

Audit Committee Meeting January 23, 2020 @ 3:00pm 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor – St Avold Room 433 Hay Street, Fayetteville, NC 28301

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of Agenda
- 3. Approval of Meeting Minutes
- 4. Internal Audit Activities (Presented by Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director):
  - a. Police Department Confidential Funds Audit (A2020-03)
  - b. Status of Current Internal Audit Projects
- 5. Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report
- 6. Adjournment

#### Attachments:

- a) Draft Meeting Minutes November 25, 2019
- b) Police Department Confidential Funds Audit Report A2020-03
- c) Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter FYE20

433 Hay Street Fayetteville, NC 28301-5537 (910) 433-1672 | (910) 433-1680 Fax www.cityoffayetteville.org

## AUDIT COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES LAFAYETTE CONFERENCE ROOM, 1<sup>st</sup> FLOOR CITY HALL, 433 HAY STREET, FAYETTEVILLE MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25<sup>th</sup>, 2019 9:30 A.M.

**Committee Members Present:** Council Member Johnny Dawkins (Acting Chairman)

Council Member Larry Wright

Ms. Evelyn Shaw, PWC Commissioner Dr. Pamela Jackson, FSU Provost

Ms. Amy Samperton, FTCC Director of Procurement & Equipment

**Committee Members Absent:** Council Member Bill Crisp

Others Present: Mr. Robert Bittner, III

**Staff Present:** Ms. Karen McDonald, City Attorney

Dr. Telly Whitfield, Assistant City Manager

Ms. Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director

Mr. Jay Toland, Chief Financial Officer

Ms. Rebecca Jackson, City Manager's Office, Strategic Performance Analytics

Ms. Kimberly Toon, Purchasing Manager Ms. Jody Picarella, Accounting Manager

Mr. Ray Oxendine, Treasurer

Ms. Kristin Roberts, Senior Administrative Assistant

#### 1.0 Call to Order

Council Member Dawkins called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m.

#### 2.0 Approval of the Agenda

MOTION: Ms. Evelyn Shaw moved to approve the agenda.

**SECOND:** Dr. Pamela Jackson VOTE: UNANIMOUS (5-0)

#### 3.0 Approval of the Minutes

MOTION: Ms. Samperton moved to approve the minutes from October 24th, 2019

SECOND: Ms. Evelyn Shaw VOTE: UNANIMOUS (5-0)

# 4.0 Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and Audit Results (Presented by Robert E. Bittner III, CPA, MBA, RSM US LLP)

Mr. Bittner explained the components of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, as well as the types of opinions that can be earned. This year the City earned an unmodified opinion, which is the cleanest acceptance that can be awarded. Mr. Bittner also went over the General Fund Revenues and compared them to previous years, along with the city's tax and property tax rates and how those compared with peer cities. Mr. Bittner explained that our primary general fund

expenditure was on Public Safety and our secondary expenditure was on Administrative expenses. Mr. Bittner reviewed the General Fund Balance, noting that it has been slowly increasing over the years. He explained the main categories within the General Fund Balance, and compared available fund balance with expenditures. Mr. Bittner ended his presentation by discussing compliance results for the year ended, and noted that there were no disagreements with City management regarding inner control over fraud, waste, and abuse.

### 4.01 Motion to Accept Audit

MOTION: Council Member Wright moved to accept the audit

SECOND: Ms. Amy Samperton VOTE: UNANIMOUS (5-0)

### 5.0 Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:03 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,	
KRISTIN ROBERTS Sr. Administrative Assistant	COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNNY DAWKINS Acting Chairman
10242019	Acting Chairman



# Compliance Audit 2020-03 Police Department Confidential Funds

January 2020

**Director of Internal Audit**Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor Rose Rasmussen

Internal Auditor
Amanda Rich



#### **OUR MISSION**

Provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the City of Fayetteville's operations.

# **Director of Internal Audit**

Elizabeth Somerindyke

# **Senior Internal Auditor**

Rose Rasmussen

# Internal Auditor Amanda Rich

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	
Background	1
Findings, Recommendations and Management Responses	
Follow-up Audit Results	3
Conclusion.	

http://fayettevillenc.gov/government/city-departments/internal-audit

Mailing Address: 433 Hay Street, Fayetteville, NC 28301

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of the audit was to evaluate compliance with the organization's policies and procedures; applicable laws, regulations and guidelines, and evaluate internal controls for the Police Department's confidential funds. In addition, the auditors reviewed to determine if sufficient corrective action was taken by management to address recommendations detailed in prior year audit reports.

The Office of Internal Audit reviewed use, security and maintenance of confidential funds for eight of 27 (30%) personnel that utilized and/or maintained confidential funds for the period of January 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019. The review included the accounting records and documents pertaining to confidential funds. In addition, the auditors interviewed Police Department personnel involved in the administration, maintenance and use of the funds, and traced contraband purchased during operations to the Property and Evidence Room.

The Office of Internal Audit concluded the Police Department was generally in compliance with the organization's policies and procedures; applicable laws, regulations and guidelines regarding the Police Department's confidential funds. However, prior findings relating to Operating Procedure 6.2 had not been updated to address a prior year finding to ensure RMS disposal records provided adequate documentation to account for transfers from the Property and Evidence Room to the Narcotics Unit, and Operating Procedure 5.8 had not been updated to address the reporting and review process for confidential funds.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Fayetteville Police Department's Special Investigative Division administers and controls an informant/expenditure cash fund. The units within this Division conducted covert operations and had an original annual budget for fiscal year ending June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020 of \$100,000 for each fiscal year. Allowable uses of this fund were to pay informants, purchase contraband or otherwise maintain and finance undercover or investigative operations approved by the Chief of Police or designee. By using these funds, the units were able to conceal their identity from criminals, vendors and the public.

The Narcotics Unit Lieutenant, within the VICE Investigative Division, is the custodian for the cash fund. The custodian is responsible for the physical safeguarding of the cash in the fund, as well as assuring the money is used for authorized purposes. Separate ledgers are maintained by the Lieutenant and the Sergeants identifying all cash coming into the fund and all cash payments to personnel. All personnel sign a cash payment receipt (Form POL-518 – Receipt of Special Investigation Funds Narcotics Investigation Division) each time funds are spent or received.

#### **AUDIT OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of this audit were to determine if:

- Confidential funds were sufficiently administered in accordance with established laws, regulations, guidelines, policies and procedures;
- Proper internal controls existed and were working as intended to safeguard confidential funds from loss, theft or fraud:
- Expenditures and withdrawals from the funds were properly authorized, approved and recorded;
- Complete and accurate manual records were maintained for all deposits, withdrawals and other transactions affecting the confidential fund accounts;
- To the extent possible, that security provisions for automated records were operating to provide for separation of duties, data integrity and an audit trail; and
- Sufficient corrective actions were taken by management to address the recommendations detailed in prior fiscal year audit reports.

This audit was conducted pursuant to Fayetteville Police Department Operating Procedure 5.8 Confidential Funds and Use of Informants effective December 21, 2018 which states an audit of the confidential funds account will be conducted annually. Additionally, the audit was scheduled to be performed as part of the Office of Internal Audit's approved Annual Audit Plan Fiscal Year 2020.

#### **AUDIT SCOPE**

The scope of the audit included all current practices related to confidential funds. In addition, the audit period covered fund activity from January 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019 for the Fayetteville Police Department's VICE Investigative Division.

#### **AUDIT METHODOLOGY**

To review compliance and determine the adequacy of internal controls, Internal Audit:

- Compared applicable written policies, procedures, laws, regulations and guidelines against actual practices of the Police Department;
- Interviewed Police Department personnel involved in the administration, maintenance and use of confidential funds:
- Reviewed the accounting records and documents pertaining to confidential funds to include Power DMS, and
- Traced contraband seized during operations to the Property and Evidence Room.

Through Power DMS, the Police Department can track and ensure all Police Department personnel have acknowledged receipt of documents, including new and/or updated operating procedures and other relevant documents. Reports from Power DMS were used to verify whether Police Department personnel involved in the administration, maintenance and use of confidential funds had acknowledged receipt of the most recent update to the operating procedure related to confidential funds.

For the period of January 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019, there were 27 personnel that utilized and/or maintained confidential funds. In order to conduct the audit, a sample size of eight personnel (30%) that utilized and/or maintained confidential funds was judgmentally selected to reasonably ensure the sample allowed for diversity within the population.

Below is a chart which summarizes the expenditures audited from the sample:

Audited Expenditures of Confidential Funds <sup>1</sup>	# of Transactions	<u>Amount</u>
Payments to Non-Departmental Personnel	48	\$ 9,633.04
Purchase of Contraband	42	\$ 48,183.44
Special Investigative Expense	1	\$ 20.50
<b>Total Expenditures Audited</b>	91	\$ 57,836.98

<sup>1</sup>Does not include "administrative transfer of funds". These are funds that are transferred from one officer to another and are not expenditures of the funds.

Below is a chart which reflects the fund balances at the time of cash counts:

#### **Summary of Cash on Hand**

Personnel <sup>2</sup>	Amount
Narcotics Lieutenant	\$ 4,000.00
Sergeant 1	1,080.00
Sergeant 2	2,760.00
Sergeant 3	1,340.00
Detective 1	40.00
Detective 2	-
Detective 3	20.00
Detective 4	
Detective 5	1,280.00
Detective 6	20.00
Detective 7	-
Detective 8	230.00
Total	\$10,770.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Names were not used in this report, due to the sensitivity of undercover work.

# FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

Based on the audit work performed, the Office of Internal Audit concluded the Police Department's Special Investigation Division was generally in compliance with the organizations policies and procedures; applicable laws; regulations and guidelines, and adequate internal controls existed for the Police Department's confidential funds. There were no significant exceptions noted.

#### FOLLOW-UP AUDIT RESULTS

Based on the results of follow-up test work, each original finding recommendation will be designated with one of the following four status categories:

Implemented	The finding has been addressed by implementing the original corrective action		
	or an alternative corrective action.		
In Progress	The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete.		
Not Applicable	The recommendation is no longer applicable due to changes in procedures or		
	changes in technology.		
Not Implemented	The recommendation was ignored, there were changes in personnel levels, or		
	management has decided to assume the risk.		

#### **Original Finding 4 (Report A2016-01)**

RMS disposal records did not provide adequate documentation to account for transfers from the Evidence Room to the Narcotics Unit.

City management is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal controls to ensure financial activity is accurately reported and reliable. During the audit of confidential funds (buy money) transferred from the Evidence Room to the Narcotics Unit, the auditors identified \$8,871.00 recorded in the Narcotics Unit financial records. A report was requested from Police Department Evidence Room personnel showing all confidential funds (buy money) released from the Evidence Room to the Narcotics Unit confidential funds custodian from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. Evidence Room personnel presented the auditors with a disposal report titled "Fayetteville Police Department" with disposition dates from January 29,

2010 to May 8, 2015. In addition, the Narcotics Unit provided Internal Audit with two Chain of Custody Signature Forms; dated August 26, 2014 for \$2,156.00 and May 8, 2015 for \$6,715.00. The following observations were noted:

- 1. When comparing the Evidence Room disposal report to the Chain of Custody Signature Forms, there was \$6.00 reflected on the Chain of Custody Signature Form with the disposition date of August 26, 2014 but not on the Evidence Room disposal report. Based on an Internal Audit inquiry, Evidence Room personnel were not able to identify the reason the \$6.00 was not removed from evidence when the barcode was scanned and the funds were transferred to the Narcotics Unit. Therefore, the \$6.00 did not show as disposed in RMS until Internal Audit identified the error and Evidence Room personnel corrected the evidence records.
- 2. Internal Audit noted \$4,000.00 on the Chain of Custody Signature Form with the disposition date of May 8, 2015 but was listed on the Evidence Room disposal report with a disposition date of January 29, 2010. Based on an Internal Audit inquiry, Evidence Room personnel were not able to identify the reason for the inconsistency in the disposed date. Once Internal Audit identified the discrepancy the Evidence Room personnel corrected the evidence records.

Overall, the Police Department Evidence Room personnel did not verify if disposed property was recorded accurately into RMS. Standard operating procedures in place did not incorporate this control. Verifying disposed property within RMS would ensure accurate recording of evidence records for the Police Department. In addition, processes were not in place to ensure confidential funds (buy money) disposed and transferred from Evidence to the Narcotics Unit was being independently reconciled and reviewed.

### **Original Recommendation**

The Police Department personnel should update operating procedures regarding the transfer of confidential funds (buy money) to/from the Evidence Room and RMS. The operating procedures should include management oversight independent of the confidential funds process to perform periodic audits of the transfers to/from the Evidence Room to ensure confidential funds are accounted for and reconcile to the Evidence Room records.

#### FPD Management's Response: Management concurs.

FPD Explanation: The Evidence and Property Management Section is conducting a 100% inventory of currency due to restrictions generated from our antiquated hand written evidence card system used prior to 2011. By conducting this inventory and updating RMS there will no longer be a need to sign the older evidence card when a transfer of funds is conducted. With each individual item receiving a barcode all items will be scanned in the system, signed by an evidence clerk and the person receiving the transfer. A copy will be presented to the individual receiving the transfer. When the item is transferred the Evidence section will ensure that all boxes in RMS have been updated to reflect the transfer is complete.

FPD Solution: FPD will update Operating Procedure 6.2, Evidence and Property Management to identify management oversight independent of the confidential funds process. The Technical Services Unit Supervisor will include an audit sampling of the transfers to/from the Evidence Room to be documented in the Monthly Evidence Room Inspection Report. Training on the revised policies will be provided to all personnel assigned to the Property and Evidence function.

**Responsible Party:** Evidence Lieutenant

**Implementation Date:** February 1, 2016

#### Follow-up Report (A2017-02)

Police Department Operating Procedure 6.2 - Evidence and Property Management was updated effective March 18, 2016 to include procedures for disposal and transfer of confidential funds. Based on Internal

Audit's review of confidential funds cash records, there were no transfers of cash from the Evidence Room to the confidential funds safe during the current audit period. Therefore, Internal Audit was unable to determine if the current practice and the policy update sufficiently addressed this recommendation. However, based on Internal Audit inquiry, the Police Department provided a memorandum proposing a process to require confidential funds to be released from the Evidence Room and be deposited into the City's general fund instead of returning the funds to the Narcotics Lieutenant's confidential funds safe.

#### Follow-up Report (A2018-03)

During the prior audit period, there were no transfers of cash from the Police Department Property and Evidence Room to the Narcotics Lieutenant's confidential funds safe. However, there was one cash reimbursement from the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF). During the 2017 year audit (A2017-02), the Narcotics Lieutenant proposed reimbursement of confidential funds, whether from the Property and Evidence Room or outside agencies to be deposited to the general fund via depositing with the City of Fayetteville Finance Department. Although the operating procedures were not updated with the proposed process, the payment received from ATF was deposited to the general fund as the memorandum proposed.

Based on Internal Audit inquiry, Police Department management continued to design procedures that will provide optimal improvement to the processes, to include overall effectiveness and efficiencies. Additionally, Internal Audit was conducting an audit of the Police Department Property and Evidence Room and changes would not be incorporated into operating procedures until the audit was completed.

#### Follow-up Observation (A2019-01)

The Narcotics Unit received \$5,660 of confidential funds from the Property and Evidence room during the audit period, and Internal Audit reviewed the chain of custody and currency envelopes for the money received. In addition, Operating Procedures 5.8 was updated in December 2018 to provide guidance for treatment of recovered funds.

However, the recommendation for this finding was to update the operating procedures regarding the transfer of confidential funds (buy money) to/from the Evidence Room and RMS. Management's response was that *Operating Procedure 6.2 Evidence and Property Management* would be updated. Based on the January 24, 2019 corrective action plan update to A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management Audit, Operating Procedure 6.2 is currently in draft format and is being updated.

#### **Current Observation (A2020-03)**

Based on Internal Audit inquiry, Police Department management stated: "The Police Department has been working on updating this operating procedure policy and it is currently being reviewed by staff for updating to address this section of the policy. The policy should be finalized and updated by the end of the first quarter of 2020. The Chief will ensure we forward a copy of the policy to the Audit Director upon completion."

#### **Status of Recommendation**

In Progress

#### Original Finding 1 (Report A2018-03)

Documentation of review and timely reporting were lacking.

1.1 – Documentation of review. Operating Procedure 5.8.6 as updated effective March 18, 2016, states: "Detectives will submit their completed Monthly Expenditure Report packet to their immediate supervisor for initial review. After the supervisory review is completed, the supervisor will submit all Monthly Expenditure Report packets to the Narcotics Office Assistant for the initial reconciliation. The Narcotics Office Assistant is responsible for the initial reconciliation of funds for review by the Narcotics Lieutenant. If the Narcotics Office Assistant is not available then the initial reconciliation will be done by the VCU Office Assistant. Completed packets will be forwarded to the Narcotics Lieutenant for initial review and

then to the Major Crimes Division Captain for final reconciliation and review. The Narcotics Lieutenant will include a memorandum documenting significant activity involving confidential funds and will also document the monthly CI log file review and the random check of detective's cash balance on hand completed during the month. The final packet and audit findings will be forwarded to the Division Captain by the Major Crimes Division Captain." Furthermore, "The Division Captain will submit the completed monthly Confidential Funds file to the Bureau Commander each month for review."

While the Monthly Expenditure Report packets appeared to be routed according to policy, there was not always clear documentation of the review. For the fifteen month period covered in the audit, there were 12 months (80%) with no documented review by the Major Crimes Division Captain and 6 months (40%) with no documented review by the Bureau Commander. Internal Audit noted a form titled "CI File Transfer/Review" included in the Monthly Expenditure Report packets for the months of January 2017 through June 2017. However, this form included dates of transfer from one individual to another but did not include signatures representing the review had been performed.

Currently, operating procedures require a review of the completed monthly Confidential Funds file by management, but does not require documentation representing the review was performed. Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal controls; errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Without management providing documentation that proper reviews were completed Internal Audit could not reasonably ensure the reports were reviewed and accountability had been established.

1.2 – Timely reporting. The performance of ensuring the Monthly Expenditure Reports were prepared timely, which would allow for timely detection of errors and appropriate corrective action, is an effective internal control.

Internal Audit noted the Monthly Expenditure Reports were not submitted timely. Using the date of the memorandum prepared by the Narcotics Unit Lieutenant, Internal Audit determined that an average 53 days elapsed from the end of the month before the Monthly Expenditure Report packets were compiled. In addition, there was an average of 83 days from the end of the month before the Monthly Expenditure Report packets were signed by the Special Investigative Division Captain.

Based on Internal Audit's review, policies and procedures did not address reporting requirements to ensure the Monthly Expenditure Report is completed in a timely manner. Without the Monthly Expenditure Reports being timely submitted, errors may not be detected and addressed in a timely manner.

#### **Original Recommendation**

The Police Department personnel should assess the reporting and review process for confidential funds and take steps to correct any deficiencies in the process to ensure departmental objectives are achieved and departmental responsibilities are met. The process should be well documented in operating procedures so as to set forth requirements and expectations; to ensure consistency and reliability of information; and to provide adherence to applicable policies, laws and regulations.

#### **FPD Management's Response**

We concur. Management is in full agreement with the recommendation.

Departmental personnel will regularly review the process and document during their monthly reconciliation of confidential funds to ensure departmental objectives are achieved and the departmental policies are effective and efficient. Additionally, the Captain over the Narcotics Unit will be responsible for ensuring the process is reviewed and documentation is submitted in a timely manner no later than two months after the monthly reconciliation.

Responsible Party: Captain over Narcotics Unit

Implementation Date: Effective immediately, this process will begin and continue to be prioritized for accuracy and compliance.

#### Follow-up Observation (A2019-01)

During the current audit, Internal Audit reviewed CI File Transfer/Review logs to determine the timeliness of the monthly reconciliations. For the period under review, Internal Audit noted an overall average of 38 days elapsed from the end of the month to the time the Monthly Expenditure Report packets were signed by the Special Investigative Division Captain; whereas, previously the average was 83 days. For 10 (76%) out of 13 months, the reconciliation was completed and filed for storage in the Narcotics Unit in under 60 days as set forth in the management response.

Internal Audit reviewed Operating Procedure 5.8 and determined the procedures had not been updated to provide guidance for timeliness of this process. Based on the October 25, 2018 corrective action plan, the Police Department is still researching industry 'best practices' in considering a policy change that would specify an exact timeframe for confidential fund reconciliation reports. However, the Police Department did not recommend specifying a timeframe due to the possibility of unforeseen delays which would result in a potential violation of the policy. Therefore, although, it appeared the review process was timely, the operating procedures had not been updated as stated in the recommendation for this finding.

### **Current Observation (A2020-03)**

Based on Internal Audit inquiry, Police Department management stated: "The Police Department has updated the process over the past year and has also worked to address updating this operating procedure policy. The department is currently staffing the policy for the monthly reconciliation of the Confidential Funds. The policy should be finalized and updated by the end of the first quarter of 2020. The Chief will ensure we forward a copy of the policy to the Audit Director upon completion."

#### **Status of Recommendation**

In Progress

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based on audit work, Internal Audit determined the Police Department generally adhered to the organization's policies and procedures; applicable laws, regulations and guidelines during the period January 1, 2019 through October 31, 2019. The Police Department's VICE Investigative Division have continually reviewed and updated policies and procedures, which contributed to stronger controls and a general adherence to policies and procedures over confidential funds. However, Operating Procedures 6.2 and 5.8 should be updated to address prior year audit findings.

<u>Signature on File</u> Elizabeth H. Somerindyke Director of Internal Audit <u>Signature on File</u> Rose Rasmussen Senior Internal Auditor

Signature on File Amanda Rich Internal Auditor

Distribution:

Audit Committee Douglas J. Hewett, City Manager Gina V. Hawkins, Chief of Police



#### **MEMORANDUM**

January 23, 2020

TO: Audit Committee Members

FROM: Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director

RE: Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

The attached report provides members of the Audit Committee with an update on the progress of management's implementation of recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Departmental management updates will be provided quarterly at each regularly scheduled Audit Committee Meeting.

The short summary of the progress updates is provided to allow a quick assessment for all recommendations. The attached report represents updates given by management on the progress made to implement Internal Audit's recommendations. Except as otherwise noted, no assessment on the progress of the recommendations has been performed by the Office of Internal Audit.

We welcome any questions, suggestions or recommendations for improving this report to enhance your ability to monitor the effective implementation of recommendations.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

This information will not be presented. However, we encourage Committee Members to prepare questions and comments on this report prior to the Audit Committee Meeting for discussion with departmental staff at the meeting. Staff from the Development Services; Parks, Recreation and Maintenance; Public Services; Finance; Fire and Police Departments have been requested to attend.

433 Hay Street Fayetteville, NC 28301-5537 (910) 433-1672 | (910) 433-1680 Fax www.cityoffayetteville.org

	<u>Recommendations</u> Partially			Not		
<u>Audit Title</u>	Date Released	Issued	Accepted	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented
Permitting and Inspections A2016-02	October 2016	35	35	35	0	0
Contract Practices and Procedures A2016-06	October 2017	3	3	3	0	0
Evidence and Property Management A2018-01	June 2018	37	36	35	0	1
Performances Measures A2018-04	January 2019	4	4	4	0	0
PRM Nonresident Fees A2016-05	January 2019	7	7	6	0	1
WEX Fuel Cards A2019-05	May 2019					
Finance Department		3	3	3	0	0
Fire Department		18	18	18	0	0
Police Department		21	21	21	0	0
Code Violation Enforcement and Collections A2019-06	August 2019	7	7	0	3	4
Residential Solid Waste Fees A2019-04	October 2019	5	5	0	1	4

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			

Workflow processes will be mapped Permitting and Inspections **Implemented** management should perform a selfand application-specific permitting procedures will be identified and assessment of internal controls. Findings #1 and #2 are Once risk areas are identified, steps considered by management to be placed in a checklist format that will the capstone of the internal audit should be taken to correct control be included in a manual of standard reconciliation program, as these deficiencies SO departmental operating procedures. Weekly objectives are achieved and two findings culminate the testing by the Building Official, Inspection Supervisors, and the departmental responsibilities are requirements for internal Senior Administrative Assistant will policies/procedures, and the Identifying risks and implementing control procedures be conducted and documented to periodic self-assessment. identify any risk areas and to correct will not protect assets and produce reliable information if personnel control deficiencies. **Implementation Date:** Follow-up are not following established training will be provided in areas 10/1/2018 procedures. To ensure that controls where control problems are identified. are effective, Permitting and Inspections management should regularly review available As it relates to the deficiencies that documentation to confirm controls address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a are being executed as designed. All project assessment to evaluate the documentation should be reviewed and signed off on by a supervisor to current state of Cityworks and make ensure completeness and accuracy. recommendations on whether to In addition, the self-assessment of continue implementation refinement efforts or seek another internal controls should be performed periodically to address PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already additional control deficiencies as identified as a part of Permitting and they arise. *Inspections* **Information** and

#### **Implemented**

Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment.

# **Implementation Date:** 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
2	Written policies for the Permitting and Inspections Department should be developed to set forth requirements; to ensure consistency and reliability of information; provide adherence to laws and regulations, and include provisions for performance measure collection, calculation, review and reporting. The procedures should be updated and include sufficient information to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Policies and procedures should be revised to account for any changes in business processes. This is particularly important when new	Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Building Official; Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017  A comprehensive review of the existing Standard Operating Procedures for both the Permitting and Inspections divisions is currently underway because of major adjustments to procedures and work flows resulting from a substantial effort to simplify procedures and to more fully implement Cityworks, including the scheduling and online permit application functions. Upon completion of the review and revisions, each division's procedural manuals will include step-by-step instructions and resources in order for existing and new staff to effectively perform their daily	Implemented  Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	systems are developed and implemented or other organizational changes occur.	functions. This effort will take some time as it will require coordination with two vendors, in addition to multiple departments. Similarly, departmental policies will be developed in conjunction with this effort to govern issues identified in this Compliance Audit in Recommendations 1, 3 7, 9, 16, 20, 22, 26, 29, 31 and 32.  The ultimate plan will be to expand this initiative to the interdepartmental level, with policies and procedures in place in order to provide consistent and positive customer service that is seamless across departmental lines. This will be pursued after the development of department policies and procedures and is not considered a direct response to this Audit.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections)  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
3	Permitting and Inspections management should take specific measures to comply with records retention rules as governed by North Carolina General Statutes, North Carolina State Building Code; North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources Records Retention and Disposition Schedule, Fayetteville City Code,	A departmental policy has been drafted to provide clear guidance to all staff members with regard to relevant records retention matters. Documentation of records retention will be consistent with State law and City policy and will be managed by the Senior Administrative Assistant. Permission to utilize digital records as the primary method of retaining	Implemented  Our current operating practices have been revised and a department-specific records policy has been developed.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  Our current operating practices have been revised and a department-specific records policy has been developed.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-0	2 Permitting and Inspections			
	and City of Fayetteville Policies.  Procedures should be outlined for retaining all supporting documentation and where the documentation will be kept taking into account records retention rules. Cityworks electronic files should be updated to include all available documentation not yet attached to a permit file within the system.	documents for building permit applications, building permits, construction plans, and associated correspondence will be sought from the NC Division of Cultural Affairs. Assuming permission is granted, hardcopy applications, plans, and correspondence will be retained in Permitting and Inspections Department files until testing confirms the security and accessibility of digital records in the Cityworks system and/or the records retention dates are exceeded.  If permission is not granted by the NC Division of Cultural Affairs for digital records retention, hardcopy files will be retained in Permitting and Inspections Department files or in remote file storage in accordance with departmental policy.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
4	To ensure compliance with the Fayetteville City Code, senior management should consider reorganizing the structure of the Permitting and Inspection and the Planning Services and Code Enforcement Departments so the	continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017  The NC Building Code must be interpreted by someone certified to perform such interpretations, but this training may not qualify the individual to manage the enforcement of City codes regarding code enforcement and zoning. We	Implemented  As of 8/31/2017, this recommendation has been fully implemented.	Implemented  As of 8/31/2017, this recommendation has been fully implemented.
	Permitting and Inspections Director oversees all matters related to interpretation and enforcement of North Carolina State Building Code, to include (if applicable) zoning, building plan review,	believe it is imperative that the management of these related		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	permits, inspections and code enforcement, as provided in the Fayetteville City Code.	proposed by Internal Audit due to the complex nature of the various laws and codes. Once a determination is made regarding reorganization, the PCE Director will take responsibility for amending the City Code as needed to reflect the organizational structure as necessary.		
		As of November 15, 2016, departmental personnel will coordinate all NC Building Codes through the City's Building Official. A review of the City's entire development review process will be conducted on the organizational structure and an implementation of the recommendation is anticipated to be completed in early 2017 with the FY18 budget.		
		<b>Responsible Party</b> : City Manager <b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		
5	Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure compliance with the Fayetteville	The City Code provides for a requirement that is no longer generally needed. Small-scale	Implemented	Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	•	J P	•	•
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-62(a)(1) Permits Required, by requiring a bond be posted at the time of demolition permit application. Additionally, the City Code should be updated to define the amount of the bond, whereas; currently the amount is defined as "good and sufficient".  However, if Permitting and	demolitions are currently managed through contracts that require the contractor to carry liability insurance sufficient to cover any claims that result. We will propose revising the City Code to delete the bonding requirements except in unusual circumstances, such as where the structure to be demolished shares a common wall with another structure or for larger projects that go through the formal bid process.	Our internal processes and ordinances have been and are currently being modified to reflect the chance in the code of ordinances.  To limit the individual discretion to the maximum limit as possible, an internal policy has been developed to provide guidance on when bonds (in general) may be required.	Our internal processes and ordinances have been and are currently being modified to reflect the chance in the code of ordinances.  To limit the individual discretion to the maximum limit as possible, an internal policy has being developed to provide guidance on when bonds (in general) may be required.
	Inspections management determine bonding requirements for demolition permits are not required as provided in the Fayetteville City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-62(a)(1) Permits Required, then the Fayetteville City Code should be updated to reflect current requirements.	Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director  Implementation Date: 4/30/2017		
6	Internal Audit recommends the Permitting and Inspections Department work with the Information Technology Department to develop and	While report creation is part of the Information Technology Department's top priorities for Cityworks "fixes," locking out the report is a customization that will	Implemented  The process-related component of this finding has been revised	Implemented  The process-related component of this finding has been revised

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

discontinued.

	140t Implementeu	Tartiany implemented	Implemented	1 ast implementation bate
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	1			
	implement a process to ensure certificates of	require additional funding to complete. Information Technology	with the marking of all invalid permits.	with the marking of all invalid permits.
	occupancy/compliance are not	has completed the process of	permits.	permits.
	issued prior to all inspections being	watermarking the reports in question	The procedural component has	The procedural component has
	documented as finalized.	with a watermark that says	been implemented by	been implemented by
	Permitting and Inspections	INVALID if the report is printed	development of a policy on how	development of a policy on how
	management should also streamline		staff is to mitigate permits that	
	and automate documentation for	payments, or documents are	have expired or are invalid.	have expired or are invalid.
	certificate of occupancy and	completed.		
	certificate of compliance and encourage appropriate utilization of	As it relates to the deficiencies that		
	automated resources to promote	address the Cityworks PLL software,		
	efficiency and accountability in the	the City Manager has authorized a		
	inspection approval process for	project assessment to evaluate the		
	temporary and final certificates of			
	occupancy and certificates of	recommendations on whether to		
	compliance.	continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another		
		PLL solution. Until the assessment		
		is completed, only issues already		
		identified as a part of Permitting and		
		Inspections and Information		
		Technology's project priority list		
		will be completed. All other efforts		
		to refine Cityworks will be		

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
7	The Permitting and Inspections Department should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and the North Carolina State Building Code and create formal procedures for the certificate of compliance and certificate of occupancy process.	Responsible Party: Information Technology Information Manager  Implementation Date: 11/30/2016 (workaround) TBD ultimate resolution  Management has reached out to the Supervisor of the Code Inspections Section of the Department of Insurance for clarification on this finding. Section 204.8 Certificate of Compliance of the Administration Code gives a guideline for issuing Certificates of Compliance and Certificates of Occupancy. The Inspections Department is meeting all requirements for the issuance of Certificate of Compliance for Electrical, Mechanical, and Plumbing by issuing a final sticker notice that is placed at the jobsite. We also meet the requirements for the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the Building trade. The referenced General Statute was written in 1993 whereas the	Implemented  While this recommendation was implemented by verification with the North Carolina Department of Insurance, the recent organizational change has led us to re-examine the issuance of C/O's and other types of occupancy allowances.  Internal policies have been developed and re-designed to incorporate the revised development process and system.  Completion Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  While this recommendation was implemented by verification with the North Carolina Department of Insurance, the recent organizational change has led us to re-examine the issuance of C/O's and other types of occupancy allowances.  Internal policies have been developed and re-designed to incorporate the revised development process and system.  Completion Date: 10/1/2018
		referenced code sections are updated every three years.		

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official		
8	Update enforcement actions within Fayetteville City Code to ensure contractors comply with the North Carolina State Building Code.	Implementation Date: 10/5/2016  Management will recommend to the City Council that the City Code be revised to eliminate this section since privilege licenses are no longer required. The Inspections Department uses Section 204.10 Stop Work Orders of the Administration Code to ensure the contractors comply with the Building Code.  Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director  Implementation Date: 4/30/2017	Implemented  This recommendation was implemented on 5/8/17.	Implemented  This recommendation was implemented on 5/8/17.
9	Testing performed by Internal Audit in Cityworks revealed deficiencies, whereas, there were areas where Internal Audit was not able to determine compliance with laws and regulations. Therefore, Permitting and Inspections management should consider having a specialized audit of the Cityworks software to ensure the	While a number of the aspects of this finding have been addressed, the Permitting and Inspections Department will seek assistance from the Information Technology department in order to fulfill this recommendation in its totality. In particular, Information Technology will work with all PLL user areas and Internal Audit Staff to ensure	Implemented  All of the sub-findings within this finding have been resolved, to include 9-3 as reflected below  9-3: Cityworks and Timmons Group were on-site on June 24th, 2019 to discuss with Internal Audit, Information	Implemented  All of the sub-findings within this finding have been resolved, to include 9-3 as reflected below  9-3: Cityworks and Timmons Group were on-site on June 24th, 2019 to discuss with Internal Audit, Information

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				

deficiencies revealed in Cityworks are remedied and will provide an adequate level of control, ensure processes are put in place to address controls in which Cityworks is unable to perform, and the software is utilized to its maximum efficiency.

The Office of Internal Audit Permitting recommends and Inspections management review the permitting and inspections process to determine key personnel who will have the ability to override the Cityworks system setup by adding, modifying and deleting fees, inspections and permits within Cityworks. Prior to developing and implementing a process related to access controls, Inspections Permitting and should management assess Cityworks setup related to Permitting and Inspection fees and inspection workflows to ensure consistency with current practice while taking compliance to North

that the necessary controls and permissions are in place.

As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and *Inspections* and *Information* Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.

Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections); PCE Director (for code changes); Information Technology Director; Assistant and Deputy City Manager

Technology and Development Services the implementation of the findings presented in the Permitting and Inspections Audit report, dated October 2016. The below outlines the status of implementing the inspector scheduling feature within Cityworks:

- The City's Information Technology Department in conjunction with Timmons Group is working through completing the implementation of the online scheduling using the Timmons Group portal;
- Cityworks offered new applications (Workload and Respond) to improve the scheduling function, whereas, the scheduling function through Cityworks is not being upgraded;
- The Permitting and Inspection Division (P&I) continue with the workaround allowing timely

Technology and Development Services the implementation of the findings presented in the Permitting and Inspections Audit report, dated October 2016. The below outlines the status of implementing the inspector scheduling feature within Cityworks:

- The City's Information Technology Department in conjunction with Timmons Group is working through completing the implementation of the online scheduling using the Timmons Group portal;
- Cityworks offered new applications (Workload and Respond) to improve the scheduling function, whereas, the scheduling function through Cityworks is not being upgraded;
- The Permitting and Inspection Division (P&I) continue with the workaround allowing timely

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	Carolina General Statutes, the North Carolina Building Code and the Fayetteville City Code into consideration. Alignment of the required processes with the setup in Cityworks should mean that overriding Cityworks setup by adding, modifying and deleting is an exception and not the rule.  Permitting and Inspections management should ensure Permitting and Inspections personnel read and understand the City of Fayetteville Policy # 114 Information Technology Appropriate Usage, and stress the importance of not allowing others to use their access, and protecting all passwords. In addition, written policies and procedures should be documented on how accesses will be requested, who will approve the access and how access will be removed when it's no longer	Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	inspections as submitted in the April 25, 2019 response. P&I acknowledges the resolution of CityWorks issues are not within their authority as an end-user of the product. IT continues to work on developing an actionable scheduling function. P&I is active in this effort and is not dependent upon this function of CityWorks. P&I worked with IT to further develop the workarounds to CityWorks by establishing more phone call service for scheduling. Management accepts the limitations of the existing CityWorks programs, has found ways to not be hampered by the delayed rollout, and expresses appreciation to the IT personnel working to resolve the issues.	inspections as submitted in the April 25, 2019 response. P&I acknowledges the resolution of CityWorks issues are not within their authority as an end-user of the product. IT continues to work on developing an actionable scheduling function. P&I is active in this effort and is not dependent upon this function of CityWorks. P&I worked with IT to further develop the workarounds to CityWorks by establishing more phone call service for scheduling. Management accepts the limitations of the existing CityWorks programs, has found ways to not be hampered by the delayed rollout, and expresses appreciation to the IT personnel working to resolve the issues.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
			Revised Implementation Date: 1/30/2019	Revised Implementation Date: 1/30/2019
10	Internal Audit recommends a work quality review program be developed and an adequate number of appropriate quality reviews of all permits and inspections be conducted in a timely manner. Documented results should be maintained and utilized as measures of effectiveness during performance evaluations.	The Senior Administrative Assistant will collect samples of work of a variety of permits issued by the Permitting Technicians on a quarterly basis. The reviews will be to ensure that the Permit Technicians are applying the requested work via the permit application within the generated permit issued by the technicians. The review of fees will also be observed ensuring that fee calculations are correct and applied to the proper revenue account. The Senior Administrative Assistant will also conduct monthly reviews of the cash drawers by randomly choosing dates, and times, to count down cash drawers of Permit Technicians that carry out an open cash drawer. A report of such reviews will be created to serve as backup for future auditing purposes.  The Building Official has adjusted Inspections Supervisors workloads to allow for field-checking for work	Our Work Quality Review Policy has been expanded to encompass the recent organizational change and will tie together other related items such as Training, Work Quality Review, and Performance Measurement.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Our Work Quality Review Policy has been expanded to encompass the recent organizational change and will tie together other related items such as Training, Work Quality Review, and Performance Measurement.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-0	2 Permitting and Inspections			
		performed by subordinate inspectors. Until Cityworks can be configured to track and report on these field-checks, the Building Official will instruct the Inspections Supervisors to document the inspections which have been checked in a spreadsheet format. Additionally, Inspections Supervisors are providing one-hour weekly training sessions for subordinate personnel (non-inspector personnel also attend these sessions; see management response to Recommendation 13.)		
		As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (Permitting); Building Official (Inspections)		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 11/30/2017		
11	The Permitting and Inspections Department should establish measurable and achievable performance goals and service standards. Permitting and Inspections management should establish formal processes to collect performance information and provide adequate training to ensure accurate input of the data used to quantify each performance measure. Once appropriate performance information is available it should be used to better inform management for decision- making and should also enable the Permitting and Inspections	The Building Official is working with Information Technology's project manager and our Cityworks vendors to develop an accurate and efficient system for gathering reporting information. This information may require adjustment to ensure that accurate, obtainable, and reliable information is measured and that this information represents appropriate performance measurement and service standards. Once these reports are installed in Cityworks, we will be able to analyze workload efficiency and effectiveness performance measures to utilize in management and	Implemented  The department has created a policy to define what our data is, how we track our data, and how we use the data for performance measurement.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  The department has created a policy to define what our data is, how we track our data, and how we use the data for performance measurement.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	Department to better manage its operations and determine the appropriate balance between service level and resources.	reporting. The Strategy and Performance Analytics Office will be utilized as a resource moving forward. This initiative is part of Information Technology's priority project list.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections)		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		
12	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management consult with Information Technology personnel to review the impact on Cityworks regarding this instance and any other changes made by the 2015 update. Any data integrity issues should be reviewed to determine if any data needs 'cleaned' and fix any 'clean up' considered necessary.	This will require a great deal of input and assistance from Information Technology.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: IT Project Manager	Items from the 2015 Cityworks upgrade have been repaired. Additional processes (such as the creation of a test environment and regression testing) have been developed to gauge and minimize the impacts of any future upgrades. A policy is being developed that is specific to Development Services concerning the calculation of fees and the integrity of data (as discussed in finding 11).  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Items from the 2015 Cityworks upgrade have been repaired. Additional processes (such as the creation of a test environment and regression testing) have been developed to gauge and minimize the impacts of any future upgrades. A policy is being developed that is specific to Development Services concerning the calculation of fees and the integrity of data (as discussed in finding 11).  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				

13	While inspector training may be	Training for non-inspector personnel	Implemented	Implemented
	driven by certification	will consist of the following training		
	requirements, non-inspector	types, to be implemented as funding	The department has	The department has
	personnel training needs are not.	and operational considerations	incorporated internal training to	incorporated internal training to
	Conduct a personnel training	allow:	detail training and proficiency	detail training and proficiency
	assessment and develop or provide	Annual training conducted by	expectations.	expectations.
	training opportunities to meet the	the Building Official regarding		_
	needs identified. Permitting and	the administrative requirements	<b>Implementation Date:</b>	<b>Implementation</b> Date:
	Inspections management should	and standards of the North	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
	dedicate the appropriate resources	Carolina Building Code.		
	and time to ensure proper training			
	for department personnel. An	currently participate in the		
	important part of any training	weekly one-hour training of		
	program includes basic product	inspectors by the Inspections		
	knowledge. Each member of the	Supervisors.		
	department should be familiar with	Periodic non-inspector		
	the services offered in order to	personnel "ride-alongs" with		
	competently satisfy customer needs	inspectors to establish		
	by providing accurate information	familiarity with the practical		
	and good customer service.	challenges of construction		
	Training should also include an	inspection from the perspective		
	understanding of the entire	of certified inspectors.		
	permitting and inspections process	• Formal training in the		
	and how activities in each area of	administration of construction		
	the Permitting and Inspections	permitting through the Certified		
	Department affect actions taken in	Permit Technician coursework		
	other areas both within the	developed by the NC		
	department and across other	Department of Insurance.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	departments. In addition, formal training on the Cityworks software program should be instituted to provide familiarity with the system.	<ul> <li>Continuation of prior training in customer service "soft skills" provided by an outside consultant chosen by the Interim Department Director. In the prior training, each staff member was provided an "Inspector Skills" training guide booklet and a study guide questionnaire. Upon completion of the questionnaire, the consultant held employee training of both inspectors and permitting staff on the related materials.</li> <li>Cityworks-specific training in the form of online courses, onsite training, and webinars offered by the software integrator and the software developer.</li> <li>Annual review of relevant City and departmental policies conducted by the Senior Administrative Assistant.</li> <li>Personnel from the State Licensing Board can be</li> </ul>		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		requested to provide periodic training on licensing issues.  • The Building Official is compiling a portfolio of photographs illustrating various inspection types that will be used to help familiarize noninspector personnel with different inspection types.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	<b>Past Implementation Date</b>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
14	Permitting and Inspections management should identify the kinds of reporting information needed in order to adequately track and assess the efficiency of the permitting process. Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management work with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to improve standard reports that can be used on an ongoing basis to ensure the information needed to manage the permitting and inspections processes will be available to those charged with the responsibility.	We will perform a comprehensive review of existing policies and procedures and make the necessary adjustments to comply with the purpose and intent of this audit. Reporting will be a component of this initiative. Reporting is part of the Information Technology Department's priority "fix" list. As modifications to the case types, workflows, and data groups are complete, we will be able to develop the necessary reports for daily and management use.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment	As discussed in Finding #11, the department has developed a policy that defines how the data is defined, how to preserve the integrity of the data, and how to use the data to measure performance.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	As discussed in Finding #11, the department has developed a policy that defines how the data is defined, how to preserve the integrity of the data, and how to use the data to measure performance.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
15	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management collaborate with all departments involved in the City's permitting and inspections process to develop routine customer training sessions to be held at least annually. These sessions should, at a minimum, cover information within the entire permitting and inspections process which cause the most customer confusion, such as re-inspections and frequently asked questions. In addition, any new laws, regulations, and requirements	is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Information Technology Project Manager  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017  We will coordinate with other departments to establish a program of customer training sessions. There are a variety of existing models to choose from in implementing customer training, including webinars, presentations before trade or homebuilders organizations, and online tutorials to help train our customers. Some of the timing for this initiative will depend upon when the Public Portal and plan review software is implemented by Information Technology.	Implemented  The department is currently in deployment of an electronic plan review platform. In development of this platform, we have defined visual workflows that illustrate the development review and permitting process as it relates to the online review and permitting system.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  The department is currently in deployment of an electronic plan review platform. In development of this platform, we have defined visual workflows that illustrate the development review and permitting process as it relates to the online review and permitting system.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	should be included in the training sessions.	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
16	The written policies and procedures recommended in Finding 2 should include practices for closing or otherwise terminating permits that have been abandoned past a certain time threshold as such jobs may	The Information Technology Department is currently working on implementing an automated expiration process for permits that have not received an inspection within six months or that exceed the	Implemented  While the process-related content of this finding has been mitigated, the department has also developed a policy on the	Implemented  While the process-related content of this finding has been mitigated, the department has also developed a policy on the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	require the project to comply with newer, safer building codes and would help protect the public safety. Permitting and Inspections management should continue working with the Information Technology Department and the software developer to implement changes that would update a permit status as it is moved through permitting and inspections processes. Once these changes have been completed and thoroughly tested, the impact on historical information that may occur should be assessed before implementing such changes.	expiration date after issuance of the permit. Until the automation of expiring permits is implemented, the Permit Technicians are able to query a report to manually expire permits, as well as, export an excel report capturing the number of cases that were manually expired per Permit Technician. The Senior Administrative Assistant will draft a written procedure and policies as set forth in the recommendation and for compiling data for performance measuring purposes.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and	issuance and maintenance of open permits.	issuance and maintenance of open permits.

Information

Inspections

and

Technology's project priority list

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
17	Allowing permits to expire should not be an easy method to avoid inspection and circumvent established controls. Permitting and Inspections management should establish controls to ensure failed inspections are followed to conclusion so the permit holder and/or contractor seek and receive final approval of the project.  The Cityworks software should be configured to automatically expire permits based on specific criteria. A risk assessment should be prepared before permits within Cityworks	will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 11/30/2017 Cityworks procedure changes are necessary to effectuate compliance with this finding. Permits that have not had an inspection within 6 months will be automatically expired and the status changed to Closed - Expired. An email will be sent to the applicant 30 days prior to the expiration and then again up on expiration. If a permit has had at least one inspection, the permit expiration will be extended for 12 months in keeping with the NC Building Code. This feature is currently in test and will be moved into production shortly.	Implemented Implemented per last follow-up response.	Implemented Implemented per last follow-up response.
	are automatically expired, whereas, implementing this program could have a significant impact on permits.	Staff will propose revisions to the City Code to ensure compliance with the NC Building Code.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	at some stated interval to resolve expired permits and impose a terminal status of EXPIRED. Some consideration should also be given to sending a notice to the permit holder advising of the expiration of the permit due to lack of activity and giving the permit holder an opportunity to respond.  Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure compliance with the Fayetteville City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-68, Time Limitations on Validity of Permits, by expiring permits 60 days from issuance if the work authorized by the permit has not been commenced or update the Fayetteville City	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: IT Project Manager for permit expiration notices; Planning and Code Enforcement Director for changes to City Code.		
	Code to be consistent with the North Carolina State Building Code requiring the time limitation for a permit to expire as six months after the date of issuance if the work	Implementation Date: 4/30/2017		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	authorized by the permit has not been commenced.			
18	Permitting and Inspection management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to develop controls within Cityworks to verify the correct PIN is present on permit records. Should Cityworks not have this capability, Permitting and Inspections management should develop mitigating controls to ensure the validity of PIN's during the review and approval process for permit applications. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should develop a process for consistent and accurate input of address information and work with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to fully integrate the GIS mapping function within Cityworks. In the interim it may be beneficial to enter information in the "Notes" section of a permit to indicate that the	Cityworks procedure changes are necessary to effectuate compliance with this finding. Permitting and Inspections will require considerable assistance from Information Technology in the testing of Cityworks upgrades.  This was an issue that was discussed during a December meeting and there was no clear resolution because the GIS Data that contains the PIN information is provided by Cumberland County GIS because the Register of Deed and the County GIS use different systems. The update from the Register of Deed to the County GIS is not always as timely as the city would like it. City and County GIS have been working together to resolve this, the city receives a nightly update from the county, and as long as the Register of Deed has updated County GIS then the City GIS and Cityworks will be correct. City GIS also has a	Implemented Implemented per last follow up response	Implemented Implemented per last follow up response

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	address will not match the County records and why. Thorough testing of all upgrades should be performed to ensure the product is performing at an acceptable level to achieve departmental goals.	GIS Road Map project to develop a collaborative GIS Environment with the county to help with this.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Chief Information Officer		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		
19	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management review	Management is currently reviewing the permit fees and the permit applications for all four trades. Once	Implemented	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	the existing Fee Schedule to determine whether enhancements would provide additional transparency and clarity for citizens and contractors. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure consistency among the permit application, Fayetteville City Code and the Fee Schedule.	we have corrected our fee schedule and permit applications, we will write the policy and procedures to make sure all permits are accurately issued and valued.  Responsible Party: Building Official  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	The department has currently reviewed the fee scheduling and cross-examining it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. This process was concurrent with the implementation of our online Cityworks and idtPlans portal.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	The department has currently reviewed the fee scheduling and cross-examining it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. This process was concurrent with the implementation of our online Cityworks and idtPlans portal.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
20	Permitting and Inspections management should determine if Cityworks has the capability to provide reports by subsidiary ledger for fees charged to customers, which could be used to reconcile to the City's general ledger.  Permitting and Inspections management should develop written procedures which should be followed to ensure a documented reconciliation between the amounts billed/refunded in Cityworks and actual revenue posted in the general	There is a lack of integration between the accounting software programs that the City uses that requires manual procedures to reconcile revenues across Cityworks, JDE, and the Point of Sale program. The reconciliation process of this report is completed by the Senior Administrative Assistant and, upon completion of the reconciliation, the Senior Administrative Assistant records her signature and has an employee unassociated with cash handling, approve the reconciliation report. The Senior Administrative Assistant	Implemented  The IT department worked with the Cityworks developers to ensure that the date of transactions matches the date on other financial software systems.  The department developed policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level to include the required reconciliation of all financial	Implemented  The IT department worked with the Cityworks developers to ensure that the date of transactions matches the date on other financial software systems.  The department developed policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level to include the required reconciliation of all financial

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	ledger is performed at regular intervals. The reconciliation should be completed with verification of the balances by a second authorized individual including initialing and dating reports to document a review and reconciliation was performed.  In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should develop written policies and procedures to document the process and the importance of closing the POS register nightly.  Once these processes are established, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure personnel are adequately	will develop written procedures on the processes of this reconciliation procedure.  The Permit Technicians have previously trained on the reset procedures of the Point of Sale cash drawers. A draft procedure on "Reconciliation Cash Drawers" has been prepared for review and approval by the Interim Permitting and Inspections Director. Compliance with these procedures will be included as a performance measure.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	transactions with the general ledger.	transactions with the general ledger.
21	trained on them.  Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure, when	The Information Technology Department created a new Account	Implemented	Implemented
	submitting payment to the North Carolina Licensing Board on a quarterly basis, that correct amounts are submitted based on a reconciliation of information in	Payables subsidiary code to capture the \$9 fee that is paid to the NC Licensing Board. The existing revenue account captures the remaining \$1 recognized as revenue.	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	Cityworks and the general ledger. Any Homeowner Recovery Fund fee refunds should be taken into consideration when completing the reconciliation.	The recent segregation of the Homeowner Recovery Fee was implemented October 3, 2016.  The Senior Administrative Assistant will continue to submit quarterly payments to the N.C. Licensing Board but, beforehand, the Senior Administrative Assistant will ensure that the payment is accurately reconciled amongst the Cityworks Revenue Report and General Ledger within JDE.  The same will apply to refunds. The	Policies to a department specific level.	Policies to a department specific level.
		Senior Administrator will ensure refunds of the Homeowner Recovery Fee are properly processed and applied to the appropriate fund accounts within JDE and revenue accounts with Cityworks.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 10/3/2016		
22	Permitting and Inspections management should require,	The Senior Administrative Assistant provided Permit Technicians copies	Implemented	Implemented

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

procedures. This process will begin

the third quarter of FY17.

	1 (ot implemented	Turumiy impremented	zmprementeu	1 ust implementation 2 utc
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	5-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	annually, all personnel who handle cash receipts to read the Cash Handling General Procedures and sign acknowledging receipt and understanding of the procedures.  A formal written refund policy to provide guidance and direction on how to process refunds should be developed. In addition, Permitting and Inspections personnel should be trained on these policies.  Permitting and Inspections management should ensure quality reviews are done for all cash receipt processes.	Acknowledgement form. A copy of the Cash Handling General Procedures is readily accessible to	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
		will conduct quarterly quality reviews of the issuance process which will include cash handling		

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
23	Internal Audit recommends	Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017  Personnel duties will be defined to	Implemented	Implemented
	Permitting and Inspections personnel responsibilities be reassigned in order to achieve an effective separation between opening the mail and recording transactions. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should consider checks being opened in dual custody to further strengthen controls.  Additionally, Permitting and Inspections management should assess the Administrative Assistant's job description and determine if additional education, experience or knowledge related to internal controls is needed due to the supervision of cash handling functions and update the job	require the front line permit technicians assigned to permit issuance to record transactions, and daily dispatch permit technicians will have mail duties to address this issue. The Senior Administrative Assistant will supervise and ensure compliance.  Management is reviewing a vacant Permitting and Inspections position against the recommendation and will request a study from the Human Resource Department. Once the study is complete, management will recruit for this position in November 2016.  Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director  Implementation Date: 9/30/2017	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines.  Implementation Date: 10/1/18	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines.  Implementation Date: 10/1/18

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	-	, ,	-	-
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	I decembration on modeling on decembra			
	description or position as deemed appropriate.			
24	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management work with the Information Technology Department to establish a process for security of faxed information. Such a process could include faxes being printed only when the appropriate security code is entered or having a dedicated fax machine for the Permitting and Inspections Department in a secure location with limited access. Permitting and Inspections management should ensure the faxes are destroyed in accordance with City's Administrative Policy # 311 - Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan.	The fax machine vendor programmed the Permitting Multi-Functional Device (fax machine) so permit applications received can only be printed by means of entering a security code. Faxes are secured within the device until the security code is applied. Permit Technicians and the Senior Administrative Assistant are only privy to such code, and if at any time the code may be breached, a new security code can be reassigned.  The Finance Department provided the Senior Administrative Assistant a copy of the city's policy #311, Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan. Each technician received, reviewed, and signed the Acknowledge form. The Senior Administrative Assistant also prepared a draft policy of a Security and Confidential Information for review by the Permitting and	Implemented  The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Inspection Director. Upon review and approval of the policy, the Senior Administrative Assistant will conduct mandatory training to all Permit Technicians within two weeks following adoption. The Senior Administrative Assistant will also conduct quarterly quality reviews of the Security and Confidential Information. Additionally, and in accordance to the Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan, the Permit Technicians destroy (shred) faxes that contain confidential financial information following the completion of the issuance process of every permit.  Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 9/30/2016		
25	Permitting and Inspections management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software	While report creation is part of the Information Technology Department's top priorities for Cityworks "fixes," locking out the	Implemented  The process-related content of this finding has been addressed,	Implemented  The process-related content of this finding has been addressed,

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	developer to develop controls	report is a customization that will		

(	developer to develop controls	report is a customization that will		
7	within Cityworks to ensure permits	require additional funding to	<b>Implementation Date:</b>	<b>Implementation Date:</b>
8	are not printed before all pre-	complete. IT has completed the	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
	permitting requirements are met	process of watermarking the reports		
8	and the hardcoded status on the	in question with a watermark that		
I	permit should read the status within	says INVALID if the report is		
(	Cityworks.	printed before all the required		
		inspections, payments, or documents		
1	Additionally, Internal Audit	are completed.		
1	recommends the appropriate			
	inspector review all written	We will coordinate with the		
	applications as defined by NCGS	Department of Insurance to		
	and Fayetteville City Code,	determine the need for building		
	Chapter 7, Article III before a	inspectors to issue trade permits.		
I	permit is issued.			
		As it relates to the deficiencies that		
		address the Cityworks PLL software,		
		the City Manager has authorized a		
		project assessment to evaluate the		
		current state of Cityworks and make		
		recommendations on whether to		
		continue implementation and		
		refinement efforts or seek another		
		PLL solution. Until the assessment		
		is completed, only issues already		
		identified as a part of Permitting and		
		Inspections and Information		
		Technology's project priority list		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: IT Project Manager		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 11/30/2016 for the workaround. TBD for the ultimate resolution.		
26	Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management review applications, the Fee Schedule and Cityworks, and ensure they are consistent with one another. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should review all permit applications to ensure all necessary information is required on the applications, applications are clear, and assess whether any unnecessary information should be removed from the applications. Once the applications are updated	We will coordinate with the Department of Insurance to determine the need for building inspectors to issue trade permits. Staffing and workload issues may preclude quality control by inspection supervisors without additional resources as has been noted in responses to prior findings. Staff will work with Information Technology to see if exceptions can be identified for quality control purposes. Once these issues are resolved, policies and procedures will be developed and training	Implemented  The department has reviewed the fee schedule and cross-examined it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent.  An internal policy has been developed in regards to permit issuance and work-quality review to address the human-related consistency component of permitting.	Implemented  The department has reviewed the fee schedule and cross-examined it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent.  An internal policy has been developed in regards to permit issuance and work-quality review to address the human-related consistency component of permitting.
	and made available to the contractors/homeowners, their use should be enforced.	conducted to ensure subordinate staff adherence to the policies and procedures.	Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	In order to be in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes, Inspectors should issue permits. However, prior to permit issuance, Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure permit applications are completed with all information necessary to calculate fees. If information on the application is unclear, Permitting and Inspections personnel should ask the applicant for clarification. Any updated information should be clearly documented for future reference.  Permitting and Inspections management should establish a quality review process for the Permitting and Inspections Department. Due to the high volume of applications, the likelihood of finding an exception by spot checking is statistically low. Therefore, when establishing a quality review process, Permitting and Inspections management could	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Building Official; Senior Administrative Assistant  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	consider exception-based reporting from Cityworks which could identify unusual transactions, such as a residential building permit without a homeowner recover fee charged.  Policies and procedures should be			
	written to provide clear guidance on accurate and consistent application of fees. Training should be given to Permitting and Inspections personnel to ensure understanding and adherence to policies and procedures.			
27	Internal Audit recommends the appropriate inspector review all written applications as defined by NCGS and Fayetteville City Code, Chapter 7, Article III before a permit is issued. This review should include the status of the contractor's license.	The Planning and Code Enforcement Director will review the City Code and propose any modifications that are necessary to modernize and ensure consistency between the City Code, the NC Building Code, and departmental procedures and policies.	While the process-related component of license review has been implemented, a policy was also revised to incorporate consistent research of contractor's license within the issuance of permits.	While the process-related component of license review has been implemented, a policy was also revised to incorporate consistent research of contractor's license within the issuance of permits.
	Additionally, Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections personnel establish and follow written procedures to ensure	Management has reached out to the Supervisor of the Code Inspections Section of the Department of Insurance for clarification on	In addition, the City Attorney's Office provided guidance that once the permit has been issued,	In addition, the City Attorney's Office provided guidance that once the permit has been issued,

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	each contractor's license is valid	inspector issuance of permits. The	it is the contractor's	it is the contractor's
	when issuing a permit Since	Permitting and Inspections	responsibility to maintain his	responsibility to maintain his

when issuing a permit. Since permits expire December 31 each year and become invalid 60 days from that date unless renewed, Permitting and Inspections should establish and follow written procedures to ensure all general contractors with active permits still have valid licenses in March of each year. For any active permits determined to be issued to general contractors with invalid licenses, Permitting and Inspections personnel should establish written procedures to comply with NCGS 160-422 relating to the revocation of permits.

Permitting Inspections Department is meeting requirements for the issuance of trade and building permits in our current practice.

Management is currently reviewing the permit fees and the permit applications for all four trades. Once we have corrected our fee schedule and permit applications, we will write the policy and procedures to make sure the permit is accurately issued and valued.

Permit Technicians The currently following procedures of verifying contractors licenses prior to the issuance of permits. The Senior Administrative Assistant will draft a policy and procedures to ensure that this process is being Senior validated. The Administrative Assistant will complete monthly random quality control checks to ensure that this

license in accordance with the 2012 North Carolina Administrative Code and Policies Section 204.3.6 which reads "It shall be the duty of every person who contracts for the installation or repair of a building or service system to comply with State or local rules and regulations concerning licensing."

**Implementation Date:** 10/1/2018

responsibility to maintain his responsibility to maintain his license in accordance with the 2012 North Carolina Administrative Code and Policies Section 204.3.6 which reads "It shall be the duty of every person who contracts for the installation or repair of a building or service system to comply with State or local rules and regulations concerning licensing."

> **Implementation** Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	<b>Past Implementation Date</b>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-0	2 Permitting and Inspections			
	Terment, and inspections	recommendation is followed through.  In speaking with the North Carolina Licensing Board for General Contractors, they are looking into developing a WebService with which we would be able to programmatically interface with in order to validate the contractor in real time. At this time there is no ETA for the availability of this WebService. Such an arrangement with other trades is being explored. Currently Information Technology has investigated other methods of automatically validating the Contractor License, however, there would be additional funding needed to do this.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make		
		recommendations on whether to continue implementation and		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director (code changes); Senior Administrative Assistant (procedures)		
28	Permitting and Inspections management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to develop controls within Cityworks to prevent creating duplicate permits. Should Cityworks not have this capability; Permitting and Inspections management should work with personnel within the department on mitigating controls to ensure	Implementation Date: 9/30/2017  Cityworks cannot currently prevent the creation of duplicate permits, however, it will allow you to see all the existing permits, cases, service requests and work orders at a given address.  Resolution of this issue is dependent on a vendor's schedule. Additionally, consideration should be given to distinguishing between a trade permit and a building permit with regard to the qualifications of	Implemented  A project may require more than one of a specific trade permit (multiple electrical permits may be issued for one job).  Staff is familiar with the requirements for each permit, and the differentiation of each permit type. Although trade permits may appear to be the	Implemented  A project may require more than one of a specific trade permit (multiple electrical permits may be issued for one job).  Staff is familiar with the requirements for each permit, and the differentiation of each permit type. Although trade permits may appear to be the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	duplicate permits are not being	the issuing authority. If inspectors	same at first glance, staff	
	created. All permit applications should be reviewed by an	have to sign off on all permits prior to their issuance, a significant	includes notes and other items to differentiate each permit from	includes notes and other items to differentiate each permit from
	appropriate level inspector before a	resource issue will be created due to	another to avoid duplication.	another to avoid duplication.
	permit is issued at which time, the inspector can verify that a duplicate	permit volume. If this is the direction of the Interim City	Implementation Date:	<b>Implementation Date:</b>
	permit is not being created.	Manager, we will produce a plan for implementation for consideration	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
		during the FY18 budget cycle.		
		Information Technology is working with software developer to bring a		

As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and *Information* Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts

Cityworks PLL trainer on site to provide specialized PLL training.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
29	Procedures should be established requiring inspectors to document within Cityworks when the inspector reaches the location and the results of the inspection before	to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director and Information Technology Director  Implementation Date: 11/15/2016  Permitting and Inspections has purchased laptop computers for all the field inspectors to eliminate the problem of limited or no connectivity in some areas of the	Implemented  The process and policy related content of this finding has been remedied	Implemented  The process and policy related content of this finding has been remedied
	going to the next assignment. Cityworks should be configured, if necessary, to facilitate this type of documentation. Training should be provided to improve inspectors' documentation, to establish parameters and guidelines and the use of laptops in the field to result the inspections.	City. Since that time, the inspectors have been trained and directed by management to log into Cityworks and do all of their inspection postings at the jobsite. Management is working with Cityworks to be able to have this measurable data extracted in several types of reports. This will give management valuable information that we will be able to use in determining if the department is adequately staffed.	Also, technology has also being evaluated to ensure that the inspectors can result the inspections in real time after the inspection is conducted.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Also, technology has also being evaluated to ensure that the inspectors can result the inspections in real time after the inspection is conducted.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Building Official  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
30	Internal Audit recommends the Permitting and Inspections Department prohibit the practice of bypassing system controls by	The inspections workflows are currently under modification. It is the intent to modify and simplify each of the workflows per permit	Implemented  With the assistance of IT, the department has implemented the	Implemented  With the assistance of IT, the department has implemented the
	deleting and/or resulting inspections on the workflow as "NA". Quality reviews should be	type. Until this occurs, an "N/A" will be placed on inspections tasks not related to the inspection. The	recommendation preventing the bypassing of system controls.	recommendation preventing the bypassing of system controls.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	conducted by management to ensure all inspections are completed and resulted for each type of permit on the workflow. Cityworks workflows should be updated for each permit type to include only required inspections for that permit type.	Permitting and Inspections department is working closely with the IT department as well as with Cityworks in order to address this issue.  As we modify the case types and workflows additional security will be added which will prohibit the addition or deletions of task in the workflow.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

A2016	Recommendation -02 Permitting and Inspections	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
		Responsible Party: Building Official  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
31	Permitting and Inspections management should develop procedures to clarify expectations, including established start times and locations to begin inspections for the workday. The procedures should also give general guidance on how to conduct inspections. Once these procedures are established, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure personnel are adequately trained on them.  The AVL technology should be fitted and fully operational on all Permitting and Inspections Department vehicles. This data should be used by management in conjunction with monitoring inspector output as a measure of overall productivity.	The Permitting and Inspections Department will implement policies and procedures to ensure that inspections staff have clear and concise instruction regarding daily expectations, standards for training new staff, and policies as it relates to enforcement of the NC Building Code. The AVL systems are currently installed in all inspectors' assigned vehicles. The existing AVL system could not be permanently installed without voiding the manufacturer's warranty. Reporting is currently being addressed by the Information Technology Project Manager. The inspections staff will receive training on how to review and monitor the AVL system.	Implemented  Accountability procedures are currently in place to satisfy the process-related content of this finding.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented  Accountability procedures are currently in place to satisfy the process-related content of this finding.  Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	1 tot implemented	Turum, impiemented	<u>F</u>	<u> </u>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		
32	Permitting and Inspections management should develop procedures to ensure all permitted projects are inspected or permits are properly cancelled if the permitted work is not commenced.	All full demolition permits are inspected by the Code Enforcement Division of the Planning and Code Enforcement Department. Cityworks has been modified to notify the contractor when a permit is about to expire. This modification reflects the standards of the NC Building Code with regard to permit expiration. A procedure will be developed in order to provide clear and concise instruction on how to post inspections once the permit is completed, voided, or expired. An amendment to the City Code will be proposed to reflect the standards of the NC Building Code with regard to permit expiration.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the	Implemented  The process-related content was addressed by ordinance amendment and Cityworks modification  Implementation Date: 10/1/18	Implemented  The process-related content was addressed by ordinance amendment and Cityworks modification  Implementation Date: 10/1/18

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections); PCE Director (for code changes and PCE policies and procedures)  Implementation Date: 11/15/2016, with the City Code Changes to occur in January 2017		
33	Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management develop processes to ensure square footage and construction costs are validated	We agree that enhancements can be made to better confirm fee calculations from various measures, however, the proposed redundancy is unnecessary as any deviations will	Implemented  The fee schedule has been modified to reflect permit fees	Implemented  The fee schedule has been modified to reflect permit fees

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	prior to permit issuance and again	he caught during the inspection	based upon heated and non-	hasad upon haatad and non

-02 Fernitung and Inspections			
prior to permit issuance and again	be caught during the inspection	based upon heated and non-	based upon heated and non-
prior to issuance of the certificate of	process. We agree that adjustments	heated area.	heated area.
occupancy/compliance. The	to the Fee Schedule need to be made		
process should include recording	to simplify calculation procedures;	In addition to the fee schedule	In addition to the fee schedule
adjustments in Cityworks and	this will require coordination with	modification, the building	modification, the building
collecting or refunding any fees	Information Technology, and such	inspectors have initiated a	inspectors have initiated a
based on these adjustments. These	changes will be made at midyear, if	process to evaluate and compare	process to evaluate and compare
processes should be documented in	possible, or proposed as part of the	area and value based upon	area and value based upon
written policies and procedures and	FY18 budget	footings and the current fair	footings and the current fair
personnel should be trained on		market value.	market value.
them.	As it relates to the deficiencies that		
	address the Cityworks PLL software,		
	the City Manager has authorized a	<b>Implementation Date:</b>	<b>Implementation Date:</b>
	project assessment to evaluate the	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
	current state of Cityworks and make		
	recommendations on whether to		
	continue implementation and		
	refinement efforts or seek another		
	PLL solution. Until the assessment		
	is completed, only issues already		
	identified as a part of Permitting and		
	Inspections and Information		
	Technology's project priority list		
	will be completed. All other efforts		
	to refine Cityworks will be		
	discontinued.		

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	•		•	•
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	I			
		<b>Responsible Party</b> : Building Official		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 6/30/2017		
34	A formal written callback policy to provide guidance and direction on how to impose callback fees should be developed and communicated to contractors/home owners. In addition, Permitting and Inspections personnel should be trained on this new policy.	Management is writing a formal callback policy. Once this policy is completed, we will modify Cityworks so that a callback fee will be automatically issued in accordance to the policy. Once this callback policy is completed, then management will notify the contractors and train the inspectors.  As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information	Implemented  In addition to the callback fee, a policy has been implemented for staff knowledge on the consistent implementation of call-back fees.  Implementation Date: 9/30/2018	Implemented  In addition to the callback fee, a policy has been implemented for staff knowledge on the consistent implementation of call-back fees.  Implementation Date: 9/30/2018
		Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts		

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
35	Consider implementing multi-trade inspections, specifically HVAC permits, to enhance scheduling flexibility, reduce drive times and improve response times.	to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.  Responsible Party: Building Official  Implementation Date: 6/30/2017  The Permitting and Inspections Department is now performing multi-trade inspections for two permit types. One is the mechanical change out permit when the mechanical inspector inspects both the mechanical and electrical installations. The other is the gas water heater permit when the plumbing inspector inspects the water heater, vent piping and the gas piping. A policy and procedure will be written to ensure both permits are ready before the inspector goes on the inspection. Management also utilizes this cross training when a trade section is shorthanded. Out of a department of 18 inspectors, we have 7 inspectors who have more than one standard certification.	Implemented  Current scheduling practices have been modified to support multi-trade inspections in unit installs and change outs.  We have also developed a multi-trade permit  Implementation Date: 10/1/18	Implemented  Current scheduling practices have been modified to support multi-trade inspections in unit installs and change outs.  We have also developed a multi-trade permit  Implementation Date: 10/1/18
		Management hopes to expand this		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		concept to more permit types as we		
		get more inspectors certified.		
		Responsible Party: Building		
		Official		
		<b>Implementation Date</b> : 10/1/2016		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	A2016-06 Contract Practices and Procedures			

The Office of Internal Audit recommends management determine if the City Manager's Office is the most appropriate department to be responsible for Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure based on the ability to provide oversight and management of all policy provisions and appendices. Once determined. management should designate personnel/positions responsible for the oversight and management of the policy and ensure the personnel/positions responsible have the ability to enforce contract policy provisions. This recommendation is applicable for all findings within this report and will have a direct impact on the management responses oversight and monitoring of compliance with the policy.

The approvals required and the procedures within Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure were initially assigned to the City Manager's Office; however, after further review and evaluation, the oversight and management of the policy to include the appendices is being assigned to the Finance department. Currently, the Finance department is responsible for the Purchasing function along with playing a major role with citywide contracting. Furthermore, Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure will continue to require the City Manager to approve all delegation of contract signature authority as authorized by the City's Code of Ordinances Chapter 2 Article III Section 2-61.

Responsible Party: Chief Financial

**Implementation Date**: 01/31/2018

Officer or designee

#### **Implemented**

A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy will drafted be circulated/presented to SMT on 4.25.19 for a 10 day review process. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.

#### **Implemented**

A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to contracting process. An updated policy will be drafted and circulated/presented to SMT on 4.25.19 for a 10 day review process. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved City by Manager.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-06 Contract Practices and Procedu	res		

2	1. Clarification should be added	1. To ensure full implementation	Implemented	Implemented
	to Policy #120 City of	and compliance, the City		
	Fayetteville General	Manager will assign the Chief	Policy #120 City of Fayetteville	Policy #120 City of Fayetteville
	Contracting Practices and	Financial Officer the	General Contracting Practices	General Contracting Practices
	Procedures to:	responsibility to define the	and Procedures was updated	and Procedures was updated
	a. Define the conditions	conditions under which a	and subsequently approved by	and subsequently approved by
	under which a	purchase order is required and	the City Manager.	the City Manager.
	purchase order is	to require that all signatures on		
	required; and	contracts in LaserFiche be	Training was held 11.16.18,	——————————————————————————————————————
	b. Require all signatures	dated.	3.29.19 and will continue to be	3.29.19 and will continue to be
	on contracts in		held now that the policy has	held now that the policy has
	Laserfiche be dated.	2. To ensure full implementation	been developed and approved.	been developed and approved.
		and compliance, the City		
	2. Training and monitoring	Manager will assign the Chief		
	practices to ensure procedures	Financial Officer the		
	are being followed by all user	responsibility of providing		
	departments should be	training and monitoring		
	improved.	practices to ensure purchase		
		<b>order</b> procedures are being		
	3. A quality control program	followed by all user		
	should be developed to help	departments.		
	ensure purchase orders are			
	* *	3. To ensure full implementation		
	start of service, and all	and compliance, the City		
	contracts are fully executed	Manager will assign the Chief		
	with all required approvals,	Financial Officer the		
	signatures and the City Seal.	responsibility to develop a		
		quality control program to help		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019			
A2016	A2016-06 Contract Practices and Procedures						
3	1. Clarification should be added to Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedures defining the conditions under which a	ensure purchase orders obtained prior to purchase or start of service, and all contracts are fully executed with all required approvals, signatures and the City Seal.  Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer or designee  Implementation Date: 03/31/2018  1. To ensure full implementation and compliance, the City Manager will assign the CFO the responsibility of providing clarification to Policy #120, City of Fayetteville General	Implemented  A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which	Implemented  A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which			
	contract is required;  2. Training and monitoring practices to ensure procedures are being followed by all user departments should be improved;  3. A quality control program should be developed to help ensure contracts are obtained	Contracting Processes and Procedures in an effort to define the conditions under which a contract is required.  2. To ensure full implementation and compliance, the City Manager will assign the CFO the responsibility of providing training and monitoring practices to ensure contract	has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy was drafted and circulated/presented to SMT. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.	has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy was drafted and circulated/presented to SMT. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date					
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019					
A2016	A2016-06 Contract Practices and Procedures								
	prior to purchase or start of service; and  4. Management should establish a central repository for all City contracts.	<ul><li>by all user departments.</li><li>3. To ensure full implementation</li></ul>	A training module has been prepared and will be delivered to staff using PowerDMS.	A training module has been prepared and will be delivered to staff using PowerDMS.					

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

- Office of Internal Audit 1.1 The recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established Internal based on Audit's observations include the following. but are not limited to:
  - 1. An annual audit of all areas where property and evidence are maintained, to include the Forensic Evidence Unit storage lockers and drying room located in the Public Administrative Building garage;

The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be

#### Implemented

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

#### **Partially Implemented**

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

An announced audit was conducted during the dates of July 23-26, 2019 as required by policy.

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018-0	01 Evidence and Property Manager	nent		
1.2 Tr	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  2. Documentation representing review of the audits and inspections of the Property and Evidence Unit by management should be maintained to ensure management is aware of potential	placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019  The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	issues;	are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.		to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.  An announced audit was conducted during the dates of July 23-26, 2019. The findings of the audit were annotated in a memorandum that was sent to the Chief of Police for review and has been stored in Laserfiche.
		Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		
1.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

Specialized

based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:

3. A special audit should be conducted for ALL types of property and evidence when there is a transition of personnel in and out of the Property and Evidence Unit;

and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.

**Responsible Party:** 

Services Division Commander

procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

The special audit and announced inspection were conducted in tandem with one another during July 2019 due to the proximity of new personnel being assigned to the Evidence and Property room.

Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

The special audit and announced inspection were conducted in tandem with one another due to the proximity of new personnel being assigned to the Evidence and Property room.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

	<del>,</del>			
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
1.4	The Office of Internal Audit	The review of the entire Police	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	recommends management	Department Evidence and Property		
	establish internal controls to	Operating Procedure Policy is being	The Evidence and Property	The Evidence and Property
	ensure personnel are in compliance	addressed to ensure the	Operating Procedure has been	Room continue to follow the
	with North Carolina General	implementation of an updated	updated by the Police Attorney	last available policy version
	Statutes and operating procedures.	policy will cover operational and	and once approved, training	from 2016.
	Some possible areas where internal	legal restrictions. The Police	will be created and conducted	
	controls should be established	Department will await the research	to effectively teach the new	The Evidence and Property
	based on Internal Audit's	and recommendations from the City	procedures to all persons who	Operating Procedure has been
	observations include the following,	of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	are responsible for handling	updated pending staffing to
	but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	evidence.	reflect the recommendations
		best operating procedure policy to		made by the Office of Internal
	4. Determine the	recommend to the Police		Audit.
	circumstances when property	Department. Once the		
	receipts are required, the personnel	recommendations have been		Upon completion of the final
	responsible to maintain them and	submitted, the Police Department		review and approval of the
	ensure they are issued accordingly;	will update departmental operating		operating procedures, training
		procedure policies to ensure they		will be created and conducted
		are in compliance with the listed		to effectively teach the new
		recommendations regarding of		procedures to all persons who
		audits and inspections of the unit.		are responsible for handling
		The Police Department always		evidence.
		conducts training when a new		
		policy is updated or created for all		
		the employees, when procedures are		
		changed extensively it will require		
		even more training. There are also		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
1.5	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  5. Complete and accurate descriptions of property and evidence should be documented, to include completing the database fields required within RMS;	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
1.6	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being	Implemented  The Evidence and Property	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property
	ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal	addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police	Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted	Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

controls should be established	Department will await the research	to effectively teach the new	The Evidence and Property
based on Internal Audit's	and recommendations from the City	procedures to all persons who	Operating Procedure has been
observations include the following,	of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	are responsible for handling	updated pending staffing to
but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	evidence.	reflect the recommendations
	best operating procedure policy to		made by the Office of Internal
6. Stolen checks should be	recommend to the Police		Audit.
generated for ALL firearms to	Department. Once the		
determine if they have been	recommendations have been		Upon completion of the final
reported stolen;	submitted, the Police Department		review and approval of the
	will update departmental operating		operating procedures, training
	procedure policies to ensure they		will be created and conducted
	are in compliance with the listed		to effectively teach the new
	recommendations regarding of		procedures to all persons who
	audits and inspections of the unit.		are responsible for handling
	The Police Department always		evidence.
	conducts training when a new		
	policy is updated or created for all		
	the employees, when procedures are		
	changed extensively it will require		
	even more training. There are also		
	times when the training can be		
	placed on our PowerDMS platform		
	as a video in order to be able to go		
	back to review again as a refresher		
	training when employees have		
	deficiencies.		
	Responsible Party: Specialized		

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
		Services Division Commander		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
1.7	The Office of Internal Audit	The review of the entire Police	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	recommends management	Department Evidence and Property		
	establish internal controls to	Operating Procedure Policy is being	Documentation is maintained	
	ensure personnel are in compliance	addressed to ensure the	showing the firearm was	Room continue to follow the
	with North Carolina General	implementation of an updated	entered in the Recovered Gun	last available policy version
	Statutes and operating procedures.	policy will cover operational and	File. Weapons stored in	from 2016.
	Some possible areas where internal	legal restrictions. The Police	evidence longer than 2 years	B
	controls should be established	Department will await the research	will be removed this file due to	Documentation is maintained
	based on Internal Audit's observations include the following,	and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	DCI rules.	showing the firearm was entered in the Recovered Gun
	but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	NO change to this, this is a	File. Weapons stored in
	but are not infinted to.	best operating procedure policy to	process that was already in	evidence longer than 2 years
	7. Documentation should be	recommend to the Police	place at the time of the audit.	will be removed this file due to
	maintained showing the firearm	Department. Once the	place at the time of the addit.	DCI rules.
	was entered in the Recovered Gun	recommendations have been		2 01141681
	File.	submitted, the Police Department		NO change to this, this is a
		will update departmental operating		process that was already in
		procedure policies to ensure they		place at the time of the audit.
		are in compliance with the listed		
		recommendations regarding of		The Evidence and Property
		audits and inspections of the unit.		Operating Procedure has been
		The Police Department always		updated pending staffing to
		conducts training when a new		reflect the recommendations
		policy is updated or created for all		made by the Office of Internal

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
1.8	Additionally, the Office of Internal Audit recommends the Fayetteville Police Department review the training and guidelines given to officers/detectives on property and evidence processing, and educate them on the impact if property and evidence is not processed correctly. Refresher training should be provided to all applicable Department personnel on ALL property and evidence operating procedures.	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the	Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018-	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
2.1	Conduct a full and complete inventory of all currency to determine the amount being maintained in the Property and	The implementation of this recommendation is contingent upon our research and is awaiting recommendations for the	The special audit and announced inspection were	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				
	Evidence Unit, to	include implementation of cash handling	conducted in tandem with one	last available policy version	

112010 01 Evidence and Property Manager			
Evidence Unit, to include	implementation of cash handling	conducted in tandem with one	last available policy version
counterfeit and foreign currency.	procedural processes. This will also	another during July 2019 due to	from 2016.
Records within RMS should be	depend on the creation of a bank	the proximity of new personnel	
updated accordingly.	account for the storage of funds and	being assigned to the Evidence	
	communication with the District	and Property room.	Operating Procedure has been
	Attorney's Office to determine if		updated pending staffing to
	any funds will not be able to go into		reflect the recommendations
	the financial institution. Currently,		made by the Office of Internal
	request has been made to the		Audit.
	Finance Department for assistance.		
	Counterfeit money will not be able		Upon completion of the final
	to be held in the financial institution		review and approval of the
	and will for the most part be turned		operating procedures, training
	over to the Secret Service. Foreign		will be created and conducted
	currency will be discussed with the		to effectively teach the new
	financial institution for		procedures to all persons who
	recommendations on how to handle.		are responsible for handling
	With the expectation of		evidence.
	implementing cash handling procedures and transferring the		A complete inventory of all
	funds into a financial institution, an		currency will be conducted
	inventory will be conducted		once policy revision has
	simultaneously and RMS will be		occurred.
	updated accordingly.		occurred.
	apatica accordingly.		
	Responsible Party: Specialized		
	Services Division Commander		
	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
8-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
Amend Operating Procedure 6.2 to provide clear guidance consisting of defining database fields and use of coding for all types of property and evidence in RMS; to include how debit, credit, gift or EBT cards and check or money orders should be classified and stored.	This will be addressed with the updated Operating Procedure 6.2 that the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are currently working on.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
Review the property and evidence items converted from Visionaire RMS to ONESolution RMS to determine if disposing is an option.	This will be addressed once the renovation of the first floor of the Police Department is completed, it will allow for more physical space	Any item that is destroyed at this time, must have a court	Room continue to follow the
	Amend Operating Procedure 6.2 to provide clear guidance consisting of defining database fields and use of coding for all types of property and evidence in RMS; to include how debit, credit, gift or EBT cards and check or money orders should be classified and stored.  Review the property and evidence items converted from Visionaire RMS to ONESolution RMS to	Amend Operating Procedure 6.2 to provide clear guidance consisting of defining database fields and use of coding for all types of property and evidence in RMS; to include how debit, credit, gift or EBT cards and check or money orders should be classified and stored.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019  Review the property and evidence items converted from Visionaire RMS to ONESolution RMS to determine if disposing is an option.	Response – January 23, 2020    Response – January 23, 2020

KEY

Not Implemented

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
	based on the current legal, approved procedures, the missing and inconsistent information should be reviewed and updated at that time.	complete an accurate inventory when we move items for management of property/evidence items and more storage evaluation for evidence. This inventory will also provide the opportunity to ensure property and evidence items in ONESolution RMS, specifically converted data, are accurate and complete.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	for it to be completed. The department currently requires a court order for any destruction. This remains a continual process as items are identified and categorized from Visionaire RMS to ONESolution RMS.	from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.  Any item that is destroyed at this time, must have a court order for destruction in place for it to be completed. The department currently requires a court order for any destruction.
2.4	For all other items required to be maintained, management should determine if the costs of using	This process of "clean up" involves a transition of information that occurred 7-8 year ago from an	Not implemented  This recommendation has not	Not Implemented  Currently, another request was

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	<b>Past Implementation Date</b>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	resources to "clean up" the data in ONESolution RMS for property and evidence outweigh the risk of missing and inconsistent data. Once management determines what risks are unacceptable, a process should be established to update any data for which an update is considered necessary.	outdated RMS program to the OneSolution RMS program being used. The transition was done in such a manner that all the data was not transitioned clearly enough to verify the items. A quote will have to be acquired from a vendor working with IT to determine recommendation if it is even possible, feasibility and the financial impact before this can be completed.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	been implemented as the associated cost extends over \$100,000 and funding is not available.	submitted for the cost of the data transfer to have this information within OneSolution RMS cleaned up because destruction orders are being prepared for the older property and many of these items are included in this process. Another request was submitted for the cost of the data transfer.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
3.1	Management should consider having RMS Administration supervised by the Information Technology Department. This should not only alleviate the current conflict of interest but would allow personnel to supervise this position with knowledge of the need for segregation of duties, access controls and security over RMS.	The RMS Administrator's function and oversight is not a conflict of interest regarding segregation of duties. We do agree including additional personnel to support the RMS Administrators functions in order to not have a single point of failure if they are away.	Management did not concur and will not be implementing this recommendation.	Management did not concur and will not be implementing this recommendation.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

3.2	Management should implement	1	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	formal written procedures for	of Fayetteville's Information		
	software user account management	Technology standards for the	The Evidence and Property	Process and practice has been
	to include developing a process to	maintenance of software user	Operating Procedure has been	implemented and tested within
	periodically review the access list	account management. We have	updated by the Police Attorney	the department. The Evidence
	and identify authorized users of	already began developing an	and once approved, training	and Property Operating
	RMS and specify access rights.	accountability form for each	will be created and conducted	Procedure has been updated
		employee which will determine the	to effectively teach the new	pending staffing to reflect the
		user rights and restrictions	procedures to all persons who	recommendations made by the
		depending on their position within	are responsible for handling	Office of Internal Audit.
		the department. As the Property	evidence.	
		and Evidence Operational		
		Procedures policy is established, we		
		will determine if the written		
		procedure needs to be included in		
		that policy. As personnel move		
		throughout the department an		
		updated form for identifying		
		authorized users of RMS will be		
		updated.		
		Responsible Party: Specialized		
		Services Division Commander		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
3.3	Management should check with	We have confirmed the software	Implemented	Implemented
	Superion to determine if RMS can	does not have any options outside		
	be updated to assign the PR# after	the process we have implemented.	When a record is cancelled out	When a record is cancelled out

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	1 tot impremented		P.00	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	the record has been saved. If not, management should look at the process which allows Department personnel to cancel out of a record after the PR# has been assigned to determine if a change in the process could prevent the need for Department personnel to cancel out of the record. If a process cannot be established to prevent this, determine if a process can be implemented which would allow approval and tracking when a record is canceled after the PR# has been assigned.	We have established a process and approval/tracking system that will ensure the person updating the error is the actual person submitting the evidence. Management will also attempt to determine what the commonality of the errors were (3,572 PR#'s). Whereas, management will review discrepancies to attempt to reduce the errors and improve efficiency, reduce rework.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	of the system, an email is sent to the Database Manager detailing why the record needs to be cancelled. This is a requirement for this action to be completed.	of the system, an email is sent to the Database Manager detailing why the record needs to be cancelled. This is a requirement for this action to be completed.
4.1	Continue to research the whereabouts of the two items missing and notify the courts and attorneys as deemed necessary.	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019 When items are missing and unable to be located, the chain of command will be notified with a memorandum and a supplemental report will be established and entered for records. The process of notifications will include any courts or attorneys which need notification related to an investigation.  Responsible Party: Specialized	Implemented  While locating items for destruction, these items were located and have been placed in the correct locations and updated in RMS.	Implemented  While locating items for destruction, these items were located and have been placed in the correct locations and updated in RMS.

KEY

Not Implemented

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	3-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		
4.2	Procedures for notifying management, to include Police Attorney, should be established when property and evidence is designated missing.	When items are missing and unable to be located, the chain of command will be notified with a memorandum and a supplemental report will be established and entered for records. The process of notifications will include any courts or attorneys which need notification related to an investigation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	A process has been established when items are missing and unable to be located.	A process has been established when items are missing and unable to be located.
4.3	Quarterly audits for high-risk items, cash, firearms, narcotics and jewelry, should be considered until steps can be taken to improve data integrity and reduce the inventory level of property and evidence through the disposal process.	Random quarterly audits are important for high value items and the property room as a whole. Upon the completion of Operating Procedure 6.2 this will be evaluated to determine if we will restrict this to only the high risk items or include more random audits for the entire Property and Evidence Unit.  As the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are researching and	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Monthly audits are being conducted on high-risk items by the Evidence Sergeant.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
5.1	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the	evaluating other operating procedural policies to make recommendations for changes. The operating procedural policy will address the manner in which audits are completed.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019  The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will clearly identify these matters and the Evidence Room Staff will be tasked with following those processes and checking for accuracy.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	necessary activities. Finally, subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.  Some possible improvements to			will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
	operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  1. Specific requirements should be listed to ensure sufficient and consistent descriptions are documented for all property and evidence;			
5.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and	The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will clearly identify these matters and the Evidence Room Staff will be tasked with following those processes and checking for accuracy.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally, subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.  Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  2. Clear realistic expectations of personnel's responsibilities to ensure the accuracy of the description, type, and amount			made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
5.3	of property should be clarified; The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend	The procedures will be updated to reflect that the responsible Officers	Implemented  The Evidence and Property	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property
	written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide	will weigh narcotics prior to being packaged and entered into the Property and Evidence Room. If the	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

112020	of Evidence and Property Wanages			
	adherence to laws and regulations.	narcotics are forwarded to the	and once approved, training	from 2016.
	Additionally, not only should		will be created and conducted	
	management amend the operating	responsible for the accurate	to effectively teach the new	The Evidence and Property
	procedures specifically referred to	measuring of the narcotics. If the	procedures to all persons who	Operating Procedure has been
	in this audit, but all operating	narcotics do not go to the	are responsible for handling	updated pending staffing to
	procedures in which evidence and	laboratory, then the responsible	evidence.	reflect the recommendations
	property management is addressed.	officer's weight prior to being		made by the Office of Internal
	The procedures should be	packaged will be used as the weight		Audit.
	amended to include sufficient	on record.		
	guidance to allow an individual			Upon completion of the final
	who is unfamiliar with the	Responsible Party: Specialized		review and approval of the
	operations to perform the	Services Division Commander		operating procedures, training
	necessary activities. Finally,			will be created and conducted
	subject matter experts should be	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		to effectively teach the new
	included in updating and			procedures to all persons who
	reviewing the procedures to ensure			are responsible for handling
	only attainable and realistic			evidence.
	requirements are included.			
	C			
	Some possible improvements to			
	operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations			
	include the following, but are not limited to:			
	milited to:			
	3. Address how the weight of			
	narcotic evidence is to be			
	determined and the			
	determined and the			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
5.4	requirements for determining the weight if the narcotic evidence does not go to a laboratory;  The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally, subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.	6.2 will accurate address these concerns and implementation will be monitored by the Evidence Room Staff.  The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are reviewing the Operating Procedure Policy for recommendations to updating to ensure this will address the procedure for items entering Property. Property will not be accepted into the evidence room without all field completed or addressed in RMS. This will be the submitting officer's responsibility to complete.	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.  The SBI Laboratory has increased their response time of examinations and remains the primary laboratory for evidentiary purposes.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
5.5	Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  4. Update procedures on the process change of using laboratories other than SBI;  The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally,	The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will accurate address these concerns and implementation will be monitored by the Evidence Room Staff.  The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are reviewing the Operating Procedure Policy for recommendations to updating to ensure this will address the procedure for items entering Property. Property will not be accepted into the evidence room without all field completed or addressed in RMS. This will be the submitting officer's responsibility to complete.	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted
	subject matter experts should be included in updating and	Responsible Party: Specialized		to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	- (		P.00	<u> </u>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.	Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		are responsible for handling evidence.
	Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:			
	5. Clarify what types of property and evidence can be opened to include the persons allowed to open each specific type of property and evidence;			
5.6	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be	The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will accurate address these concerns and implementation will be monitored by the Evidence Room Staff.  The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are reviewing the Operating Procedure Policy for recommendations to updating to ensure this will address the procedure for items entering Property. Property will not be	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally, subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.  Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations	accepted into the evidence room without all field completed or addressed in RMS. This will be the submitting officer's responsibility to complete.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.  A complete audit of the Evidence Room will take an extensive amount of time to complete and preparations will
	include the following, but are not limited to:  6. Review and update operating procedures for areas impacted when ONESolution RMS was implemented.			need to be made for this occur; however as items are located that were not previously documented in RMS, they are entered into the module at that time.
6	Management should review and update the operating procedure as deemed applicable to ensure Department personnel understand the importance of the guidelines related to biohazard labeling and appropriate storage of food and	The Evidence Room Operating Policy re-write and the follow though and implementation of that policy.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
	Recommendation	Wanagement Response	Response – Sandary 23, 2020	Response – October 24, 2017		
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management					
	liquid beverages.	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019	to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.		
				Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.		
7.1	If currency continues to be maintained in the Property and Evidence Unit, Internal Audit recommends management consider maintaining the currency in fireproof safes.	A review of cost to purchase and implement a camera system and an appropriate fire proof safe is being researched. The primary focus is to remove the bulk of the currency to a non-interest bearing bank account.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  While the primary objective remains to remove the bulk of the currency to a non-interest bearing bank account; a purchase request has been completed for a fireproof safe to be installed in the Property and Evidence Unit.	Partially Implemented  The Evidence and Property		

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.  A fire safe has not been purchased at this time due to the finalization of the policy revision on currency handling procedures.
7.2	In addition, Internal Audit recommends working cameras be installed and utilized to provide surveillance in all areas where property and evidence are stored.	A review of cost to purchase and implement a camera system and an appropriate fire proof safe is being researched. The primary focus is to remove the bulk of the currency to a non-interest bearing bank account.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The camera install was completed on October 10, 2018 and all are operational.	Implemented  The camera install was completed on October 10, 2018 and all are operational.
8	Management should determine if these delays in time between when	The Police Department conducted the research regarding the listed	Implemented	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

the property and evidence was seized and turned over to the Property and Evidence Unit's custody appear reasonable and appropriate. If it appears appropriate, management should ensure the process is sufficient to safeguard the items and ensure the integrity of the chain of custody is maintained.

items found the following:

- Reviewed all cases that were identified by the audit team and each case was a prolonged narcotic investigation that began on a date but continued for a length of time afterward.
- As the officers continued the investigation, they would purchase narcotics or complete a search warrant and seize items on a date that was different from the original report.
- The officer entering those items into the Evidence Module would allow the system to autopopulate the location and date and time in the evidence module, the error occurs here because the system pulls in the original reporting information from the first report.
- The evidence staff has been trained and instructed not to accept this and have the officer update the information.
- The narcotic unit has also

This matter has been corrected and discussions with the chain of commands have been made. The Property and Evidence Room Staff has been instructed that if there is a delay in property being entered into RMS and then turned into the evidence room for storage they are to not accept the property until it is corrected.

This matter has been corrected and discussions with the chain of commands have been made. The Property and Evidence Room Staff has been instructed that if there is a delay in property being entered into RMS and then turned into the evidence room for storage they are to not accept the property until it is corrected.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		been trained to not rely on the autopopulate feature.  No documented issues since this change.  If they should occur in the future and it is not a result of the above auto population process, the Evidence Room Staff will bring this to attention of the officer's Chain of Command for correction or investigation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		
9	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management refer to IAPE Standard 9.6 through 9.8 on the destruction of drugs to incorporate these standards in the processes utilized by the Department, and update written operating procedures based on the management approved process.	The Evidence Room Operating Procedure Policy will be re-written and include the standards based on best practice.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	In February 2018, a memorandum for record was completed that outlines the current destruction process until Operating Procedure 6.2 is updated.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
10	Internal Audit recommends all aspects of property and evidence, including, but not limited to intake, storage and destruction undergo a review process by a supervisor or higher to ensure accurate information is recorded during the intake process; items are securely stored; items are processed correctly for disposal; and issues can be addressed in a timely manner.	All Property Staff is responsible for reviewing items and returning to employee for corrections if needed. They are the gate keepers for this information and ensuring that the information entered into RMS is accurate and complete to the best of their knowledge. The RMS Administrator is looking into the possibility of making fields mandatory and RMS not allowing the submission until those fields were completed. The random and scheduled audits will address	Evidence and Property Room. When errors are identified, the staff notifies the officer and the	The Evidence Room Staff has been empowered to identify errors in the input of data into RMS and the packaging of items for entry into the Evidence and Property Room. When errors are identified, the staff notifies the officer and the immediate Chain of Command to fix the errors. The disposal process continues to be reviewed to ensure it is efficient

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		reviewing for all items listed in this recommendation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	in identifying items to be destroyed, the amount of time to get the appropriate orders signed and the overall destruction of the item.	in identifying items to be destroyed, the amount of time to get the appropriate orders signed and the overall destruction of the item.
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
11.1	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	1. Procedure updates for temporary removal of property from the evidence room should at a minimum include:  a. A process for items released to court and the type of documentation required if retained; b. Specific procedures for transferring property and evidence items to other agencies and what documentation should be maintained; c. Authorization for the Forensics Unit to maintain evidence and procedures for maintenance; and d. Instructions for a ("checked out") tickler file system	Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
	and how follow-up should be documented.			
11.2	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure	Implemented  The Evidence and Property	Property and Evidence staff
	during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and	the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The	Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training	continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

procedures for disposition.	City of Fayetteville Police	will be created and conducted	
	Attorneys have been working on	to effectively teach the new	The Evidence and Property
2. There are specific	this policy, until the policy is	procedures to all persons who	Operating Procedure has been
observations relating to current	updated the department will	are responsible for handling	updated pending staffing to
Operating Procedure 6.2.8, but	continue to look at the operational	evidence.	reflect the recommendations
overall Internal Audit concluded,	issues addressed in this		made by the Office of Internal
management should have a	recommendation.		Audit.
documented review process			
outlining steps to evaluate each	Responsible Party: Specialized		Upon completion of the final
item of property and evidence for	Services Division Commander		review and approval of the
disposal, to include specifying	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		operating procedures, training
what considerations should be	-		will be created and conducted
made, and at a minimum should			to effectively teach the new
include:			procedures to all persons who
			are responsible for handling
a. Procedures for obtaining			evidence.
proper authorization for final			
disposition of property and			
evidence;			
b. Guidelines for returning			
items to identified owners;			
c. Guidelines for disposal of			
items requiring special			
consideration;			
d. Guidelines to ensure			
lawful disposal of property and			
evidence;			
e. Reconciliations of all			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
11.3	computerized data systems and hard-copy paperwork to reflect the final disposition of property and evidence items, including who authorized and handled the release or destruction, and to whom items were released; and f. Define Department personnel roles to retain property and evidence or process for disposal.  Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.  3. Although there are specific observations relating to the current	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling	Partially Implemented  Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to
	Operating Procedure 6.2.9, Internal Audit concluded that overall, when reviewing the	continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation.	evidence.	reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.
	current operating procedure, specific guidelines, procedures and methods of disposal including guidelines for unclaimed property	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Specialized Services Division Commander		Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				
	and how property transitions to the unclaimed property process for all			will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new

	and how property transitions to the	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		will be created and conducted
	unclaimed property process for all	implementation Date: 03/10/2019		to effectively teach the new
				•
	categories of property held in the			procedures to all persons who
	Property and Evidence Unit did			are responsible for handling
	not exist. Category specific			evidence.
	guidelines should at a minimum			
	include:			
	a. Defined officer/detective			
	role in retaining property and			
	evidence to ensure compliance			
	with North Carolina General			
	Statutes;			
	b. When identification is			
	needed and what documentation			
	should be recorded; and			
	c. When serial numbers			
	should be verified; the form of			
	documentation required when			
	serial numbers are verified; and the			
	type of disposal requiring serial			
	numbers to be verified.			
11.4	Management should create or	The review of the entire Police	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	amend operating procedures	Department Evidence and Property		
	addressing matters observed	Policy is being addressed to ensure	The Evidence and Property	Property and Evidence staff
	during this audit. Emphasis should	the implementation of an updated	Operating Procedure has been	continue to follow the
	be placed on the classifications of	policy will cover all items listed in	updated by the Police Attorney	Operating Procedure put into
	property, methods of disposal, and	Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The	and once approved, training	
	procedures for disposition.	City of Fayetteville Police	will be created and conducted	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				
		Attorneys have been working on	•	* *	

4. Procedures should include	Attorneys have been working on	to effectively teach the new	The Evidence and Property
specific guidelines for valuables	this policy, until the policy is	procedures to all persons who	Operating Procedure has been
and sensitive items.	updated the department will	are responsible for handling	updated pending staffing to
	continue to look at the operational	evidence.	reflect the recommendations
a. Guidelines for disposal of	issues addressed in this		made by the Office of Internal
firearms at a minimum should	recommendation.		Audit.
include releasing, methods of			
disposal, destruction and	Responsible Party: Specialized		Upon completion of the final
adherence to federal, state and	Services Division Commander		review and approval of the
local law;			operating procedures, training
b. Guidelines for disposal of	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		will be created and conducted
narcotics, at a minimum should			to effectively teach the new
include packaging and preparation			procedures to all persons who
for disposal; methods of			are responsible for handling
destruction; destruction of large			evidence.
amounts and precautions for			
hazardous chemicals;			
c. Guidelines for disposal of			
currency and other high value			
items, at a minimum should			
include consideration for			
evidentiary value; accurate			
accounting for funds and high			
value items held in evidence; and			
methods of disposal; and			
d. Guidelines for			
biological/biohazardous items			
should at a minimum include			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
11.5	compliance with all applicable state and local environmental health concerns; and use of qualified/approved disposal vendors and disposal sites.  Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.  5. The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:  a. Publication should state the items will be "sold or disposed of" or "sold or otherwise disposed of"; and	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
11.6	b. Auction proceeds should be distributed to the Cumberland County Board of Education within 30 days after the sale.  Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.  6. Any amendment to current procedures should account for obsolete practices and be consistent with federal, state, and local requirements. In addition, procedures should speak to federal, state and local retention requirements for each category of property and evidence; and should include a review of ALL operating procedures to ensure consistency as it relates to property and evidence and the disposal process.	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation.  Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander  Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Implemented  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated by the Police Attorney and once approved, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Partially Implemented  Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.  The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.  Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
12	Management should develop and	The Fayetteville Police Department	Implemented	Partially Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation  Management Response  Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020  Response – October 24, 2019					
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management					

implement a strategic plan	o is currently in the process of re-	Additional storage space within	
address the increasing levels	of design associated with various areas	the building was created during	Additional shelving units were
property and evidence maintain	d of the police administrative building	the reorganization of the	purchased for the identified
by the Property and Evidence Un	t, and this includes the evidence	Property and Evidence Unit.	locations and have been
and the possible need of addition	d section. The City of Fayetteville		installed.
facilities to store property as	d Police Attorneys will assist in		
evidence.	addressing the issues and allow		The Evidence and Property
	Property Room Staff to operate		Operating Procedure has been
	with the guidelines that he has		updated pending staffing to
	established in accordance with state		reflect the recommendations
	and federal laws.		made by the Office of Internal
			Audit.
	Responsible Party: Specialized		
	Services Division Commander		Upon completion of the final
			review and approval of the
	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/10/2019		operating procedures, training
			will be created and conducted
			to effectively teach the new
			procedures to all persons who
			are responsible for handling
			evidence.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	-04 Performance Measures: Parks, 1	Recreation and Maintenance		
1.1	Management should review and update all Departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures address how the Department is meeting the associated objectives;	PRM staff reviewed and analyzed existing performance measures. Measures not currently meeting the associated objectives of the department were either adjusted or removed. Proposed measures were submitted to the office of Strategic Performance Analytics on September 11, 2018 for review. PRM staff intend to utilize proposed measures for all FY19 reporting.  Responsible Party: Management Analyst	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented for the FY19 performance measure. PRM has updated and revised all performance measures to meet objectives of the department. Staff removed measures that did not clearly associate with the department's objectives.	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented for the FY19 performance measure. PRM has updated and revised all performance measures to meet objectives of the department. Staff removed measures that did not clearly associate with the department's objectives.
1.2	Management should review and update all Departmental performance measures to ensure the Department adequately and accurately tracks and reports the actual work being performed by personnel for the performance measures.	Implementation Date: 9/11/2018  Management has met with staff and will work to ensure the actual work being performed by personnel for the performance measures is adequately and accurately captured for FY19.  Responsible Party: Management Analyst  Implementation Date: 9/29/2018	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented by running new reports that are consistent with the updated performance measures. Current reports and historical reports for the new measures have been updated in Tracstat.	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented by running new reports that are consistent with the updated performance measures. Current reports and historical reports for the new measures have been updated in Tracstat.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2018	A2018-04 Performance Measures: Parks, Recreation and Maintenance				

2	Management should review and	Management has met with the	Implemented	Implemented
	update all departmental	Strategic Performance Analytics	_	
	performance measures to ensure the	staff to determine a better process of	This measure has been	This measure has been
	performance measures are defined	reporting performance measures.	implemented. Staff has phrased	implemented. Staff has phrased
	so the average user can effectively	Staff will update performance	the measure to communicate the	the measure to communicate the
	evaluate the information.	measures to effectively tell the story	what, why, and how.	what, why, and how.
		of the work being performed in		
		PRM. Staff will work closely with		
		Strategic Performance Analytics to		
		ensure we are communicating		
		information that can be easily		
		processed and understood by the		
		average user.		
		Responsible Party: Management		
		Analyst		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/11/2018		

Recommendation Management Response Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020 Response – October 24, 2019  A2018-04 Performance Measures: Public Services	KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
A2018-04 Performance Measures: Public Services		Recommendation	Management Response	_	_
	A2018				

2	Management should review and	Public services will review all	Implemented	Implemented
	update all departmental	performance measures within each		
	performance measures to ensure the	division for full implementation	Public services has worked	Public services has worked
	performance measures are defined	beginning FY 20.	extensively over the last 6	extensively over the last 6
	so the average user can effectively		months in improving our	months in improving our
	evaluate the information.	Responsible Party: Each division	performance measures.	performance measures.
		manager will be responsible for		
		implementation.	Step taken include the	Step taken include the
			following:	following:
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 7/1/2019	PS Director met with each	• PS Director met with each
			Division (Traffic,	Division (Traffic,
			Engineering and	Engineering and
			Infrastructure, Solid Waste,	Infrastructure, Solid Waste,
			Street Maintenance and	Street Maintenance and
			Real-estate) to discuss	Real-estate) to discuss
			measures and methods for	measures and methods for
			improvement.	improvement.
			• Each division manager	• Each division manager
			provided new measures and	provided new measures and
			revisions to past ones to	revisions to past ones to
			more accurately reflect our	more accurately reflect our
			work products.	work products.
			We met with CMO (Kristoff)	• We met with CMO (Kristoff
			Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to	Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to
			discuss our revisions.	discuss our revisions.
			We finalized our revisions	• We finalized our revisions
			per attached and received	per attached and received
			approval from CMO.	approval from CMO.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018-	04 Performance Measures: Public S	Services		
3	Management should review and update all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures provide the reader with all the necessary information to make informed decisions.	Actual performance measures are requested to be finalized in July for the previous FY ending June 30 <sup>th</sup> . Some performance measures such as those linked to cost are subject to minor changes for example when invoices are posted late by the vendor. It is requested that there is a process for allowing updates to the performance measures based on	We finalized our measures.  In summary we have reviewed and updated all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined so the average user can effectively evaluate the information and have received CMO approval for the revised measures.  Implemented  Public services has worked extensively over the last 6 months in improving our performance measures.  Step taken include the following:  PS Director met with each	We finalized our measures.  In summary we have reviewed and updated all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined so the average user can effectively evaluate the information and have received CMO approval for the revised measures.  Implemented  Public services has worked extensively over the last 6 months in improving our performance measures.  Step taken include the following:  PS Director met with each
		receipts of delayed data.  Responsible Party: Each division manager will be responsible for implementation.	Division (Traffic, Engineering and Infrastructure, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance and Real-estate) to discuss	Division (Traffic, Engineering and Infrastructure, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance and Real-estate) to discuss

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2018	8-04 Performance Measures: Public	Services		
		Implementation Date: 12/1/2018	<ul> <li>measures and methods for improvement.</li> <li>Each division manager provided new measures and revisions to past ones to more accurately reflect our work products.</li> <li>We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to discuss our revisions.</li> <li>We finalized our revisions per attached and received approval from CMO.</li> <li>We finalized our measures.</li> </ul> In summary we have reviewed and updated all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined so the average user can effectively evaluate the information and have received CMO approval for the revised measures.	<ul> <li>provided new measures and revisions to past ones to more accurately reflect our work products.</li> <li>We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to discuss our revisions.</li> </ul>

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2016	A2016-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintenance Nonresident Fees Implementation				

1.1	The Office of Internal Audit	Recreation and Administrative	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	recommends management amend	management staff will review and		
	the written Fayetteville-	amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland	This recommendation has been	This recommendation has not
	Cumberland Parks & Recreation	Parks and Recreation Non-Resident	implemented. Implementation	been fully implemented. Staff
	Non-Resident Fee Policy to provide	Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with	took place during Recreation	has had to revise the schedule to
	clear guidance on how to accurately	training to occur in May/June and	District Meetings in the month	amend the Fayetteville-
	and consistently charge fees. This	full implementation July 1, 2019. A	of October 2019.	Cumberland Parks and
	policy should be amended to	new procedure will be implemented		Recreation Non-Resident Fee
	include sufficient guidance to allow	to define the process for staff to		Policy. The updated schedule
	an individual who is unfamiliar	determine whether the resident or		includes revisions that were
	with the operations to perform the	nonresident fees should be charged.		complete by September 16,
	necessary activities. Finally,	The procedure will also include		2019. Training will conclude in
	subject matter experts should be	specific guidance on which fee to		October with full
	included in updating and reviewing	charge residents of Fort Bragg.		implementation anticipated by
	the policy to ensure only attainable	During the review process we will		November 1, 2019.
	and realistic requirements are	determine if it is operationally		
	included. Improvements to the	feasible to charge nonresident fees		
	policy based on Internal Audit's	for pool entry, Adult Open Play and		
	observations should include, but	other similar programs. Training		
	not be limited to:	will be provided to all full-time and		
	a. Define the process for	part-time staff once the policy and		
	determining whether the	procedures are updated and ready for		
	resident or nonresident fee	implementation. Recreation and		
	should be charged;	Administrative management will		
	b. Establish specific	also develop a review process that		
	guidance on what areas, if	will ensure that fees are being		
	any, of Fort Bragg should	charged in accordance with the fee		
	be charged the resident	schedule.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
	fees; and c. Establish specific guidance and expectations on charging swimming pool and Adult Open Play Athletic fees.	Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
1.2	Once the policy and procedures are updated, management should provide training to PRM personnel involved in charging and monitoring of the parks and recreation program fees.		Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. Implementation took place during Recreation District Meetings in the month of October 2019.	This recommendation has not been fully implemented. Staff has had to revise the schedule to amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy. Training will conclude in late October with full implementation anticipated by November 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
1.3	Management should develop a quality review program for the fees and conduct an adequate number of appropriate quality reviews in a timely manner. The documented results should be maintained and utilized as measures of effectiveness during performance evaluations.	implementation. Recreation and Administrative management will also develop a review process that will ensure that fees are being charged in accordance with the fee schedule.  Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager  Implementation Date: 07/01/2019  Recreation and Administrative management staff will review and amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with training to occur in May/June and full implementation July 1, 2019. A new procedure will be implemented to define the process for staff to determine whether the resident or nonresident fees should be charged. The procedure will also include specific guidance on which fee to charge residents of Fort Bragg. During the review process we will determine if it is operationally feasible to charge nonresident fees	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. Implementation took place during Recreation District Meetings in the month of October 2019.	Partially Implemented  This recommendation has not been fully implemented. Staff has had to revise the schedule to amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy. Training will conclude in late October with full implementation anticipated by November 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		for pool entry, Adult Open Play and other similar programs. Training will be provided to all full-time and part-time staff once the policy and procedures are updated and ready for implementation. Recreation and Administrative management will also develop a review process that will ensure that fees are being charged in accordance with the fee schedule.  Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager  Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management update the existing fee schedule to provide additional transparency and clarity for City Council and citizens. This should include, but not be limited to, all fees applicable for the resident and nonresident rates, and fees for regularly scheduled programs led by PRM personnel.	Recreation and Administrative management staff will review the fee schedule and update to ensure transparency and clarity regarding the PRM rates and fees. This includes the fees charged for County-wide regularly scheduled programs and services will be listed on the fee schedule reflecting the appropriate fee, to include the resident and non-resident fee, if applicable. However, the fees that	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. The fee schedule was updated during the budget process and reflective of changes to ensure transparency and clarity. The updated fee schedule was presented to City Council for adoption and included in the FY2020 budget.	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. The fee schedule was updated during the budget process and reflective of changes to ensure transparency and clarity. The updated fee schedule was presented to City Council for adoption and included in the FY2020 budget.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-	05 Parks, Recreation and Mainten	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		are assigned by Recreation staff based on community interest along with the fees that are determined by contractors providing instructional programs will be reflected on the fee schedule as not applicable to the resident and non-resident fee structure.  Recreation staff creativity and response to community needs may be stifled if every program they lead must be listed on the fee schedule separately, whereas, these fees will be identified as Leisure Activities. Parks and Recreation provides constantly changing and varying programs through 21 facilities in unique communities all over Cumberland County. In order for Parks and Recreation to include all programs on the fee schedule, as opposed to having them listed as under the Leisure Activity designation, would add hundreds of lines to the fee schedule for activities and limit the ability of staff to meet the needs of their communities	The FY20 Fee Schedule was implemented on July 1, 2019.	The FY20 Fee Schedule was implemented on July 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	05 Parks, Recreation and Mainten	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		without having fees approved through City Council. Many of these programs may have the same name, but are slightly different from site to site. For example, Movie Night may be a free activity at one center and another center may charge a fee because they offer the participant dinner and a movie. Another example would be summer programs offered through the park rangers division. They offer six Page 6 of 9 different summer programs for youth and teens that would all have to be listed separately because they are of varying prices. As stated in the report "when fees are not clearly stated on the fee schedule, citizens may be unaware if the correct fee was charged and it also creates the opportunity for misappropriation or theft of funds" we disagree as fees for all programs are listed on the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation website.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	<b>Past Implementation Date</b>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	5-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend the written Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks & Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy to ensure clear guidance is provided on documentation for resident and nonresident fees. This policy should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally, subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the policy to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.  Improvements to the policy based on Internal Audit's observations should include, but not be limited to:  a. Types of documentation	The policy already lists documentation that is acceptable, more clarification will be added as to what is not acceptable, frequency for updating documentation and document maintenance. Recreation and Administrative management staff will review and amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with training to occur in May/June and full implementation July 1, 2019.  Responsible Party: Recreation Division Supervisor  Implementation Date: 07/01/2019	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. Implementation took place during Recreation District Meetings in the month of October 2019.	This recommendation has not been fully implemented. Staff has had to revise the schedule to amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy. The updated schedule includes revisions that were complete by September 16, 2019. Training will conclude in October with full implementation anticipated by November 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
4.1	considered sufficient and insufficient;  b. Frequency for updating documentation; and c. Documentation     maintenance, retention and destruction requirements which should ensure adherence to the security of sensitive and confidential information and the State's retention requirements.  Management should consider having RecTrac administration supervised by the Information Technology Department. This should not only alleviate the current conflict of interest but would allow personnel to supervise this position with knowledge of the need for segregation of duties, access controls and security over RecTrac.	After ensuring that Information Technology (IT) had the capacity to accommodate RecTrac administration, management will outline a transition plan over the next several weeks, to include the delineation of "administrative rights" and as identified in our response to Recommendation 4.2. Additionally, given RecTrac's integral role in sustaining PRM operations, it is Management's belief that dedicated technical administration is required. The creation of a RecTrac Systems Analyst in the FY21 budget would	Not Implemented  This recommendation has not been implemented yet. Staff is awaiting information/approval of the FY21 Budget for the IT Department.	Not Implemented  This recommendation has not been implemented yet. Staff would like to update the implementation date to November 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
4.2	Management should review RecTrac user accesses to ensure users only have access for which there is a necessary business need. This should include but not be limited to determining if a necessary business need exists for the ability to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes.	enhance day-to-day support/user experience, identify and resolve issues and improve process efficiencies as online transactions grow.  Responsible Party: Michael Gibson, PRM Director and Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager  Implementation Date: 03/01/2019  Access will be updated for Recreation Division Supervisors to restrict access and the ability to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes. This access will be updated by February 1, 2019 and remain with the Business Manager and Management Analysts only until PRM management can outline and implement a transition plan as identified in Management's Response 4.1, to include collaborating with Finance management on the impact the process changes will have on the day-to-day operations.	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. Access to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes has been restricted to Business Manager and Management Analysts only.	Implemented  This recommendation has been implemented. Access to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes has been restricted to Business Manager and Management Analysts only.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – January 23, 2020	Response – October 24, 2019
A2016-	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	o <b>n</b>	
		Responsible Party: Michael		
		Gibson, PRM Director and Adrianne		
		Thomas, Business Manager		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 03/01/2019		

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – January 23, 2020	Response – October 24, 2019
			-	_
A2019-	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Depa	artment		
1.1	Internal Audit recommends	Finance management has filed the	Implemented	Implemented
	management of the Finance	required fuel tax exemption package		
	Department to implement the	with WEX and will follow-up to	Completed	Completed
	following:	ensure the City is receiving the tax		
	1 Ell 1 feel 4	exemption status as requested.		
	1. File applicable fuel tax	Furthermore, the Finance Department will complete a		
	exemption documentation and follow-up to ensure the City	Department will complete a reconciliation to ensure		
	receives the fuel tax exemption	reimbursements were filed on behalf		
	status with WEX.	of the City, both for State and		
	Status With WEEK.	Federal, monthly and annually		
		respectively.		
		105pood: Cly		
		Responsible Party: Jody Picarella,		
		Accounting Manager		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
1.2	Internal Audit recommends	Research has been conducted to	Implemented	Implemented
	management of the Finance	determine if the City is eligible for	•	•
	Department to implement the	refunds, however, as of April 29,	Pending/Under Review	Pending/Under Review
	following:	2019 the amount and timeframe are	Refunds:	Refunds:
		still in the process of being finalized,	IRS - \$184,494.76	IRS - \$184,494.76
	2. Research to determine if the	knowing that time is of the essence.	NC- Excise Tax - \$334,030.21	NC- Excise Tax - \$334,030.21
	City is entitled to Federal and	Once the eligibility is finalized the	Total - \$518,524.97	Total - \$518,524.97
	State fuel tax refunds paid	City will work diligently to file the		
	since 2014 and request refunds,	required reports and documentation	IRS representative was onsite	•
	as deemed eligible.	to maximize the amount of the	10.7.19 to review IRS returns	10.7.19 to review IRS returns
		refund. The City is currently		
		working with WEX, North Carolina		

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2019	2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Department				
		Department of Revenue and the Internal Revenue Service.  Responsible Party: Jody Picarella, Accounting Manager  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	NC – first paper submission is under review. Once approved all other refunds will be submitted electronically.  The Finance department will continue to work with the Federal and State agencies to ensure the CoF receives the fuel tax refunds as these agencies deemed eligible.	NC – first paper submission is under review. Once approved all other refunds will be submitted electronically.  The Finance department will continue to work with the Federal and State agencies to ensure the CoF receives the fuel tax refunds as these agencies deemed eligible.	
1.3	Internal Audit recommends management of the Finance Department to implement the following:  3. Monitor WEX fuel card invoices for merchants not participating in the tax exemption program to ensure fuel tax refunds are requested.	WEX tax enrollment documentation states that merchant participation is optional, therefore, Finance management will receive transactional information reflecting fuel taxes reported for the non-participating merchants for follow-up on refunds based on State and Federal guidelines; whereas, the State is applied for monthly and Federal is applied for annually. Furthermore, Finance staff will periodically record a receivable to reflect and aide in the reconciliation process of reimbursements due to the City.	Implemented  Completed and ongoing – Finance has completed the recommended action on the May and June invoices. Finance will continually monitor future invoices for merchants that have opted out.	Completed and ongoing – Finance has completed the recommended action on the May and June invoices. Finance will continually monitor future invoices for merchants that have opted out.	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – January 23, 2020	Response – October 24, 2019
1.0010				
A2019-	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Depa	artment		
		Responsible Party: Jody Picarella,		
		Accounting Manager		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department				
2.1	Internal Audit recommends	The department's current business	The Office of Internal Audit is	Partially Implemented	
	management of the Fire	practices are aligned with the	conducting the follow-up audit.		

2.1	Internal Audit recommends	The department's current business	The Office of Internal Audit is	Partially Implemented
	management of the Fire	practices are aligned with the	conducting the follow-up audit.	
	Department implement the	recommended improvement	The report is scheduled to be	Awaiting finalization of city-
	recommendations as presented in	opportunities; however these are not	presented to the Audit	wide policy and Finance
	the audit report to ensure internal	codified in policy or other written	Committee on April 23, 2020.	Department WEX fuel card
	controls are established, followed,	guidance documents. The		processes to be established
	maintained, and properly	department is of the position that		
	documented to include a process to	there was no evidence found to		The Fire department
	ensure adequate follow-up	indicate any fraud has been		implemented FFD SOP# 306
	regarding questionable fueling	committed, nor has suspicion be		Use of Fuel Cards effective
	transactions is conducted.	raised that indicates any fraudulent		7/1/19. The SOP provides for
		activity with any of the WEX Fuel		the retention of a monthly
		Cards assigned to the		transaction log and receipt
		Fire/Emergency Management		collection that is reconciled with
		Department. The department		the monthly WEX report by the
		acknowledges there is a lack of		designated Fuel Card
		internal control measures that		Administrator.
		inhibits the detection of potential		
		fraudulent activity. The department		The Finance department has
		will assist with policy development		developed a written city-wide
		that addresses the concerns raised		policy with input from the Fire
		with the audit findings including, but		Department. The policy, to
		limited to, responsible and frugal use		include the Purchasing division
		of the department's fuel budget.		managing the maintenance of
				the cards, will be finalized once
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire		the 10 day review requirement
		Chief Kevin Morgan		by the Senior Management
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		Team is completed. Anticipated
		implementation Date: 9/1/2019		

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	- 1,00 <u></u> <b>p</b> -000		P.00	<u> </u>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm			
	T			
				completion date by the Finance department is October 31, 2019.
2.3	Management should ensure WEX fuel card PINs are only assigned to personnel with the ability and need to use the fuel cards.	It is an operational necessity that all fire department employees be issued a fueling Personal Identification Number (PIN), as all employees are required and subject to drive/operate department owned vehicles.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Implemented  Per FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards, each FFD employee will be assigned a PIN upon employment, and the fuel card administrator will immediately notify the Finance Department to deactivate upon separation of employment.
2.4	Assessment of a secure location in Fire vehicles and equipment should be completed to determine the safest location for fuel cards to be maintained. Policies should note suitable places to store the fuel card in City owned vehicles and equipment.	To address fuel card security, the department has placed lock boxes in most of the apparatus and are currently researching options to secure fuel cards in staff vehicles.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Fuel card lock boxes have been installed in all FFD vehicles and fire stations. Governance is defined in FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards.
3.1	Develop written city-wide and departmental policies and procedures for using WEX fuel cards, to include identifying the City administrator of the WEX fuel	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Partially Implemented  Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance

KEY

Not Implemented

**Past Implementation Date** 

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
	1 771 1: 1			D ( WEY C 1 1
	card program. These policies and	guidance documents. The		Department WEX fuel card
	procedures should address the City	department management is receptive		processes to be established
	administrator and user department responsibilities, as well as	to oversight by an overarching City		The Fire department has
	1	of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the		The Fire department has provided input to the COF Chief
	operational guidance.	WEX Fuel Card program.		Financial Officer for
		Additionally, the department will		development of a COF policy
		develop and implement an internal		governing issuance and use of a
		Standard Operating Guideline that		fuel purchasing Card. FFD SOP
		compliments the COF policy and		#306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> was
		addresses operational procedures		placed in to effect 7/1/19.
		needed to ensure business		<b>F</b>
		continuity. These documents will		The Finance department has
		clarify responsibilities, stipulate		developed a written city-wide
		training and define the process of		policy with input from the Fire
		issuing, terminating and ensuring		Department. The policy, to
		security of Personal Identification		include the Purchasing division
		Numbers (PIN).		managing the maintenance of
				the cards, will be finalized once
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire		the 10 day review requirement
		Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief		by the Senior Management
		Financial Officer Jay Toland		Team is completed. Anticipated
		<b>T D</b> 4 0/4/2010		completion date by the Finance
2.2		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		department is October 31, 2019.
3.2	Ensure user departments are	The department's current business	The Office of Internal Audit is	Partially Implemented
	provided copies of the city-wide	practices are aligned with the	conducting the follow-up audit.	A
	policies and procedures and are	recommended improvement	The report is scheduled to be	Awaiting finalization of city-
	requested to comply and develop	opportunities; however these are not		wide policy and Finance

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	eent		
	internal procedures for fuel cards. Training should be given to personnel that use WEX fuel cards to ensure understanding and adherence to the policies and procedures.	codified in policy or other written guidance documents. The department management is receptive to oversight by an overarching City of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the WEX Fuel Card program. Additionally, the department will develop and implement an internal Standard Operating Guideline that compliments the COF policy and addresses operational procedures needed to ensure business continuity. These documents will clarify responsibilities, stipulate training and define the process of issuing, terminating and ensuring security of Personal Identification Numbers (PIN).  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Department WEX fuel card processes to be established  The Finance department has developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire Department. The policy, to include the Purchasing division managing the maintenance of the cards, will be finalized once the 10 day review requirement by the Senior Management Team is completed. Anticipated completion date by the Finance department is October 31, 2019.
3.3	A process should be developed to ensure when personnel are no longer employed with the City,	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
	their WEX fuel card PIN number is deactivated immediately after their last day of employment.	opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. The department management is receptive to oversight by an overarching City of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the WEX Fuel Card program. Additionally, the department will develop and implement an internal Standard Operating Guideline that compliments the COF policy and addresses operational procedures needed to ensure business continuity. These documents will clarify responsibilities, stipulate training and define the process of issuing, terminating and ensuring security of Personal Identification Numbers (PIN).  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards specifies that PIN numbers will be deactivated when employees separate from employment.
3.4	Sharing PIN's should be considered a violation of policy.	The department's current business practices are aligned with the	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit.	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019-	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
		recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. The department management is receptive to oversight by an overarching City of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the WEX Fuel Card program. Additionally, the department will develop and implement an internal Standard Operating Guideline that compliments the COF policy and addresses operational procedures needed to ensure business continuity. These documents will clarify responsibilities, stipulate training and define the process of issuing, terminating and ensuring security of Personal Identification Numbers (PIN).  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland	The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	FFD Sop #306 Use of Fuel Cards states that there shall be no sharing of PINs.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
4.1	Management should ensure fuel consumption of each vehicle and personnel are monitored and reviewed and any abnormalities in fuel consumption, to include purchases of higher grade fuel, is investigated. Additionally, trend analysis should be performed to identify and justify increases/decreases in monthly fuel consumption.	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. Improvement Opportunity #2 references using odometer readings to track miles per gallon, which may prove to be problematic with fire apparatus. A considerable amount of engine run time of fire apparatus occurs when the vehicle is stationary on emergency incident scenes. The department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available		FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards assigns a Fuel Card Administrator within the department who is responsible for monitoring fuel purchases and detecting abnormalities.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department					
		for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be defined in the department's Standard Operating Guideline if implemented.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 7/1/2019				
4.2	Based on the type of apparatus/vehicle, management	The department's current business practices are aligned with the	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit.	Implemented		
	should establish a mechanism to track miles per gallon, assess vehicle utilization and monitor potential abuse.	recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. Improvement Opportunity #2 references using	The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	A monthly fuel log has been implemented along with the FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> with an effective date of 7/1/19. This log affords the		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
A2019-05	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department					
		odometer readings to track miles per gallon, which may prove to be problematic with fire apparatus. A considerable amount of engine run time of fire apparatus occurs when the vehicle is stationary on emergency incident scenes. The department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due		ability to assess fuel utilization and monitor for potential abuse.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019			
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department						
4.3	Although the WEX system allows fuel card controls, establishment of a process to review for exceptions is recommended.	to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be defined in the department's Standard Operating Guideline if implemented.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 7/1/2019  The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. Improvement Opportunity #2 references using odometer readings to track miles per gallon, which may prove to be problematic with fire apparatus. A considerable amount of engine run time of fire apparatus occurs when the vehicle is stationary on emergency incident scenes. The	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Implemented  FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards assigns a Fuel Card Administrator within the department who is responsible for monitoring fuel purchases and reviewing for exceptions.			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
A2019-05	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department					
		department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be defined in the department's Standard				

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department					
5.1	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  1. Require an annual physical verification of all fuel cards, as evidenced by signature and date of the personnel conducting the inventory and the cardholder assigned to the vehicle;	Operating Guideline if implemented.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 7/1/2019  The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Implemented  The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies the Fuel Card Administrator will conduct a periodic audit of all fuel cards.		
5.2	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies		
		guidance documents. These		the Fuel Card Administrator will		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019			
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department						
	2. Unaccounted for fuel cards should be deactivated immediately;	suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		notify the Finance Department to deactivate cards that are unaccounted for immediately.			
5.3	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  3. Management should develop and maintain a listing of vehicles and the fuel cards assigned, to include spare and miscellaneous fuel cards;	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	An inventory of all fuel cards is maintained by the Fuel Card Administrator as defined in FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards.			
5.4	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be	Implemented  The department will notify the Finance Department when			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
	4. Management should ensure cancellation of fuel cards due to disposal/surplus is completed on a timely basis and are followed-up to ensure they are deactivated;	codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan	presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	vehicles are to be disposed of. The Finance Department will deactivate assigned fuel cards as applicable.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
5.5	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  5. Establish a process in which fuel cards without activity for a given period of time are deactivated; and	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.  Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	The Fire department has identified an inventory process as recommended. The number of cards in use has been reduced. All cards currently assigned are needed for operational viability although some may continue to realize extended periods of nonuse.
6	Management should utilize the	The department will restrict the	The Office of Internal Audit is	Implemented
	controls within the WEX fuel card	ability to purchase only the fuel type	conducting the follow-up audit.	

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
	online system when possible, and when not possible, alternative controls should be put in place to detect exceptions.	used by the vehicle where possible. The department currently allows the purchase of non-fuel items (i.e. motor oil, DEF fluid, transmission fluid, etc.) with the WEX program, however data shows this has only been employed twice since implementation. Due to the limited need demonstrated, the department will restrict fuel cards to fuel purchases only.  Responsible Party: Office Manager Bobbie Bethea	The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	The purchase of fuel is governed by FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> effective 7/1/19.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 7/1/2019		
7	Internal Audit recommends WEX fuel card administration responsibilities be reassigned in order to achieve an effective separation.	The department management suggest the WEX program be administered by the City of Fayetteville (COF) Finance Office, utilizing similar internal controls that are applied to the COF Procurement Card Program. The department will develop and implement a standard operating guideline that compliments the COF WEX policy and delineates responsibility of operational	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established  Delegation has been established within the Fire department with FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards. However, the department has provided input and is

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
		functions to a department level as appropriate. The department will further separate operational and reconciliatory responsibilities respectively between the logistics division supervisor and the Office Manager as a measure of segregation of duties.  Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		awaiting the release of COF policy to realize true delegation of all responsibility related to fuel cards.  The Finance department has developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire Department. The policy, to include the Purchasing division managing the maintenance of the cards, will be finalized once the 10 day review requirement by the Senior Management Team is completed. Anticipated completion date by the Finance department is October 31, 2019.
8	Management should ensure personnel sign a fuel usage agreement that details the terms and conditions on the proper use of the fuel card prior to issuing fuel cards.	This process should be described in the City of Fayetteville WEX Policy and enforced by the department. This recommendation will be facilitated through use of Power DMS.  Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Office of Internal Audit is conducting the follow-up audit. The report is scheduled to be presented to the Audit Committee on April 23, 2020.	Partially Implemented  Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established  This recommendation will be addressed with release of the COF policy governing the use of fuel cards.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
				The Finance department has developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire Department. The policy, to include the Purchasing division managing the maintenance of the cards, will be finalized once the 10 day review requirement by the Senior Management Team is completed. Anticipated completion date by the Finance department is October 31, 2019.

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
2.1	Internal Audit recommends management of the Police Department implement the recommendations as presented in the audit report to ensure internal controls are established, followed, maintained, and properly documented to include a process to ensure adequate follow-up regarding questionable fueling transactions is conducted.	Implementation of a City policy will be established and the overall procedures for the police department are presently being evaluated to ensure best practice and accountability. Verifying if the "Pins" are operationally able to be changed and reassigned will be a part of that evaluation. Determining a "secure" location within the vehicles may be a challenge and will need to be determined. This will be recommended but likely monitored with daily accountability measures. Locations for fueling will also be evaluated by management.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Fayetteville Police Department will follow Police #315, City of Fayetteville Fuel Card Practices and Procedures.	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding the WEX fuel card usage prior to the audit including a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS to all employees as guidance for the issuance of and the usage of the fuel cards.  In addition to evaluating best practices, the FPD recommends that the fuel cards be assigned to the employee for usage.
2.2	Management within the Police	Implementation of a City policy will	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Department should consider changing the currently assigned	be established and the overall procedures for the police	Finance for the COF has	The Fayetteville Police
	WEX PINs to a confidential PIN.	department are presently being	assumed the responsibility for	Department took immediate
		evaluated to ensure best practice	assigning confidential PIN's to	action regarding the WEX fuel
		and accountability. Verifying if the	employees at the police	card usage prior to the audit
		"Pins" are operationally able to be	department.	including a memorandum that

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
		changed and reassigned will be a part of that evaluation. Determining a "secure" location within the vehicles may be a challenge and will need to be determined. This will be recommended but likely monitored with daily accountability measures. Locations for fueling will also be evaluated by management.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		was submitted through PowerDMS to all employees as guidance for the issuance of and the usage of the fuel cards.  In addition to evaluating best practices, the FPD recommends that the fuel cards be assigned to the employee for usage.
2.3	Management should ensure WEX fuel card PINs are only assigned to personnel with the ability and need to use the fuel cards.	Implementation of a City policy will be established and the overall procedures for the police department are presently being evaluated to ensure best practice and accountability. Verifying if the "Pins" are operationally able to be changed and reassigned will be a part of that evaluation. Determining a "secure" location within the vehicles may be a challenge and will need to be determined. This will be recommended but likely monitored with daily accountability	The Fayetteville Police Department will follow Police	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding the WEX fuel card usage prior to the audit including a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS to all employees as guidance for the issuance of and the usage of the fuel cards. In addition to evaluating best practices, the FPD recommends that the fuel cards be assigned

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
		measures. Locations for fueling will		to the employee for usage.
		also be evaluated by management.		
		Degranaible Doutes Assistant Chief		
		<b>Responsible Party:</b> Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly		
		Anthony Keny		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
2.4	Assessment of a secure location in	Implementation of a City policy will	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Police vehicles and equipment	be established and the overall	-	,
	should be completed to determine	procedures for the police	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	the safest location for fuel cards to	department are presently being	Department will follow Police	Department took immediate
	be maintained. Policies should	evaluated to ensure best practice	#315, City of Fayetteville Fuel	action regarding the WEX fuel
	note suitable places to store the	and accountability. Verifying if the	Card Practices and Procedures.	card usage prior to the audit
	fuel card in City owned vehicles	"Pins" are operationally able to be		including a memorandum that
	and equipment.	changed and reassigned will be a		was submitted through
		part of that evaluation. Determining a "secure" location within the		PowerDMS to all employees as guidance for the issuance of and
		vehicles may be a challenge and		the usage of the fuel cards.
		will need to be determined. This		the usage of the fuel cards.
		will be recommended but likely		In addition to evaluating best
		monitored with daily accountability		practices, the FPD recommends
		measures. Locations for fueling will		that the fuel cards be assigned
		also be evaluated by management.		to the employee for usage.
		Responsible Party: Assistant Chief		
		Anthony Kelly		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
		implementation Date. 7/1/2017		

KEY

Not Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
3.1	Develop written city-wide and departmental policies and procedures for using WEX fuel cards, to include identifying the City administrator of the WEX fuel card program. These policies and procedures should address the City administrator and user department responsibilities, as well as operational guidance.	Departmental Procedures are being evaluated for best practices and will ensure they are in compliance with City-Wide policy.  Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented  The Fayetteville Police Department will follow Police #315, City of Fayetteville Fuel Card Practices and Procedures.	Partially Implemented  The city-wide policy is currently being reviewed and recommendations are being made.  In addition, all FPD employees signed off on a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS in January 2019 as it pertains to guidance for the issuance and usage of fuel cards.
3.2	Ensure user departments are provided copies of the city-wide policies and procedures and are requested to comply and develop internal procedures for fuel cards. Training should be given to personnel that use WEX fuel cards to ensure understanding and adherence to the policies and procedures.	Departmental Procedures are being evaluated for best practices and will ensure they are in compliance with City-Wide policy.  Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Fayetteville Police Department will follow Police #315, City of Fayetteville Fuel Card Practices and Procedures.	Partially Implemented  The city-wide policy is currently being reviewed and recommendations are being made.  In addition, all FPD employees signed off on a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS in January 2019 as it pertains to guidance for the issuance and usage of fuel

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2019-	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department				
				cards.	

				cards.
				cards.
3.3	A process should be developed to	Departmental Procedures are being	Implemented	Implemented
3.3	ensure when personnel are no	evaluated for best practices and will	Implemented	Implemented
	longer employed with the City,	ensure they are in compliance with	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	their WEX fuel card PIN number	¥	3	9
		City-Wide policy.	Department utilizes POL-122	Department utilizes POL-122
	is deactivated immediately after	D HID ( CIT C V	for when an employee separates	for when an employee separates
	their last day of employment.	Responsible Party: Chief Gina V.	employment to ensure the fuel	employment to ensure the fuel
		Hawkins and Chief Financial	card is deactivated and the card	card is deactivated and the card
		Officer Jay Toland	is turned in with the vehicle	is turned in with the vehicle
			through personnel assigned to	through personnel assigned to
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019	Technical Services.	Technical Services.
3.4	Sharing PIN's should be	Departmental Procedures are being	Implemented	Implemented
	considered a violation of policy.	evaluated for best practices and will		
		ensure they are in compliance with	The memorandum that was	The memorandum that was
		City-Wide policy.	submitted through PowerDMS	submitted through PowerDMS
			in January 2019 provides	in January 2019 provides
		<b>Responsible Party:</b> Chief Gina V.	instructions for when an	instructions for when an
		Hawkins and Chief Financial	employee does not remember	employee does not remember
		Officer Jay Toland	their PIN and the steps to have	their PIN and the steps to have
		•	it reset.	it reset.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
4.1	Management should ensure fuel	This will be included with the City-	Implemented	Implemented
	consumption of each vehicle and	wide policy and departmental		
	personnel are monitored and	procedure.	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	reviewed and any abnormalities in		Department instituted a fuel	Department instituted a fuel
	fuel consumption, to include	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Chief Gina V.	mileage log that is submitted	mileage log that is submitted
	purchases of higher grade fuel, is	Hawkins and Chief Financial	biweekly to capture mileage	biweekly to capture mileage

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
		2	-	-
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
	investigated. Additionally, trend	Officer Jay Toland	and fuel usage of personnel.	and fuel usage of personnel.
	analysis should be performed to			
	identify and justify	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019	In addition, the Technical	
	increases/decreases in monthly		Services Unit, conducts	Services Unit, conducts
	fuel consumption.		monthly inspections that	monthly inspections that
			includes built-in reports to	includes built-in reports to
4.2	Based on the type of	This will be included with the City-	detect exceptions.  Implemented	detect exceptions.  Implemented
4.2	Based on the type of apparatus/vehicle, management	wide policy and departmental	implemented	implemented
	should establish a mechanism to	procedure.	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	track miles per gallon, assess	p1000001	Department instituted a fuel	Department instituted a fuel
	vehicle utilization and monitor	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Chief Gina V.	mileage log that is submitted	mileage log that is submitted
	potential abuse.	Hawkins and Chief Financial	biweekly to capture mileage	biweekly to capture mileage
		Officer Jay Toland	and fuel usage of personnel.	and fuel usage of personnel.
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
4.3	Although the WEX system allows	This will be included with the City-	Implemented	Implemented
	fuel card controls, establishment	wide policy and departmental	m	m
	of a process to review for exceptions is recommended.	procedure.	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate	The Fayetteville Police
	exceptions is recommended.	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Chief Gina V.	action regarding the WEX fuel	Department took immediate action regarding the WEX fuel
		Hawkins and Chief Financial	card usage prior to the aduit	card usage prior to the aduit
		Officer Jay Toland	including a memorandum that	including a memorandum that
			was submitted through	was submitted through
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019	PowerDMS in January 2019 to	PowerDMS in January 2019 to
		-	all employees as guidance for	all employees as guidance for
			the issuance of and the usage of	the issuance of and the usage of
			the fuel cards.	the fuel cards.

Not Implemented

KEY

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
5.1	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  1. Require an annual physical verification of all fuel cards, as evidenced by signature and date of the personnel conducting the inventory and the cardholder assigned to the vehicle;	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Fayetteville Police Department has completed a 100% inventory of all WEX fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services.	The Fayetteville Police Department has completed a 100% inventory of all WEX fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services.
5.2	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  2. Unaccounted for fuel cards should be deactivated immediately;	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented  The Fayetteville Police Department has completed a 100% inventory of all WEX fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services and any fuel cards that were unaccounted for, were deactivated.	Implemented  The Fayetteville Police Department has completed a 100% inventory of all WEX fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services and any fuel cards that were unaccounted for, were deactivated.
5.3	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the	Implemented The Fayetteville Police	Implemented The Fayetteville Police

KEY

Not Implemented

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
_				
	minimum, the following should be performed:	best practice for the future.	Department has a system already in place that identifies	Department has a system already in place that identifies
		<b>Responsible Party:</b> Assistant Chief	the assignment of fuel cards.	the assignment of fuel cards.
	3. Management should	Anthony Kelly		
	develop and maintain a listing of vehicles and the	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019		
	fuel cards assigned, to	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
	include spare and			
	miscellaneous fuel cards;			
5.4	Internal Audit recommends the	This will occur with the	Implemented	Implemented
	Police Department implement a	development of the departmental		
	fuel card inventory process. At a	procedure as well as evaluating the	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	minimum, the following should be	best practice for the future.	Department has completed a	Department has completed a
	performed:	Degrandible Doutes Assistant Chief	100% inventory of all WEX	100% inventory of all WEX
	4. Management should	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly	fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services and any	fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services and any
	ensure cancellation of fuel	Althony Keny	fuel cards that were	fuel cards that were
	cards due to	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019	unaccounted for, were	unaccounted for, were
	disposal/surplus is	implementation Dates of 1/2019	deactivated.	deactivated.
	completed on a timely			
	basis and are followed-up			
	to ensure they are			
	deactivated;			
5.5	Internal Audit recommends the	This will occur with the	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Police Department implement a	development of the departmental	The E	The E
	fuel card inventory process. At a	procedure as well as evaluating the	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	minimum, the following should be performed:	best practice for the future.	Department will conduct a 6 month review to determine if a	Department will conduct a 6 month review to determine if a
<u> </u>	perrornicu.		month review to determine if a	month review to determine if a

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019		
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department					
	5. Establish a process in which fuel cards without activity for a given period of time are deactivated; and	Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	fuel card has not been utilized and make the determination if the fuel card should be deactivated.	fuel card has not been utilized and make the determination if the fuel card should be deactivated.		
5.6	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:  6. The Police Department should immediately complete a physical inventory of all WEX fuel cards to ensure existence and immediately deactivate all fuel cards not located.	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards.	As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards.		
6	Management should utilize the controls within the WEX fuel card online system when possible, and when not possible, alternative controls should be put in place to detect exceptions.	The existing controls will be evaluated to determine which thresholds need to be increased.  Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly  Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented  The Fayetteville Police Department through our Technical Services Unit, conducts monthly inspections that includes built-in reports to detect exceptions.	Implemented  The Fayetteville Police Department through our Technical Services Unit, conducts monthly inspections that includes built-in reports to detect exceptions.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
7	Internal Audit recommends WEX	Management Concurs	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	fuel card administration responsibilities be reassigned in	<b>Responsible Party:</b> Chief Gina V.	The Fayetteville Police	The city-wide policy is
	order to achieve an effective	Hawkins and Chief Financial	Department will follow Police	currently being reviewed and
	separation.	Officer Jay Toland		recommendations are being
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019	Card Practices and Procedures.	made.
8	Management should ensure	This will be a part of the overall	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	personnel sign a fuel usage	departmental training of the City-		
	agreement that details the terms	wide policy.	The Fayetteville Police	The city-wide policy is

Responsible Party: Assistant Chief

**Implementation Date:** 9/1/2019

The evaluation of the entire process

will include clear internal controls

and documented accountability of

**Responsible Party:** Chief Gina V.

Anthony Kelly

the process.

Department will follow Police

#315, City of Fayetteville Fuel

Card Practices and Procedures.

Favetteville

Department will follow Police

#315, City of Fayetteville Fuel

Card Practices and Procedures.

**Implemented** 

The

and conditions on the proper use

of the fuel card prior to issuing

Internal Audit recommends the

Police Department implement the

recommendations as previously

presented in the above findings to

ensure internal controls are

established, followed, maintained,

fuel cards.

policy

currently being reviewed and

recommendations are being

In addition, all FPD employees signed off on a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS in January 2019 as it pertains to guidance for the issuance and usage of fuel

**Partially Implemented** 

city-wide

currently being reviewed and

recommendations are being

made.

cards.

made.

Police

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department				
	and properly documented to	Hawkins			
	include a process to ensure				
	adequate follow-up regarding	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 9/1/2019			
	questionable fueling transactions.				

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019-06 Code Violation Enforcement and Collections				

1.1	The Office of Internal Audit	The development of the policies and	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends management:	procedures must be in accord with		
		the city attorney practices which	The Assistant Director was hired	The Development Services
	1. Develop comprehensive	1 ,	mid-December. The hire allows	department has been without an
	policies and procedures that	•	the comprehensive policies and	assistant director since the work
	provide consistent code	various departments to continue	procedures to continue to be	of the audit and the initial report
	enforcement, to include but not	creating the balance of not becoming	detailed and written. More	to the Audit Committee. The
	limited to: (a) number of re-	"too policy-constrained to be	detailed coordination with the	schedule of actions recognized
	inspections and extensions	responsive and one that permits too	Police Department, Public	the impact of this vacant
	allowed; (b) number of days	much freedom to generate focused	Services, and the City	position and realistically placed
	allowed to correct specific	activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with	Attorney's office continues. We	implementation dates to reflect
	code enforcement violations;	more written policies and procedures	are on-schedule for 6/30/20.	the short-staffing impact. Still,
	(c) expectations of timely re-	than presently exist. Currently, 69		work is underway by others to
	inspections; (d) when	types of city code violations are		move the implementation
	exceptions are applicable to the	handled by the division. Design by		forward.
	standard enforcement process;	category of city ordinance violations		
	and (e) approval and	will be initially categorized to create		In September, the Department
	documentation process for	designs for code violation types		Director trained two individuals
	exceptions.	standardizing all case types with the		on process mapping to create
		to-be-revised city code ordinances		graphics on the processes used
		that also will become more		in various code enforcement
		standardized.		activities. In turn, the division
				continues to work on process
		The immediate application of the		mapping to more completely
		city's newly created nuisance		document the variety of existing
		properties ordinance is underway.		code enforcement actions,
		The newly formed nuisance		thereby creating the needed
		ordinance will have relatable		steps for developing the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement a	nd Collections		
		policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold this provision into the new procedures. The division will further coordinate with the Fayetteville Police Department in encouraging activities connected to the RAMP program that presents opportunities for additional code enforcement of the most challenging rental housing properties.  The division manager and supervisors presently meet weekly with the code enforcement team. They will immediately begin an element of training as a team and individual inspector training as new written policies are created. Fine tuning of draft policies will occur in conjunction with the front-line enforcement team and the legal team to ensure defensible practices exist with all personnel. Quarterly quality reviews will occur with evidenced reports presented back to the Development Services Assistant Director.		complete policies and procedures. The two types of process mapping events focused on demolitions and general code enforcement actions. Doing these allows us to also see the impact of the various city ordinances on timelines of actions.  We are ahead of schedule on item 1.1.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
1.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  2. Ensure personnel are trained on comprehensive policies and procedures.	Responsible Party: Housing & Code Enforcement Division Manager  Implementation Date: 06/30/2020  The development of the policies and procedures must be in accord with the city attorney practices which create multiple, non-linear paths for code compliance. We will work various departments to continue creating the balance of not becoming "too policy-constrained to be responsive and one that permits too much freedom to generate focused activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with more written policies and procedures than presently exist. Currently, 69 types of city code violations are handled by the division. Design by category of city ordinance violations will be initially categorized to create designs for code violation types standardizing all case types with the to-be-revised city code ordinances that also will become more standardized.	Partially Implemented  Activity of personnel training on comprehensive policies and procedures continues verbally and with a training manual that is being re-tooled to match item 1.1. Partial implementation as existing policies and procedures are being refined and shared with managers. We are onschedule for 6/30/20.	Not Implemented  Through the development of the flowcharts created by the process mapping, Code Enforcement personnel has begun. This will continue in direct relation to the preparation of the items of 1.2. We are ahead of schedule on item 1.2.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019-0	06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		The immediate application of the city's newly created nuisance properties ordinance is underway. The newly formed nuisance ordinance will have relatable policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold this provision into the new procedures. The division will further coordinate with the Fayetteville Police Department in encouraging activities connected to the RAMP program that presents opportunities for additional code enforcement of the most challenging rental housing properties.		
		The division manager and supervisors presently meet weekly with the code enforcement team. They will immediately begin an element of training as a team and individual inspector training as new written policies are created. Fine tuning of draft policies will occur in conjunction with the front-line enforcement team and the legal team to ensure defensible practices exist		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
1.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  3. Develop a documented quality review program to ensure compliance with comprehensive policies and procedures.	with all personnel. Quarterly quality reviews will occur with evidenced reports presented back to the Development Services Assistant Director.  Responsible Party: Housing & Code Enforcement Division Manager  Implementation Date: 06/30/2020  The development of the policies and procedures must be in accord with the city attorney practices which create multiple, non-linear paths for code compliance. We will work various departments to continue creating the balance of not becoming "too policy-constrained to be responsive and one that permits too much freedom to generate focused activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with more written policies and procedures than presently exist. Currently, 69 types of city code violations are handled by the division. Design by category of city ordinance violations will be initially categorized to create	Not Implemented On schedule for 6/30/20	Not Implemented  1.3 activities occur as items 1.1 and 1.2 are rolled out. Therefore, this one has not begun in the two months since the presentation of audit findings. This is as planned.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019-0	6 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		designs for code violation types standardizing all case types with the to-be-revised city code ordinances that also will become more standardized.  The immediate application of the city's newly created nuisance properties ordinance is underway. The newly formed nuisance ordinance will have relatable policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold this provision into the new procedures. The division will further coordinate with the Fayetteville Police Department in encouraging activities connected to the RAMP program that presents opportunities for additional code enforcement of the most challenging rental housing properties.		
		The division manager and supervisors presently meet weekly with the code enforcement team. They will immediately begin an element of training as a team and		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		individual inspector training as new written policies are created. Fine		
		tuning of draft policies will occur in		
		conjunction with the front-line		
		enforcement team and the legal team		
		to ensure defensible practices exist		
		with all personnel. Quarterly quality		
		reviews will occur with evidenced		
		reports presented back to the		
		Development Services Assistant		
		Director.		
		Responsible Party: Housing &		
		Code Enforcement Division		
		Manager		
		-		
		<b>Implementation Date:</b> 06/30/2020		
2.1	The Office of Internal Audit	The current city ordinances create	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends:	varying times for compliance and	The level andinoness were the	2.1 As the Audit was living
	1. Development Services	different citation charges. US Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana,	The local ordinances needing updated are identified and the	2.1. As the Audit was being finalized, Development Services
	management should coordinate	2019) implications of due process	process of adjusting resolutions	and the City Attorney's office
	with the City Attorney's office	and prohibiting excessive fines will	are underway. This is on	had begun the first of the city
	to update the City Code of	help frame the review of existing	schedule for 3/30/20.	code adjustments. We are also
	Ordinances as deemed	ordinances, fee schedules, and		working these in conjunction
	necessary and ensure	practices.		with the state's new statute,
	comprehensive policies and			160D, to continue work on the
	procedures are in agreement.			matching of not only

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
	Any updates to the City Code of Ordinances should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes.	Development Services will coordinate with the City Attorney's office in producing suggested City Ordinance changes to address existing incongruities. Prior city policies will be updated with the City Attorney's office as in prior practice. City Ordinance revisions and adjustments will need City Council approval.  Existing practices will be converted to more formal written processes dealing with site and structure specific circumstances and general best practices for case management.  An existing practice of an annual review of betterment practices for the department will continue to fold in the meetings with the City Attorney's office has occurred prior to this audit which identified this need, independent of the audit, and has resulted in City Ordinance changes earlier in 2019.		enforcement procedures to city ordinances, but also state statutes and US Supreme Court actions of 2019. We are on schedule with item 2.1.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
2.2	The Office of Internal Audit	Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services  Implementation Date: 03/30/2020  The current city ordinances create	Not Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends:  2. Management should obtain written guidance from the City Attorney's office for the enforcement of code violations that deviate from the standard code enforcement cases.	varying times for compliance and different citation charges. US Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana, 2019) implications of due process and prohibiting excessive fines will help frame the review of existing ordinances, fee schedules, and practices.  Development Services will coordinate with the City Attorney's office in producing suggested City Ordinance changes to address existing incongruities. Prior city policies will be updated with the City Attorney's office as in prior practice. City Ordinance revisions and adjustments will need City Council approval.  Existing practices will be converted to more formal written processes dealing with site and structure	Written City Attorney guidance regarding deviating from standard code enforcement cases is in coordination with 2.1 City Code compliance updates. Preliminary discussions have begun with legal. This is on schedule for 3/30/20.	2.2. The Department Director spent time with the City Attorney's personnel to begin this activity in the absence of an assistant director. Additionally, the director observed a series of appeal hearings of various types of code enforcement cases to further appreciate the nuanced approach that is needed to creating a flexible standard that will be necessary in implementing this activity 2.2. This item is ahead of schedule.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		specific circumstances and general best practices for case management.  An existing practice of an annual review of betterment practices for the department will continue to fold in the meetings with the City Attorney's office has occurred prior to this audit which identified this need, independent of the audit, and has resulted in City Ordinance changes earlier in 2019.  Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services		
2.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends:  3. Management should develop a process for reviewing the City's Code of Ordinances, as it pertains to code enforcement, to ensure updates are made as necessary.	Implementation Date: 03/30/2020  The current city ordinances create varying times for compliance and different citation charges. US Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana, 2019) implications of due process and prohibiting excessive fines will help frame the review of existing ordinances, fee schedules, and practices.	Not Implemented  On schedule for 3/30/20.	Not Implemented  2.3. This item is underway in conjunction with 2.1, and is considered on schedule.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		Development Services will coordinate with the City Attorney's office in producing suggested City Ordinance changes to address existing incongruities. Prior city policies will be updated with the City Attorney's office as in prior practice. City Ordinance revisions and adjustments will need City Council approval.  Existing practices will be converted to more formal written processes dealing with site and structure specific circumstances and general best practices for case management.  An existing practice of an annual review of betterment practices for the department will continue to fold in the meetings with the City Attorney's office has occurred prior to this audit which identified this need, independent of the audit, and has resulted in City Ordinance changes earlier in 2019.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services  Implementation Date: 03/30/2020		
3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends:  Development Services management should coordinate with the Finance Department to develop comprehensive policies and procedures showing clear lines of departmental responsibilities regarding the assessment and collections of abatements costs, civil penalties and administrative fees, to include but not limited to the authority to waive/reduce abatement costs, collection agency reporting and periodic reconciliations.	The lack of delineation was determined in January 2019 by the Development Services Director and Assistant Director. Coordination began with the newly appointed Chief Financial Officer to work through the roles of each department. The formalizing of these policies is targeted to occur within the first six months of the current vacant position being filled. The first three months of the new person as the Assistant Director will allow an acclimation of overall duties and documentation of the process in place and issues to resolve.  Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Service  Implementation Date: 03/30/2020	Not Implemented  On schedule for 3/30/20.	3. Activity on this item is still designed to begin within the first six months of the hiring of an assistant director. The process of finding and hiring a qualified applicant continues. It should be noted that this item of delineating Finance Department and Development Services clear lines is ongoing as it was identified outside of the audit in January 2019. The focus of this finding to code enforcement is still on schedule.

Implemented

**Partially Implemented** 

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-04 Residential Solid Waste Fees			
1.1	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  1. Solicit City Council's support on updates necessary to the City Code of Ordinances Chapter 22, Solid Waste as it will be essential to ensure adherence to the City Code of Ordinances;	Management will seek council direction regarding level/scope of solid waste services. Full implementation of 'Recommendation #1' is contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within solid waste division with no significant additions such as service to multifamily units or commercial facilities.	Not Implemented  Solid Waste Management is in the process of reviewing the Solid Waste Ordinance. We are preparing a power point presentation for council. Department internal review of first draft presentation expected by Jan 31, 2020. Team is on target to meet the April 30, 2020	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at October 24, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.
1.2	The Office of Internal Audit	Responsible Party: Public Services Director  Implementation Date:  Management will seek Council support in the format of a preliminary work session presentation by April 30, 2020 and follow up ordinance updates by September 30, 2020 contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within the solid waste division.	deadline.	Not Applicable — Audit report
1.2	recommends management:	Management will seek council direction regarding level/scope of solid waste services. Full implementation of	Not Implemented  Solid Waste Management is in the process of reviewing the	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at October 24, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-04 Residential Solid Waste Fees			
	2. Coordinate with the City Attorney's office to update the City Code of Ordinances to allow solid waste services to be provided consistently and ensure the residential solid waste fees are being assessed appropriately. Any updates to the City Code of Ordinances should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes.	'Recommendation #1' is contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within solid waste division with no significant additions such as service to multifamily units or commercial facilities.  Responsible Party: Public Services Director  Implementation Date:  Management will seek Council support in the format of a preliminary work session presentation by April 30, 2020 and follow up ordinance updates by September 30, 2020 contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within the solid waste division.	Solid Waste Ordinance. We are preparing a power point presentation for council. Department internal review of first draft presentation expected by Jan 31, 2020. Team is on target to meet the April 30, 2020 deadline.	
2.1	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  1. Update the customer addresses in Fleetmind consistent with current routes.	Management concurs with recommendations to update the customer address in Fleetmind consistent with the current routes and existing level of service. Services will be field verified and updated into Fleetmind one record at a time.	Partially Implemented  An audit was conducted by Solid Waste using the refuse drivers to verify services of each collection point. Once the audit was concluded there were approximately 300 more	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at October 24, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-04 Residential Solid Waste Fees			
2.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  2. Develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind as needed to maintain current, accurate, valid data.	Responsible Party: Public Services Director  Implementation Date: Public Services Solid Waste Division will update the customer address in FleetMind consistent with the current routes and existing level of service by March 31, 2020.  Management concurs with the need to develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind to maintain a current, accurate, and valid data base. However this process is contingent upon the outcomes of 'Recommendation #1'. Further, full implementation of these processes involves compliance and cooperation from entities outside the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division such as CoF's Planning Division, Cumberland County and FleetMind Vendor. Implementation dates provided below are for those activities that are	collection points than in Fleetmind. These collection point will be added to Fleetmind by January 31, 2020.  Not Implemented  Solid Waste is exploring options on how to update the current data base that Fleetmind uses for addresses. This step is necessary to help develop a 'process' to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind to maintain a current, accurate, and valid data base. Furtherfull implementation is contingent upon "Recommendation #1."	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at October 24, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.
		within the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019	-04 Residential Solid Waste Fees			
2.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:  3. Develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity, once the processes are established.	Division as well as what can be accomplished within Council appropriated budgetary limits.  Responsible Party: Public Services Director  Implementation Date: Public Services Solid Waste Division will develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind to maintain a current, accurate, and valid data base by June 30, 2022 contingent upon management responses.  Management concurs with the need to develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity. However this process is contingent upon the outcomes of 'Recommendation #1". Further, full implementation of these processes involves compliance and cooperation from entities outside the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division such as CoF's Planning Division, Cumberland County and FleetMind Vendor.	Not Implemented  Solid Waste Management will start writing policies and procedures to maintain data integrity for Fleetmind once the Solid Waste Ordinance has been updated.	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at October 24, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	<b>Past Implementation Date</b>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – January 23, 2020	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019
A2019-0	04 Residential Solid Waste Fees			
		Implementation dates provided below are for those activities that are within the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division as well as what can be accomplished within Council appropriated budgetary limits.  Responsible Party: Public Services Director		
		Implementation Date: Public Services Solid Waste Division will develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity by June 30, 2022 contingent upon management responses.		