manner.

Mr. J. B. Amaker, 6839 Bryanstone Way, Fayetteville, NC, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget and stated military personnel being assigned to Fort Bragg were choosing to reside in surrounding communities other than Fayetteville.

Mr. L. A. Barner, 337 Rhew Street, Fayetteville, NC, appeared in opposition of the recommended budget and was against any new taxes or fees.

Mr. Jose' Cardona, 233 Addison Street, Fayetteville, NC, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget and suggested the City should utilize funds from PWC.

Ms. Fay Lockmay, 2820 Strickland Bridge Road, NC 28306, appeared in opposition to the recommended budget and recommended use of funding from PWC rather than a tax increase. There being no one further to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Mayor Chavonne announced the Council would discuss the recommended Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Budget on June 19, 2013, and would take action on the proposed budget at the June 24, 2013, regularly scheduled meeting.

# 7.2 Amendment to City Code Chapter 30-4.C.4(j)(5) to allow spacing standards for automotive wrecker services to be considered on a case-by-case basis. Requested by Phillips Leasing Systems, LLC, 327 Alexander Street.

Ms. Karen Hilton, Planning and Zoning Division Manager, presented this item and stated automotive wrecker services were allowed as a special use in the CC Community Commercial district and as a permitted use in the LI and HI industrial districts and were subject to the usespecific standards in all three districts. She stated the use-specific standards associated with automotive wrecker services require that the use be at least 250 feet from any residential district, school, or child care center. She stated there were no variances permitted for the standards in Section 30-4.C. She stated a change in the standards was the only realistic way for the applicant to use some of his properties for his wrecker service. She stated if the text amendment were approved, the applicant would need to go through the Special Use Permit process to use properties less than 250 feet from a residential district, school, or child care center. She stated during that process the reviewing bodies could consider specific circumstances, impacts, and possible mitigation and, if appropriate, establish conditions as part of an approval. She stated more flexibility in the separation standards had been approved for a few other uses over the past several months, including automotive painting/body shops; schools in the downtown district; and group homes, plus a new use, transitional housing. She stated the City Council denied a requested change in the separation between bars and child care centers but in all other cases approved allowing consideration of a smaller separation distance through the Special Use Permit. She stated the noise, hours of operation, and appearance were some key operational aspects that could have negative impacts on nearby residential uses; however, wrecker and towing businesses provide important services to both the public and private sectors. She stated the rigid separation standard of 250 feet from any residential zoning district, school, or child care center could prevent use of properly zoned sites whose impacts were minimal because of other separation features or where the impacts could be mitigated effectively. She stated the development ordinance provided seven standards of review for proposed text amendments. She stated the applicant's response was contained on the application form or summarized in the table in the staff report to the Planning Commission. She stated the requested amendment appeared to meet or have no negative findings for all seven standards.

This is the advertised public hearing set for this date and time. There was no one present to speak and the public hearing was opened and closed.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE TO AMEND CHAPTER 30, UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE. ORDINANCE NO. S2013-011. MOTION: Council Member Bates moved to adopt the ordinance.

SECOND: Council Member Haire

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

7.3 Amendment to City Code Chapter 30-5.M, Traffic Impact Analysis, to increase flexibility for the requirement of a traffic impact analysis on development sites. Staff initiated.

Ms. Karen Hilton, Planning and Zoning Division Manager, presented this item with the aid of a power point presentation and stated the proposed amendment would change the existing requirements for Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) based on the amount of trips generated by a development site. She stated existing standards require TIAs for every site that meets a minimum trip generation threshold, regardless of surrounding conditions or information available. She stated the proposed standards would provide flexibility to the TIA trip generation

thresholds and create the ability to waive a TIA when the analysis would not provide additional information necessary to determine roadway mitigation requirements. She stated the revision would provide flexibility so that TIAs were not required for all sites based simply on meeting a minimum trip generation threshold. She stated the change would allow staff to use trip generations as a guide and consider other factors when determining if a TIA was needed for a development site, or what would need to be included in a specific TIA. She stated staff could reduce or better focus the study requirements based on available current information, unusual site conditions, or having a site along a roadway already built to accommodate intense future development. She stated staff could utilize the proposed flexibility to better focus the scope of the TIA and determine the appropriate roadway mitigations for development sites, which would lead to both a more efficient development process and well-functioning corridors.

This is the advertised public hearing set for this date and time. There was no one present to speak and the public hearing was opened and closed.

Mr. Lee Jernigan, Traffic Engineer, stepped up to the podium to engage in a question and answer session.

Council Member Bates expressed concerns that the TIA would not be required as frequently as they were under the current system in place.

Mayor Chavonne inquired if they would lose any tools with the adoption of the ordinance. Mr. Jernigan responded they were not compromising anything and this would provide them additional flexibility.

Council Member Fowler stated that they would not necessarily need a TIA for every development site and stated it all sounded good.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE TO AMEND CHAPTER 30, UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE, TO INCREASE FLEXIBILITY FOR THE REQUIREMENT OF TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS ON DEVELOPMENT SITES. ORDINANCE NO. S2013-012.

MOTION: Council Member Crisp moved to adopt the ordinance.

SECOND: Council Member Davy

### VOTE: PASSED by a vote of 9 in favor to 1 in opposition (Council Member Bates) 7.4 Public hearing and consideration of adoption of revisions to Chapter 23, Article III, Sec. 23-24(3), Stormwater Management Ordinance.

Mr. Greg Caison, Stormwater Manager, presented this item and stated the Stormwater Management Ordinance was originally adopted in 2009 to establish minimum requirements to control the adverse effects of increased stormwater quantity and runoff quality. He stated further changes were adopted in 2012 and earlier this year as City staff and users in the community continued to use the ordinance and identify needed refinements. He stated City staff had become aware of concerns that had been voiced regarding the current impervious area threshold for redevelopment and new construction to existing development above which stormwater controls were required by ordinance. He stated there had been instances where it had been difficult and/or impractical to require controls on projects where only minor additions occured. He stated specific changes were being proposed to make the impervious area threshold easier to apply to redevelopment projects and those with additions to existing development as well as less burdensome to all concerned. He stated currently, the impervious area threshold was 2,000 square feet of new impervious area. He stated it was being proposed that the exemption threshold to provide stormwater management measures be raised to 5,000 square feet of new impervious area to better apply the requirement in these situations. He stated the cumulative impact of the additional impervious area was not thought to be problematic.

This is the advertised public hearing set for this date and time. There was no one present to speak and the public hearing was opened and closed.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE AMENDING CHAPTER 23, ARTICLE III, STORMWATER CONTROL, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, TO ADJUST THE EXEMPTIONS FROM REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION TO EXISTING DEVELOPMENT. ORDINANCE NO. S2013-013.

MOTION: Council Member Fowler moved to adopt the ordinance.

SECOND: Council Member Bates

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

8.0 OTHER ITEMS OF BUSINESS

8.1 Award contract for Resurface Various Streets 2014 - Phase I.

Mayor Chavonne announced this item would be deferred until after the Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Budget was adopted.

8.2 Case No. P13-17F. Initial zoning to SF-6 Single Family Residential or to a more restrictive district for property located on Tammy and Holland Streets. Containing 2.21 acres more or less and being the property of Shaw Area Church of God and Cumberland County.

Mr. Craig Harmon, Planner II, presented this item. Mr. Harmon showed vicinity maps and gave overviews of the current land uses, current zonings, surrounding land uses and zonings, and 2010 Land Use Plan. He stated Shaw Area Church of God petitioned the City for annexation so that it could hook in to PWC's utilities (water service). He stated once the petition was received, staff noticed that the church property was part of a previous residential subdivision involving the four adjacent lots now owned by the County. He stated the staff then contacted the County to see if they were interested in having their properties annexed at the same time, thus enabling the church to meet state requirements and continue with its annexation petition. He stated currently the County's properties were all vacant and if developed in the future, they would need to be annexed as well to hook in to PWC utilities. He stated the County agreed to have their properties annexed at the same time as the church. He stated both the church and County requested that they come into the City under the closest zoning district as they had now. He stated the City's most comparable district would be the SF-6 Single Family District.

Council Member Massey inquired if the Church of God petitioners had been notified of this item coming before Council this evening. Mr. Harmon responded in the affirmative.

MOTION: Council Member Crisp moved to approve the initial zoning to SF-6 Single Family Residential district as presented by staff.

SECOND: Council Member Massey

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

8.3 Consideration of a petition requesting annexation of a noncontiguous area known as property of Shaw Area Church of God and Cumberland County (2 parcels are owned by the Church and 4 parcels are owned by County) (Located on the eastern side of Holland Street and the Southern Side of Tammy Street in Shaw Heights Community).

Mr. David Nash, Planner II, presented this item and stated the request originated on November 16, 2012, when officials from the Shaw Area Church of God submitted an annexation petition for two parcels owned by the church in order to connect the sanctuary building to an existing PWC water line which was in the street adjacent to the building. He explained the church property was in the Fayetteville MIA and therefore the owner was required to submit an annexation petition. He stated the property was not contiguous to the City, but could be annexed as a satellite. He stated there was an existing satellite area located nearby that was annexed on October 24, 1977. He explained the church's two parcels were part of a six-parcel subdivision for residential development and the other four parcels were owned by Cumberland County. He stated in order for any of the parcels to be annexed as a satellite, all six parcels needed to be part of the proposed annexation area pursuant to state law. He stated on March 18, 2013, the Cumberland County Board of Commissioners adopted a resolution waiving any objection to the inclusion of the four County-owned parcels in the proposed annexation area which made it possible for the annexation petition to be processed by the City. He stated at the May 28, 2013, City Council public hearing, the initial zoning and annexation items were tabled so that staff could clarify the exact acreage of the property to be annexed and initially zoned. He stated it was confirmed that the number of acres was 2.21.

AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA [PROPERTY OF SHAW AREA CHURCH OF GOD AND CUMBERLAND COUNTY, TAMMY STREET AT HOLLAND STREET, AREA INCLUDES 6 TAX PARCELS (2 PARCELS OWNED BY CHURCH: 0419-85-2178 AND 0419-85-4126) (4 PARCELS OWNED BY COUNTY: 0419-85-3131, 0419-85-3075, 0419-85-5040, AND 0419-84-4963). ANNEXATION ORDINANCE NO.2013-06-543.

MOTION: Council Member Massey moved to adopt the annexation ordinance consistent with the prior action of zoning to SF-6 with an effective date of June 10, 2013.

SECOND: Council Member Haire

VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

8.4 Uninhabitable structures demolition recommendations.

Mr. Scott Shuford, Development Services Director, presented this item with the aid of a power point presentation and multiple photographs of the properties. He stated staff recommended adoption of the ordinances authorizing demolition of the structures. He reviewed the following demolition recommendations:

#### 869 Brewer Street

Mr. Shuford stated the structure was a vacant residential home that was inspected and condemned as a blighted structure on June 8, 2012. He further stated the owner had not appeared at the hearing and therefore an order to repair or demolish the structure within 60 days was issued. He noted to date there were no repairs to the structure and the utilities were disconnected in May 2007. He further noted within the past 24 months there had been 10 calls for 911 service and 3 code violations with no pending assessments. He advised the low bid for demolition was \$8,675.00.

#### **804 Hillsboro Street**

Mr. Shuford stated the structure was a vacant residential home in a commercial zoning. He further stated the owner attended the hearing and an order to repair or demolish the structure was issued. He noted to date there had been no repairs to the structure and no record of utilities. He further noted within the past 24 months there had been no calls for 911 service and 4 code violations with no pending assessments. He advised the low bid for demolition was \$1,500.00.

### 627 North Street

Mr. Shuford stated the structure was a vacant residential home that was inspected and condemned as a dangerous structure on July 30, 2012. He further stated the owner attended the hearing and an order to repair or demolish the structure within 90 days was issued. He noted to date there had been no repairs to the structure and no record of utilities. He further noted within the past 24 months there had been 2 calls for 911 service and 2 code violations with no pending assessments. He advised the low bid for demolition was \$1,300.00.

### 1528 S. Reilly Road

Mr. Shuford stated the structures were vacant residences consisting of a modular home, a single-wide mobile home, and a block structure. He further stated the owner had not appeared at the hearing. He noted to date there were no repairs to the structures and no record of utilities. He further noted in the past 24 months there had been 3 calls for 911 service and 1 code violation with no pending assessments. He advised the low bid for demolition was \$4,500.00.

### 226 Woodrow Street

Mr. Shuford stated the structure was a residential home that was the subject of a fire in October 2012 and was inspected and condemned as a dangerous structure on March 5, 2013. He further stated a representative of the owner attended the hearing and an order to repair or demolish the structure within 60 days was issued. He noted to date there had been no repairs to the structure. He further noted within the past 24 months there had been 32 calls for 911 service and 9 code violations with no pending assessments. He stated the low bid for demolition was \$2,500.00.

A brief discussion period ensued.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, REQUIRING THE CITY BUILDING INSPECTOR TO CORRECT CONDITIONS WITH RESPECT TO, OR TO DEMOLISH AND REMOVE A STRUCTURE PURSUANT TO THE DWELLINGS AND BUILDINGS MINIMUM STANDARDS CODE OF THE CITY (869 Brewer Street, PIN 0428-96-1515). ORDINANCE NO. NS2013-021.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, REQUIRING THE CITY BUILDING INSPECTOR TO CORRECT CONDITIONS WITH RESPECT TO, OR TO DEMOLISH AND REMOVE A STRUCTURE PURSUANT TO THE DWELLINGS AND BUILDINGS MINIMUM STANDARDS CODE OF THE CITY (804 Hillsboro Street, PIN 0437-49-8817). ORDINANCE NO. NS2013-022.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, REQUIRING THE CITY BUILDING INSPECTOR TO CORRECT CONDITIONS WITH RESPECT TO, OR TO DEMOLISH AND REMOVE A STRUCTURE PURSUANT TO THE DWELLINGS AND BUILDINGS MINIMUM STANDARDS CODE OF THE CITY (627 North Street, PIN 0437-67-9659). ORDINANCE NO. NS2013-023.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, REQUIRING THE CITY BUILDING INSPECTOR TO CORRECT CONDITIONS WITH RESPECT TO, OR TO DEMOLISH AND REMOVE A STRUCTURE PURSUANT TO THE DWELLINGS AND BUILDINGS MINIMUM STANDARDS CODE OF THE CITY (1528 S. Reilly Road, PIN 9497-10-7747). ORDINANCE NO. NS2013-024.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, REQUIRING THE CITY BUILDING INSPECTOR TO CORRECT CONDITIONS WITH RESPECT TO, OR TO DEMOLISH AND REMOVE A STRUCTURE PURSUANT TO THE DWELLINGS AND BUILDINGS MINIMUM STANDARDS CODE OF THE CITY (226 Woodrow Street, PIN 0427-67-3923). ORDINANCE NO. NS2013-025.

MOTION: Council Member Haire moved to adopt the ordinances authorizing demolition of the structures.

SECOND: Council Member Davy

# VOTE: UNANIMOUS (10-0)

### 9.0 ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

| 9.1 Monthly statement of taxes for May 2013. |              |  |  |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| 2012 Taxes                                   | \$277,049.81 |  |  |
| 2012 Vehicle                                 | 441,970.31   |  |  |
| 2012 Taxes Revit                             | 1,022.52     |  |  |
| 2012 Vehicle Revit                           | 926.89       |  |  |
| 2012 FVT                                     | 45,015.34    |  |  |
| 2012 Transit                                 | 45,015.35    |  |  |
| 2012 Storm Water                             | 7,285.21     |  |  |
| 2012 Fay Storm Water                         | 14,570.44    |  |  |
| 2012 Fay Recycle Fee                         | 15,442.75    |  |  |
| 2012 Annex                                   | 0.00         |  |  |
| 2011 Taxes                                   | 4,269.80     |  |  |
| 2011 Vehicle                                 | 9,706.38     |  |  |
| 2011 Taxes Revit                             | 1.00         |  |  |
| 2011 Vehicle Revit                           | 0.00         |  |  |
| 2011 FVT                                     | 1,719.46     |  |  |
| 2011 Transit                                 | 1,719.46     |  |  |
| 2011 Storm Water                             |              |  |  |
| 2011 Fay Storm Water                         | 194.83       |  |  |
| 2011 Fay Recycle Fee                         | 270.47       |  |  |
| 2011 Annex                                   | 0.00         |  |  |

| 2010 Taxes                          | 072 47 |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| 2010 Vehicle                        |        |  |  |
| 2010 Taxes Revit                    | ,      |  |  |
| 2010 Vehicle Revit                  |        |  |  |
| 2010 FVT                            |        |  |  |
| 2010 Transit                        |        |  |  |
| 2010 Storm Water                    |        |  |  |
| 2010 Fay Storm Water                |        |  |  |
| 2010 Fay Recycle Fee                |        |  |  |
| 2010 Annex                          |        |  |  |
| 2009 Taxes                          |        |  |  |
| 2009 Vehicle                        |        |  |  |
| 2009 Venicle                        |        |  |  |
| 2009 Vehicle Revit                  |        |  |  |
| 2009 FVT                            |        |  |  |
| 2009 Transit                        |        |  |  |
| 2009 Storm Water                    |        |  |  |
| 2009 Storm Water                    |        |  |  |
| 2009 Fay Recycle                    |        |  |  |
| 2009 Pay Recycle                    |        |  |  |
| 2009 Annex<br>2008 and Prior Taxes  |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Taxes                |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Taxes Revit          |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Taxes Revit          |        |  |  |
|                                     |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior FVT                  |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Transit              |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Storm Water          |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Fay Storm Water      |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Fay Recycle Fee      |        |  |  |
| 2008 and Prior Annex                |        |  |  |
| Interest                            |        |  |  |
| Revit Interest                      |        |  |  |
| Storm Water Interest                |        |  |  |
| Fay Storm Water Interest            |        |  |  |
| Annex Interest                      |        |  |  |
| Fay Recycle Interest                |        |  |  |
| Fay Transit Interest                |        |  |  |
| Total Tax and Interest \$901,672.81 |        |  |  |
| 10.0 ADJOURNMENT                    |        |  |  |

#### **10.0 ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:43 p.m.