

Audit Committee Meeting October 24, 2019 @ 3:00pm 1st Floor – LaFayette Room 433 Hay Street, Fayetteville, NC 28301

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Welcome Evelyn Shaw, PWC Chairperson
- 3. Introduction of New Internal Audit Team Member, Amanda Rich
- 4. Approval of Agenda
- 5. Approval of Meeting Minutes
- 6. Request Special Meeting for the purpose of RSM presenting the FY2018-2019 Financial Audit (Requested by Jay Toland, Chief Financial Officer)
- 7. Internal Audit Activities (Presented by Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director):
 - a. Residential Solid Waste Fees Audit (A2019-04)
- 8. Other Business
 - a. Annual Audit Plan Proposed Engagements for FY2020
 - b. Internal Audit Annual Report FY2019
- 9. Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report
- 10. Adjournment

Attachments:

- a) Draft Meeting Minutes August 8, 2019
- b) Residential Solid Waste Fees Audit Report A2019-04
- c) Annual Audit Plan FY 2020
- d) Internal Audit Annual Report FY 2019
- e) Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report 1st Quarter FYE20

433 Hay Street Fayetteville, NC 28301-5537 (910) 433-1672 | (910) 433-1680 Fax www.cityoffayetteville.org

AUDIT COMMITTEE QUARTERLY MEETING MINUTES LAFAYETTE CONFERENCE ROOM, 1st FLOOR CITY HALL, 433 HAY STREET, FAYETTEVILLE WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 8, 2019 3:00 P.M.

Committee Members Present: Darsweil Rogers, PWC Chairman (Via telephone)

Council Member Dawkins Council Member Crisp

Council Member Larry Wright (Arrived at 3:27 p.m.)

Amy Samperton, FTCC Director of Procurement & Equipment

Committee Members Absent: Dr. Pamela Jackson, FSU Provost

Others Present:

Staff Present:

Douglas Hewett, City Manager Karen McDonald, City Attorney

Telly Whitfield, Assistant City Manager

Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director

Rose Rasmussen, Senior Internal Audit Jay Toland, Interim Chief Financial Officer Gerald Newton, Development Services Director

Kim Toon, Purchasing Manager Hieu Sifford, Deputy Fire Chief

Michael Gibson, Parks, Recreation, and Maintenance Director

Jennifer Ayre, Deputy Clerk

1.0 Call to Order

Council Member Dawkins called the meeting to order at 3:01 p.m.

2.0 Approval of the Agenda

MOTION: Council Member Crisp moved to approve the agenda.

SECOND: Ms. Samperton
VOTE: UNANIMOUS (4-0)

3.0 Approval of the Minutes

May 2, 2019

MOTION: Council Member Crisp moved to approve the minutes from the May 2, 2019 meeting.

SECOND: Ms. Samperton
VOTE: UNANIMOUS (4-0)

4.0 Internal Audit Activities

4.01 Code Violation Enforcement and Collections Audit (A2019-06)

Ms. Rose Rasmussen, Senior Internal Auditor stated a performance audit was completed for Chapter 14: Homes, Dwellings and Buildings; Chapter 16: Motor Vehicles and Traffic; and Chapter 22: Solid Waste. The Audit was approved in the FY19 Audit Plan. Ms. Rasmussen stated development services provides enforcement of housing and nuisance code violations. The objective of the audit was to determine if the code enforcement penalties and abatement costs were properly and completely imposed following established City fees, and if internal controls were sufficient regarding the civil penalties. The scope of time reviewed was January 1, 2018 – May 15, 2019.

Finding 1: Current written policies and procedures were not all inclusive causing inconsistent enforcement of City Code violations.

Ms. Rasmussen stated the Internal Audit Department did find written policies and procedures, however, they were not comprehensive enough to provide clear guidance on all processes within code enforcement. Because enforcement is looked at on a case-by-case bases there was inconsistent enforcement. Ms. Rasmussen also stated there were differences between cases when re-inspections were completed. The Internal Audit Department recommended the following: 1) Develop comprehensive policies and procedures that provide consistent code enforcement; 2) Ensure personnel are trained on policies and procedures; and 3) Develop a documented quality review program to ensure compliance with comprehensive policies and procedures. Management concurred with the recommendations.

<u>Finding 2: Processes were not always in compliance with the City Code of Ordinances and adopted fee</u> schedule

Ms. Rasmussen stated in April of 2011, the City Attorney's office provided a proposal to improve code enforcement collections and the proposal was to allow for realistic penalties while stopping exorbitant penalties. This proposal was put into practice however the City Code of Ordinance were not updated to match current practices. City Code does not provide a maximum amount of days the City can issue a violation and each day is considered a new violation. Current practice states if the owner abates the issue prior to being sent to collections, the owner is charged for 1 day. If it is abated after it is sent to collections the owner is charged for 10 days. Mr. Doug Hewett, City Manager stated if the violation is not abated the City can send it to Environmental Court. If current practice is the best option, Council needs to adopt an ordinance to update the City Code.

Discussion ensued.

The Internal Audit Department recommended the following: 1) Development Services management should coordinate with the City Attorney's office to update the City Code of Ordinances as deemed necessary and ensure comprehensive policies and procedures are in agreement. Any updates to the City Code of Ordinances should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statute; 2) Management should obtain written guidance from the City Attorney's office for the enforcement of code violations that deviate from the standard code enforcement cases; 3) Management should develop a process for reviewing the City's Code of Ordinances, as it pertains to code enforcement, to ensure updates are made as necessary. Management concurred with the recommendations.

Discussion ensued.

Finding 3: Financial oversight for code violation enforcement and collections needed improvement.

Ms. Rasmussen stated several reconciliations were completed to verify if abatement and administration fees were levied and collected. Based on the reconciliations sometimes abatement costs were waived or reduced without policy or procedures allowing for it and civil penalties were insufficiently tracked to include reporting to collections. The Internal Audit Department recommended Development Services management should coordinate with the Finance Department to develop comprehensive policies and procedures regarding the assessment and collections of abatement costs, civil penalties, and administrative fees. Management concurred with the recommendation. Mr. Gerald Newton, Development Services Director stated he and Mr. Jay Toland, Chief Financial Officer are already working on addressing the issue since the beginning of 2019.

Discussion ensued.

MOTION: Council Member Wright moved to accept the Code Violation Enforcement and

Collections Audit (2019-06)

SECOND: Ms. Samperton
VOTE: UNANIMOUS (5-0)

5.0 Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report

This item was for information only and not presented.

Council Member Crisp thanked Mr. Gerald Newton for resolving the final finding from 2016 for permitting and inspections.

Council Member Wright asked if the WEX Fuel Cards findings have been resolved. Deputy Fire Chief Hieu Sifford stated the Fire Department has implemented a monthly log policy and the first set of statements for July were received this month for review.

6.0 Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting adjour	rned at 3:47 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,	
JENNIFER AYRE	DARSWEIL ROGERS
Deputy Clerk	Chairman
08082019	



Compliance Audit 2019-04 Residential Solid Waste Fees

October 2019

Director of Internal Audit Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor Rose Rasmussen

Internal AuditorAmanda Rich



OUR MISSION

Provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the City of Fayetteville's operations.

Director of Internal Audit Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor Rose Rasmussen

Internal Auditor
Amanda Rich

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.	
Background	
Findings, Recommendations and Management Responses	
Conclusion	

http://fayettevillenc.gov/government/city-departments/internal-audit

Mailing Address: 433 Hay Street, Fayetteville, NC 28301

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Office of Internal Audit conducted a performance audit of the City of Fayetteville's Public Services, residential solid waste fee. The City Code of Ordinances defines "solid waste" as the "accumulations consisting of any combination of business trash, wet or dry garbage, household trash, bulk items, yard waste and recyclables." The Solid Waste Division of the Public Services Department are required to provide residential solid waste collection, either directly or through a vendor contract, to include recycling, bulky items¹, residential and yard waste² collections to residents of the City of Fayetteville who pay the \$190 per year residential solid waste fee.

Effective July 1, 2008, City Council directed City management to create an enterprise fund for the purpose of administering a city-wide curbside recycling program. The 2008-2009 budget ordinance was adopted approving a budget of \$2.5 million in expenditures and a \$42 per year fee to be levied to fund the city-wide curbside recycling. However, the \$8.0 million expenditure budget for all other solid waste operations to include administration, bulky item, residential and yard waste collection remained accounted for in the General Fund. The accounting for the curbside recycling program remained exclusively in the Environmental Services fund until July 1, 2013.

On June 24, 2013, the 2013-14 budget ordinance was adopted approving a budget of \$10.4 million in expenditures and levying a \$38 per year solid waste services fee, expanding the accounting for all aspects of the City's solid waste services in the Environmental Services fund without increasing the residential solid waste fee to cover the expenditures that had historically been charged to the General Fund. As a result, based on the City's June 30, 2014 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, the residential solid waste fee generated only \$2.3 million, and \$6.8 million was transferred from the General Fund to the Environmental Services fund to subsidize the City's solid waste services.

With a goal of eliminating the General Fund subsidy that had been required to support the solid waste operations since it was moved to the Environmental Services fund in fiscal year 2014, City Council adopted an increase in the 2018-2019 budget of the residential solid waste fee to \$190 per year. However, even with the \$11.5 million received in current year residential solid waste fees in fiscal year 2019, the General Fund was required to subsidize the Environmental Services fund.

The current processes, to include billing through the Cumberland County Tax Office and Fleetmind, a waste collection onboard communication system, were not integrated to ensure the City's residential solid waste fee was being levied and enforced consistently to support increasing the revenue for the Environmental Services fund and ultimately eliminating the subsidy from the General Fund. Therefore, the audit was included and approved in the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Internal Audit Plan. The audit period covered the tax billings levied as of July 1, 2019. The objectives of the audit were to evaluate whether:

- 1. Residential solid waste fees were being assessed in accordance with established laws, regulations, guidelines, policies and procedures; and
- 2. The internal control environment related to assessing residential solid waste fees were sufficient.

This report addresses control weaknesses within the residential solid waste fee processes that negatively impacted the control environment. As a result, two findings were noted:

- 1. Completeness of revenue relating to the residential solid waste fees could not be measured.
- 2. Solid waste collections process using Fleetmind data could not be relied upon.

¹ City Code of Ordinances, Chapter 22, Section 22-10(8)(b)(4)(5) states, "bulky pickup service as described herein shall only be provided to single-family residential units."

² City Code of Ordinances, Chapter 22, Section 22-10(8)(a) states, "yard waste collection shall be provided only to single-family residential units as approved by the solid waste director or designee."

Internal Audit determined internal controls related to the residential solid waste fees needed improvement. The audit did not find evidence of intentional fraud. However, due to a lack of written departmental policies and procedures, and a lack of clarity in the City Code of Ordinances, Internal Audit could not ensure fraud, waste and abuse did not exist.

BACKGROUND

The Solid Waste Division of the Public Services Department are required to provide collection of bulky items, residential and yard waste to residents of the City of Fayetteville. Recycling is also provided to these residents by the City through a contract with Waste Management of Carolinas, Inc. The City Code of Ordinances Chapter 22, Solid Waste, Section 22-22, Solid Waste Collection Schedule and Services, states the city shall provide household trash collection and refuse,³ on a scheduled day, one time per week for residential units only and one stationary container (lodal) per week.

On March 24, 2014, City Council awarded a contract to Fleetmind Solutions, Inc. for the purchase of software and hardware related to waste collection onboard communication systems. The council action memo stated Fleetmind would be used with Route Smart, the current software used for routing efficiencies; with expected results of increased accountability by the collectors, provide seamless work order solutions, better cart control and customer service response time, which would ultimately reduce costs of the operation. The customer data in Fleetmind would be used in conjunction with Route Smart.

City Council adopted an increase of the residential solid waste fee to \$190 per year in the 2018-2019 budget with the goal to eliminate the General Fund subsidy that was required to support the solid waste operations since the Environmental Services fund began accounting for all solid waste services in fiscal year 2014. However, even with the \$11.5 million received in current year residential solid waste fees in fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, the General Fund was required to subsidize the Environmental Services fund.

The current processes, to include Fleetmind and the billing systems through the Cumberland County Tax Office, were not integrated to ensure the City's residential solid waste fee was being levied and enforced consistently to support increasing the revenue for the Environmental Services fund and ultimately eliminating the subsidy from the General Fund.

AUDIT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the audit were to evaluate whether residential solid waste fees were being assessed in accordance with established laws, regulations, guidelines, policies, and procedures; and the internal control environment related to assessing residential solid waste fees were sufficient.

AUDIT SCOPE

The audit period covered the tax billings levied as of July 1, 2019.

Internal Audit used a complete listing of active customer addresses (61,597) from Fleetmind and compared them to the Cumberland County Tax Office listing of parcels (61,501) that had been assessed a City residential solid waste fee to determine if all households receiving City solid waste services were assessed the residential solid waste fee.

³ City Code of Ordinances, Chapter 22, Section 22-22(2), states "Refuse, other than garbage or heavy debris, from residential units only properly containerized or as prescribed by section 22-10(8) on a scheduled day, one day per week." Please see footnote (1) and (2) reflecting yard waste and bulky item collection as reflected in City Code of Ordinances, Chapter 22, Section 22-10(8).

AUDIT METHODOLOGY

In order to accomplish the objectives of the audit, the Office of Internal Audit performed, but was not limited to, the following:

- Interviewed Public Services personnel;
- Reviewed the City of Fayetteville Municipal Code of Ordinances and North Carolina General Statutes for compliance;
- Compared customer information in Fleetmind to a report from the Cumberland County Tax Office of addresses that were assessed a residential solid waste fee;
- Reviewed the Cumberland County Property Tax records;
- Conducted a physical observation of refuse containers for selected households; and
- Considered risk of fraud, waste and abuse.

FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

Finding 1

Completeness of revenue relating to the residential solid waste fees could not be measured.

<u>Criteria</u>: Clear guidelines should be established to determine when the City should provide solid waste services and assess the residential solid waste fee.

<u>Cause</u>: The City Code of Ordinances Chapter 22, Solid Waste was not clear and left up to interpretation allowing for solid waste services to be provided inconsistently.

<u>Condition</u>: Internal Audit reviewed the City Code of Ordinances Chapter 22, Solid Waste and conducted tests to determine if residential solid waste fees were assessed to all property owners who should be receiving solid waste services. However, Internal Audit could not determine what services are included and who should be assessed the residential solid waste fee due to clarification needed in the City Code of Ordinances in the following areas:

- 1. Determine what solid waste services were included in the \$190 residential solid waste fee;
- 2. Determine if single business units or establishments were to receive solid waste collection services:
- 3. Determine which households were considered multi-family;
 - a. Define a 'residential unit', 'multifamily residential group development', 'multifamily group development', 'public housing complex', 'residential subdivision' and 'mobile home park', and when these would be considered part of a 'group development'; and
 - b. Define when the City provides solid waste service to separate ground level entrance units.
- 4. Define 'public street' or 'private street', and when solid waste services should be provided on each street type;
- 5. Address "opting-out" of solid waste services; and
- 6. Ensure the language was consistent with the adopted fee schedule.

<u>Effect</u>: Without clear guidance within the City's Code of Ordinances, solid waste services were provided without assessing the residential solid waste fee, residential solid waste fees were assessed when services were not provided, and the City was not providing solid waste services as defined in the City Code of Ordinances.

Recommendation

The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:

- 1. Solicit City Council's support on updates necessary to the City Code of Ordinances Chapter 22, Solid Waste as it will be essential to ensure adherence to the City Code of Ordinances; and
- 2. Coordinate with the City Attorney's office to update the City Code of Ordinances to allow solid waste services to be provided consistently and ensure the residential solid waste fees are being assessed appropriately. Any updates to the City Code of Ordinances should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes.

Management's Response

We concur with reservations. Management is in agreement with the recommendation, but there are circumstances that could affect its implementation which have to be resolved.

Management will seek council direction regarding level/scope of solid waste services. Full implementation of 'Recommendation #1' is contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within solid waste division with no significant additions such as service to multi-family units or commercial facilities.

Responsible Party: Public Services Director

Implementation Date: Management will seek Council support in the format of a preliminary work session presentation by April 30, 2020 and follow up ordinance updates by September 30, 2020 contingent upon continuation of the 'existing' level/scope of services within the solid waste division.

Finding 2

Solid waste collections process using Fleetmind data could not be relied upon.

<u>Criteria</u>: Processes developed by management should not only ensure proper controls, safeguards and segregation of duties are in place, but that processes comply with federal, state and local requirements, when applicable. These processes should address data integrity within Fleetmind to ensure accurate information is used for route optimization.

<u>Cause</u>: When Fleetmind was introduced in 2014, addresses were uploaded into Fleetmind from a spreadsheet maintained by another department, and an address audit was not conducted to ensure the validity of those addresses. Adding new addresses and inactivating addresses where service should no longer be provided was a manual process completed based on notification from a resident or solid waste equipment operator. In addition, all solid waste equipment being utilized were not equipped with Fleetmind technology, and the equipment operators had to use paper routing logs with no ability to electronically report when an address needed updated in Fleetmind.

<u>Condition</u>: Internal Audit compared 61,597 active customer addresses in Fleetmind with a Cumberland County Tax Office listing of 61,501 parcels that had been assessed a City residential solid waste fee to determine if all households receiving City solid waste services were assessed the residential solid waste fee. Based on this comparison, the following observations were made:

- 1. All active customer addresses in Fleetmind were not assessed a residential solid waste fee; and
- 2. All addresses assessed a residential solid waste fee were not in Fleetmind as solid waste customers.

Physical observations were conducted on a sample of addresses to determine the validity of the exceptions and the following was confirmed:

- 1. Addresses listed as active customer addresses in Fleetmind need to be inactivated; and
- 2. Addresses needed to be added or activated in Fleetmind.

<u>Effect</u>: Without valid customer addresses in Fleetmind, the Department will not be able to utilize Fleetmind addresses to optimize the residential solid waste routes or provide an accurate count of the households in which residential solid waste services are provided. Without an accurate count of households, the City could be overpaying the vendor providing recycling collections for the City. In addition, Fleetmind data is utilized by the Solid Waste Division's webpage to determine the pickup days for a household which could provide inaccurate information if the customer addresses in Fleetmind are not valid and up to date.

Recommendation

The Office of Internal Audit recommends management:

- 1. Update the customer addresses in Fleetmind consistent with current routes.
- 2. Develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind as needed to maintain current, accurate, valid data.
- 3. Develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity, once the processes are established.

Management's Response

Please find below responses to items listed under 'Recommendation #2':

1. We Concur. Management is in full agreement with the recommendation.

Management concurs with recommendations to update the customer address in Fleetmind consistent with the current routes and existing level of service. Services will be field verified and updated into Fleetmind one record at a time.

2. We concur with reservations. Management is in agreement with the recommendation, but there are circumstances that could affect its implementation which have to be resolved.

Management concurs with the need to develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind to maintain a current, accurate, and valid data base. However this process is contingent upon the outcomes of 'Recommendation #1'. Further, full implementation of these processes involves compliance and cooperation from entities outside the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division such as CoF's Planning Division, Cumberland County and FleetMind Vendor. Implementation dates provided below are for those activities that are within the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division as well as what can be accomplished within Council appropriated budgetary limits.

3. We concur with reservations. Management is in agreement with the recommendation, but there are circumstances that could affect its implementation which have to be resolved.

Management concurs with the need to develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity. However this process is contingent upon the outcomes of 'Recommendation #1". Further, full implementation of these processes involves compliance and cooperation from entities outside the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division such as CoF's Planning Division, Cumberland County and FleetMind Vendor. Implementation dates provided below are for those activities that are within the direct control and influence of the Solid Waste Division as well as what can be accomplished within Council appropriated budgetary limits.

Responsible Party: Public Services Director

Implementation Date: Please find below implementation date for each item:

1. Public Services Solid Waste Division will update the customer address in FleetMind consistent with the current routes and existing level of service by March 31, 2020.

- 2. Public Services Solid Waste Division will develop a process to add, activate and inactivate addresses in Fleetmind to maintain a current, accurate, and valid data base by June 30, 2022 contingent upon management responses.
- 3. Public Services Solid Waste Division will develop comprehensive written policies and procedures to maintain Fleetmind data integrity by June 30, 2022 contingent upon management responses.

CONCLUSION

Internal Audit has concluded work on the audit of residential solid waste fees. Based on Internal Audit's review, the City Code of Ordinances needs updating to provide clarity on who should receive solid waste services and be assessed the residential solid waste fee. Once the City Code of Ordinances is updated, clear processes with written policies and procedures need to be established to ensure the City Code of Ordinances is being followed. Fleetmind must also be updated to reflect all active and inactive customers. The implementation of the audit recommendations should result in consistent collection of solid waste and residential solid waste fees.

Although the management responses are included in the audit report, Internal Audit does not take responsibility for the sufficiency of these responses or the effective implementation of any corrective actions.

Internal Audit would like to thank Department personnel for their assistance and numerous courtesies extended during the completion of this audit.

<u>Signature on File</u> Elizabeth H. Somerindyke Director of Internal Audit Signature on File
Rose Rasmussen
Senior Internal Auditor

Signature on File Amanda Rich Internal Auditor

Distribution:

Audit Committee Douglas J. Hewett, City Manager Kristoff T. Bauer, Deputy City Manager Sheila Thomas-Ambat, Public Services Director



Annual Audit Plan

Fiscal Year 2020

Director of Internal Audit Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor Rose Rasmussen

> **Internal Auditor** Amanda Rich

City of Fayetteville Office of Internal Audit Audit Work Plan 2020

A. Aud	it Projects Carried Forward from 2019 Work Plan	Estimated Hours	Total
A.1.	In Progress		
	Code Enforcement Violation Enforcement	40	
	Solid Waste Fees for Multi Family	320	
A.2.	For Completion		
	Permitting and Inspections Follow-up	640	
	Total Hours for Audit Projects Carried Forward from 2019 Work Plan		1000
3. New	Audit Projects for 2019-2020		
	Police Department Payroll	320	
	Police Department Confidential Funds	240	
	Accounts Payable Timeliness	320	
	Vector Fleet Contract	400	
	Total Hours for New Audit Projects for 2019-2020		1280
C. Follo	ow-up Projects for 2019-2020		
	WEX Gas Cards	400	
	Total Hours for Follow-up Projects for 2019-2020		400
D. Aud	it Management and Administration		
	Other City Auditor Duties	884	
	Office Management/Support	1548	
	Staff Development	200	
	Approved Holidays	280	
	Approved Employee Leave	376	
	Total Audit Management and Administration		328
	Total Hours		5968
E. For	Future Audit Projects 2019-2021		
	Tags and Titles (CoF only)**	40	
	Community Development Housing Rehabilitation Program**	960	
	Small Asset Management**	240	
	Downtown Parking Collection**	960	
	Citywide Payroll Processes**	960	
	Body Cam Policy Compliance**	480	
	Off Boarding Access Rights**	480	
	Code Enforcement Abatement	960	
	City's New Purchasing Process Firehouse Billing and Receipting	960 640	
	Kronos Time Reporting Implementation Phases 3 & 4 ^a	640 640	
	1 0 1	640	
	Retiree Healthcare Rilling and Receipting		
	Retiree Healthcare Billing and Receipting PCI Compliance		
	PCI Compliance	640	
	PCI Compliance Citywide Records Management and Retention	640 960	
	PCI Compliance Citywide Records Management and Retention Sales and Use Tax Refund Administration	640	
	PCI Compliance Citywide Records Management and Retention	640 960 480	

^{**} Reflects project from prior year audit plan. Due to limited resources, project will be considered in future audit plans.

^a The Finance Department contracted with Cherry Bekaert during FY 2017 to provide consulting regarding the Kronos Time Reporting Implementation Phases 1 & 2 and the internal controls over payroll.

A. Audit Projects Carried Forward from 2019 Work Plan

A.1.	In Progress
Code Enforcement Violation Collections	The audit will evaluate whether applicable code enforcement costs and fees were properly and completely invoiced following established City fee schedules and ordinances; and the internal controls were sufficient to identify risks.
Solid Waste Fees for Multi Family	The audit will evaluate whether solid waste fees were beeing assessed in accordance with established laws, regulations, guidelines, policies and procedures, and the internal control environment related to assessing solid waste fees were sufficient.
A.2.	For Completion

A.2.	For Completion
Permitting and Inspections Follow-	The audit will determine that previously identified audit findings have been remediated by management as
up	stated in management's responses.

B. New Audit Projects for 2019-2020			
	The audit will determine if time and attendance information agrees to appropriately approved and authorized supporting documentation; including determination if supporting documentation allows doe appropriate trail; payroll changes are appropriately supported, authorized, and verified; hours paid agree to the supporting documentation; and compensation was in accordance with relevant laws, regulations, guidelines, policies and		
Police Department Payroll procedures.			
Police Department Confidential Funds	The audit will be performed due to the sensitive and volatile nature of maintaining large amounts of cash on hand and in accordance with police department policy due to accreditation requirements.		
Accounts Payable Timeliness	The audit will determine if adequate controls were in place to process payments in an efficient and timely manner; review accounts payable transactions for duplicate payments; and if adequate segregation of duties and controls existed over check issuance.		
Vector Fleet Contract	The audit will assess whether the system of internal controls is adequate and appropriate for effective contract compliance with selected provisions of the contract as it relates to payment.		

	C. Follow-up Projects for 2019-2020	
		The audit will determine that previously identified audit findings have been remediated by management as
Ĺ	WEX Gas Cards	stated in management's responses.

D. Audit Management and Administration			
Other City Auditor Duties	This category describes the time that the Office of Internal Audit maintains the Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Hotline, other miscellaneous fraud reports and support of the City's ERP process.		
Office Management/Support	This category describes the time that the Office of Internal Audit spends attending meetings involving the activities and responsibilities of the Audit Committee.		
Staff Development	Professional staff of the City Auditor's Office is required to obtain professional education each year.		
Approved Holidays	This category of leave is for approved holidays for all staff.		
Approved Employee Leave	This category describes leave earned and taken each year as personal leave and leave taken for medical purposes.		

E. Future Audit Projects 2019 - 202	
Tags and Titles (CoF only)**	A follow-up audit will be performed to determine that previously identified audit findings have been remediated by management as stated in management's responses.
Community Development Housing Rehabilitation Program**	The audit will evaluate whether the City had adequate controls for monitoring its Housing Rehabilitation Program sub-recipients and whether HUD rules and regulations were properly followed. Audit objectives may include a review to determine if loans were originated within defined program criteria, properly set up in the ABS loan-servicing software, and serviced in accordance with the terms of the promissory notes and/or deeds of trust; an appropriate accounting of loan origination and loan servicing activities had occurred; and all associated general ledger accounts were properly reconciled to the subsidiary ledger system.
Small Asset Management**	The audit will evaluate the adequacy of internal controls, effectiveness, and policy and procedure compliance of the City's small asset management system. Audit objectives may include a review to determine if processes adequately safeguard assets; selecting a sample to assure accuracy and agreement of inventory records and inventory on hand, and compliance with policies and procedures for multiple electronic devices and air cards.
Downtown Parking Collection**	The audit will evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of the City's parking management contract with McLaurin Parking. Audit objectives may include an assessment of the collection program related to leased parking and parking citations, internal controls for cashier activities, and bad debt write-off policies.
Citywide Payroll Processes**	The audit will assess the adequacy of internal controls governing the City's payroll process. Audit objectives may include a review to determine if the City's payroll processes employ effective controls to reasonably assure employees are paid accurately and timely, and payment is made to legitimate City employees. The audit will include a review of JD Edward controls and the KRONOS timekeeping system.
Body Cam Policy Compliance**	The audit will evaluate whether body worn cameras were being used and executed in accordance with established laws, regulations, guidelines, policies and procedures.
Off Boarding Access Rights** Code Enforcement Abatement	The audit will evaluate the internal controls related to IT access rights during employee off boarding process. The audit will evaluate whether the City's lot cleaning abatement process complies with applicable policies, rules and regulations. Audit objectives may include determining if code enforcement activities for lot cleaning abatement were performed consistently in accordance with relevant laws, regulations and established policies; contracts complied with applicable policies, rules and regulations; internal controls were sufficient to identify risks; and the process for retaining independent contractors.
City's New Purchasing Process	The audit will assess the adequacy of internal controls and effectiveness of the City's new purchasing processes. Audit objectives may include a review to determine if processes are in compliance with relevant policies, procedures, laws, rules and regulations; and adequate internal controls are in place to prevent or detect material errors and irregularities.
Firehouse Billing and Receipting	The audit will evaluate if fire department billing, receipting and aged receivables were managed fairly, efficiently and effectively to recover such receivables and minimize the risk of loss. Audit objectives may include evaluating procedures to determine compliance with applicable policies and standards; and verify the accuracy and proper tracking of aged receivables and amounts due.
Kronos Time Reporting Implementation Phases 3 & 4	The audit will focus on the identification and effectiveness of automated and manual controls over the Public Safety Telestaff, FayPay (KRONOS) and JD Edwards Payroll interfaces.
Retiree Healthcare Billing and Receipting	The audit will evaluate operations related to the process for billing retirees for health insurance premiums. Audit objectives may include an assessment of procedures to determine compliance with applicable policies and standards; retiree payments collected were accurate and complied with contractual rates; and proper collection activities were in practice to ensure all monies owed have been collected.
PCI Compliance	The audit will evaluate compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) standards. Audit objectives may include reviewing to determine if physical access to cardholder data is restricted; policies are in place that address information security for employees; tests of the security system are performed regularly; adequate controls exist for user access; vendor supplied defaults are not used; anti-virus software or programs are used and updated regularly; cardholder and sensitive information is encrypted across public networks; adequate controls exist to protect stored data; security patches are up-to-date; and a working firewall is installed and maintained to protect data. Contracting for Information Technology knowledge to assist with the audit will be considered.

Audit Work Plan 2020

E. Future Audit Projects 2019 - 2021 (continued)

Citywide Records Management and	The audit will evaluate the compliance with relevant policies, procedures, laws, rules and regulations; and		
Retention	adequate internal controls are in place to determine if records are adequately maintained and safeguarded.		
Sales and Use Tax Refund	The audit will evaluate the adequacy of internal controls related to recording and reporting sales and use tax to		
Administration	North Carolina Department of Revenue.		
	The audit will gather information regarding the status of succession planning within the City of Fayetteville.		
	Audit objectives may include providing management with information on efforts to address succession		
Citywide Succession Planning	planning.		
	The audit will assess the adequacy of internal controls to safeguard inventory and to determine if inventory		
	was accounted for accurately. Audit objectives may include determining if adequate internal controls exist for		
	fleet part inventory; adequate processes exist to control obsolete inventory; and whether inventory quantities		
Fleet Part Inventory	were accurately reflected.		

^{**} Reflects project from prior year audit plan. Due to limited resources, project will be considered in future audit plans.



Internal Audit Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2019

Director of Internal Audit Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor
Rose Rasmussen

Internal AuditorAbby Cerniglia



OUR MISSION

Provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the City of Fayetteville's operations.

Director of Internal Audit

Elizabeth Somerindyke

Senior Internal Auditor

Rose Rasmussen

Internal Auditor

Abby Cerniglia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	
Purpose, Authority/Mission and Responsibility	1
Audit, Special Projects and Consulting Services.	2
Fraud, Waste and Abuse Investigations	3
Leadership and Other Accomplishments	
Summary	

INTRODUCTION

According to *City of Fayetteville*, *NC Internal Audit Charter*, the Internal Audit Director will annually report to the Audit Committee and the City Manager on the internal audit activity's purpose, authority, responsibility and performance relative to its annual audit plan. The Internal Audit Director is also responsible for reporting significant risk exposures, control issues, fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by the Audit Committee and the City Manager.

PURPOSE/MISSION

The mission of the Office of Internal Audit is to provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the City of Fayetteville's operations. The Office of Internal Audit helps the City of Fayetteville's management team accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes.

Key Performance Measures	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Target
% of Internal Audit recommendations accepted by management	98%	100%	100%

Acceptance of audit recommendations is an indicator of service quality. In fiscal year 2019, management accepted and provided estimated implementation dates for 35 of 35 recommendations included in five audit reports issued during the fiscal year. These results exceed the 83% industry benchmark published by the Association of Local Government Auditors.

AUTHORITY

The Office of Internal Audit shall have full, free, and unrestricted access to any and all of the City of Fayetteville's records, physical properties and personnel pertinent to carrying out any engagement. All employees are expected to assist the Office of Internal Audit in fulfilling its roles and responsibilities. The internal auditors will also have free and unrestricted access to the City Council and the Audit Committee.

RESPONSIBILITY

Audit coverage will encompass, as deemed appropriate by the Internal Audit Director, independent reviews and evaluations of any and all management operations and activities to appraise:

- Measures taken to safeguard assets, including tests of existence and ownership as appropriate.
- Reliability, consistency, and integrity of financial and operating information.
- Compliance with policies, plans, standards, laws, and regulations that could have significant impact on operations.
- Economy and efficiency in the use of resources.
- Effectiveness in the accomplishment of the mission, objectives, and goals established for the City's operations and projects.
- Managing and responding to the Fraud hotline.

AUDIT SERVICES

AUDITS	STATUS
City-wide Travel and Training Follow-up (A2017-01F) The travel and training expenditures reviewed appeared to be for legitimate City business. However, controls for travel and training expenditures continue to require improvement to ensure compliance.	Report Issued October 2018
Parks, Recreation and Maintenance Nonresident Fees Implementation (A2016-05) Management needed to strengthen the controls around cash receipting. This should include ensuring adequate controls within RecTrac; establishing clear guidance on fees and the cash receipting process; ensuring personnel are properly trained, and establishing a quality review process. In addition, based on the number of nonresident customers Internal Audit noted who utilized the parks and recreation programs, management should consider if the implementation of the nonresident rates are achieving the expected outcomes when the fees were originally approved by City Council, and is the additional work and controls to charge the nonresident fees cost beneficial.	Report Issued January 2019
Performance Measures (A2018-04) The City is on the right track to utilize performance measures to allocate resources where they are needed; however, there are several areas that must be addressed if performance management is going to have the intended impact. Without addressing and resolving these areas, the City's performance measurements may not be interpreted as intended; whereas, the decision makers need to understand the story the performance data illustrates and ultimately be able to effectively allocate the necessary resources for those areas the decision maker's value.	Report Issued January 2019
Police Department Confidential Funds (A2019-01) The Police Department generally adhered to the organization's policies and procedures; applicable laws, regulations and guidelines during the period October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018. The Police Department's VICE Investigation Division have continually reviewed and updated policies and procedures, which contributed to stronger controls and a general adherence to policies and procedures over confidential funds. However, Internal Audit noted a lack of prior written approvals as required by departmental operating procedures.	Report Issued May 2019
WEX Fuel Card Audit (A2019-05) Based on Internal Audit's review, the internal controls surrounding the fuel usage and management processes require significant improvements in order to provide reasonable assurance that the Police and Fire Departments are adequately managing the consumption of fuel and to satisfy the audit objectives. In particular, written policies and procedures should be established, followed and provide for monitoring of fuel card consumptions to ensure City funds are safeguarded. The implementation of the audit recommendations should improve the control environment of the WEX fuel card program.	Report Issued May 2019
Code Violations Enforcement and Collections (A2019-06) The audit report was presented to the Audit Committee on August 8, 2019. Based on Internal Audit's review, the internal controls surrounding the processes require improvements in order to provide reasonable assurance that continuity of operations exists. The implementation of the audit recommendations should result in consistent enforcement of code violations, to include the collection of civil penalties and abatement costs. In particular, comprehensive policies and procedures should be established, followed and provide for exceptions to the City Code of Ordinances.	Report Completed

FRAUD, WASTE AND ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS

The Office of Internal Audit also manages suspected cases of fraud, waste and abuse reported by employees, vendors and citizens. Such cases may be reported in various ways to include: in-person, telephone, e-mail or the City's Fraud Hotline. The City's Fraud Hotline is operated by a third party and reports can be made anonymously either over the telephone or online.

For fiscal year 2019, there were 20 incidents reported of which 10% were reported through the Fraud Hotline. Table 1 summarizes the various types of allegations received.

TABLE 1	10%	90%	100%
ALLEGATION TYPE	HOTLINE	NON-HOTLINE	TOTAL
Accounting/Audit Irregularities	0	4	4
Conflicts of Interest	0	1	1
Falsification of Company Records	0	1	1
Fraud	1	0	1
Policy Issues	0	3	3
Substance Abuse	0	1	1
Theft of Goods/Services	1	8	9
TOTAL	2	18	20

Allegations may be investigated by the Office of Internal Audit, referred to a City department (generally for lower risk issues), referred to a non-City agency (if relating to matters outside City jurisdiction or requiring external law enforcement), and/or deemed non-actionable. Non-actionable allegations result from insufficient or dated information, prior corrective action, immateriality or inappropriate use of the Hotline. If referred to a City department, the Office of Internal Audit generally requests the department to investigate, take appropriate action and communicate the results to the Office of Internal Audit within 30 days.

Resolved allegations are categorized as: Substantiated (allegation was validated); Substantiated – No Violation (conditions cited were accurate but did not constitute a violation); Unsubstantiated; Department Resolution; or Inconclusive (available evidence is not sufficient to determine the validity of the allegation).

Table 2 summarized assignments and dispositions for allegations reported and/or resolved during fiscal year 2019.

TABLE 2	ASSIGNMENT		
DISPOSITION	REFER	INVESTIGATE	TOTAL
Department Resolution	11	0	11
Non-Actionable	N/A	1	1
Unsubstantiated	0	1	1
Open/Ongoing Allegations	0	2	2
Substantiated	0	4	4
Substantiated – No Violation	0	0	0
Inconclusive	0	1	1
TOTAL	11	9	20

The City's Fraud, Waste and Abuse Policy is intended to enhance employee awareness and reporting of suspected fraud, waste and abuse. Allegations resolved during fiscal year 2019 resulted in the following:

- Improved procedures and/or management controls;
- Employee safety improvements;
- Enhanced awareness of and/or compliance with existing regulations and/or policies governing use of time and equipment and conflict of interest; and
- Situation appropriate personnel-related actions.

LEADERSHIP AND OTHER ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The Office of Internal Audit distributed and reviewed the annual conflict of interest questionnaires provided to the Mayor, City Council, City management and a random sample of City employees as required by the City's Code of Ethics, Section 2-95(j) Conflict of Interest Questionnaire. Internal Audit personnel provided training regarding fraud, waste and abuse to approximately 148 new employees during new employee orientation (NEO). This training is on an ongoing basis; whereas, Internal Audit personnel participates in the fraud, waste and abuse training bi-weekly.

On a quarterly basis a Management Implementation Status Report was prepared and distributed to the Audit Committee members to help Committee members fulfill their responsibilities of oversight. Additionally, the Audit Committee members were presented a summary presentation from the City's external auditors regarding the annual financial report.

Internal Audit's training and career development for fiscal year 2019 included the Annual Association of Local Government Auditors Conference which not only provides training specific to local government auditors but also allows for networking with other local government auditors. Additionally, personnel continue to study for the Certified Internal Auditor certification exams. Internal Audit personnel are members of ALGA (Association of Local Government Auditors), the AICPA (American Institute of Certified Public Accountants), the NCACPA (North Carolina Association of Certified Public Accountants), the IIA (Institute of Internal Auditors) and the ACFE (Association of Certified Fraud Examiners).

SUMMARY

In summary, Internal Audit staff took on new endeavors and made a strong effort to complete as many audits and projects as possible during the past fiscal year. Internal Audits success this past fiscal year was made possible as a result of the support of the City Manager's office, and the hard work of each of the department's personnel. Over the past year the strengths and skills of Internal Audit personnel developed in a way that contributed to the success of the Office. Internal Audit strived to ensure the scope of each audit engagement added value to the organization and good customer service was provided.



MEMORANDUM

October 24, 2019

TO: Audit Committee Members

FROM: Elizabeth Somerindyke, Internal Audit Director

RE: Quarterly Management Implementation Status Report

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The attached report provides members of the Audit Committee with an update on the progress of management's implementation of recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Departmental management updates will be provided quarterly at each regularly scheduled Audit Committee Meeting.

The short summary of the progress updates is provided to allow a quick assessment for all recommendations. The attached report represents updates given by management on the progress made to implement Internal Audit's recommendations. Except as otherwise noted, no assessment on the progress of the recommendations has been performed by the Office of Internal Audit.

We welcome any questions, suggestions or recommendations for improving this report to enhance your ability to monitor the effective implementation of recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

This information will not be presented. However, we encourage Committee Members to prepare questions and comments on this report prior to the Audit Committee Meeting for discussion with departmental staff at the meeting. Staff from the Development Services; Parks, Recreation and Maintenance; Public Services; Finance and Police Departments have been requested to attend.

433 Hay Street Fayetteville, NC 28301-5537 (910) 433-1672 | (910) 433-1680 Fax www.cityoffayetteville.org

	Recommendations Dout of the second s			NI - 4		
<u>Audit Title</u>	Date Released	Issued	Accepted	Implemented	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
Permitting and Inspections A2016-02	October 2016	35	35	35	0	0
Contract Practices and Procedures A2016-06	October 2017	3	3	3	0	0
Evidence and Property Management A2018-01	June 2018	37	36	5	30	1
Performances Measures A2018-04	January 2019	4	4	4	0	0
PRM Nonresident Fees A2016-05	January 2019	7	7	2	4	1
WEX Fuel Cards A2019-05	May 2019					
Finance Department		3	3	3	0	0
Fire Department		18	18	13	5	0
Police Department		21	21	11	10	0
Code Violation Enforcement and Collections A2019-06	August 2019	7	7	0	0	7

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				

Permitting and Inspections management should perform a selfassessment of internal controls. Once risk areas are identified, steps should be taken to correct control deficiencies SO departmental objectives are achieved and departmental responsibilities are Identifying risks and implementing control procedures will not protect assets and produce reliable information if personnel are not following established procedures. To ensure that controls are effective, Permitting and Inspections management should regularly review available documentation to confirm controls are being executed as designed. All documentation should be reviewed and signed off on by a supervisor to ensure completeness and accuracy. In addition, the self-assessment of internal controls should be performed periodically to address additional control deficiencies as they arise.

Workflow processes will be mapped and application-specific permitting procedures will be identified and placed in a checklist format that will be included in a manual of standard operating procedures. Weekly testing by the Building Official, Inspection Supervisors, and the Senior Administrative Assistant will be conducted and documented to identify any risk areas and to correct control deficiencies. Follow-up training will be provided in areas where control problems are identified.

As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information

Implemented

Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment.

Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

Implemented

Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment.

Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	02 Permitting and Inspections			
2	Written policies for the Permitting and Inspections Department should be developed to set forth requirements; to ensure consistency and reliability of information; provide adherence to laws and regulations, and include provisions for performance measure collection, calculation, review and reporting. The procedures should be updated and include sufficient information to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Policies and procedures should be revised to account for any changes in business processes. This is particularly important when new	Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Building Official; Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 6/30/2017 A comprehensive review of the existing Standard Operating Procedures for both the Permitting and Inspections divisions is currently underway because of major adjustments to procedures and work flows resulting from a substantial effort to simplify procedures and to more fully implement Cityworks, including the scheduling and online permit application functions. Upon completion of the review and revisions, each division's procedural manuals will include step-by-step instructions and resources in order for existing and new staff to effectively perform their daily	Implemented Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented Findings #1 and #2 are considered by management to be the capstone of the internal audit reconciliation program, as these two findings culminate the requirements for internal policies/procedures, and the periodic self-assessment. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	systems are developed and implemented or other organizational changes occur.	functions. This effort will take some time as it will require coordination with two vendors, in addition to multiple departments. Similarly, departmental policies will be developed in conjunction with this effort to govern issues identified in this Compliance Audit in Recommendations 1, 3 7, 9, 16, 20, 22, 26, 29, 31 and 32. The ultimate plan will be to expand this initiative to the interdepartmental level, with policies and procedures in place in order to provide consistent and positive customer service that is seamless across departmental lines. This will be pursued after the development of department policies and procedures and is not considered a direct response to this Audit. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a		
		project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for		
		Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections) Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
3	Permitting and Inspections management should take specific measures to comply with records retention rules as governed by North Carolina General Statutes, North Carolina State Building Code; North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources Records Retention and Disposition Schedule, Fayetteville City Code,	A departmental policy has been drafted to provide clear guidance to all staff members with regard to relevant records retention matters. Documentation of records retention will be consistent with State law and City policy and will be managed by the Senior Administrative Assistant. Permission to utilize digital records as the primary method of retaining	Implemented Our current operating practices have been revised and a department-specific records policy has been developed. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented Our current operating practices have been revised and a department-specific records policy has been developed. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	and City of Fayetteville Policies. Procedures should be outlined for retaining all supporting documentation and where the documentation will be kept taking into account records retention rules. Cityworks electronic files should be updated to include all available documentation not yet attached to a permit file within the system.	applications, building permits, construction plans, and associated correspondence will be sought from the NC Division of Cultural Affairs. Assuming permission is granted, hardcopy applications, plans, and correspondence will be retained in		
		If permission is not granted by the NC Division of Cultural Affairs for digital records retention, hardcopy files will be retained in Permitting and Inspections Department files or in remote file storage in accordance with departmental policy. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
4	To ensure compliance with the Fayetteville City Code, senior management should consider reorganizing the structure of the Permitting and Inspection and the Planning Services and Code Enforcement Departments so the Permitting and Inspections Director oversees all matters related to interpretation and enforcement of North Carolina State Building Code, to include (if applicable) zoning, building plan review,	The NC Building Code must be interpreted by someone certified to perform such interpretations, but this training may not qualify the individual to manage the enforcement of City codes regarding code enforcement and zoning. We believe it is imperative that the management of these related functions should be centralized to enhance customer service but such centralization may not be best handled through the structure	As of 8/31/2017, this recommendation has been fully implemented.	As of 8/31/2017, this recommendation has been fully implemented.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	permits, inspections and code enforcement, as provided in the Fayetteville City Code.	proposed by Internal Audit due to the complex nature of the various laws and codes. Once a determination is made regarding reorganization, the PCE Director will take responsibility for amending the City Code as needed to reflect the organizational structure as necessary.		
		As of November 15, 2016, departmental personnel will coordinate all NC Building Codes through the City's Building Official. A review of the City's entire development review process will be conducted on the organizational structure and an implementation of the recommendation is anticipated to be completed in early 2017 with the FY18 budget.		
		Responsible Party : City Manager Implementation Date : 6/30/2017		
5	Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure compliance with the Fayetteville	The City Code provides for a requirement that is no longer generally needed. Small-scale	Implemented	Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-62(a)(1) Permits Required, by requiring a bond be posted at the time of demolition permit application. Additionally, the City Code should be updated to define the amount of the bond, whereas; currently the amount is defined as "good and sufficient". However, if Permitting and Inspections management determine bonding requirements for demolition permits are not required as provided in the Fayetteville City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-62(a)(1) Permits Required, then the Fayetteville City Code should be updated to reflect current requirements.	demolitions are currently managed through contracts that require the contractor to carry liability insurance sufficient to cover any claims that result. We will propose revising the City Code to delete the bonding requirements except in unusual circumstances, such as where the structure to be demolished shares a common wall with another structure or for larger projects that go through the formal bid process. Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director Implementation Date: 4/30/2017	Our internal processes and ordinances have been and are currently being modified to reflect the chance in the code of ordinances. To limit the individual discretion to the maximum limit as possible, an internal policy has been developed to provide guidance on when bonds (in general) may be required.	Our internal processes and ordinances have been and are currently being modified to reflect the chance in the code of ordinances. To limit the individual discretion to the maximum limit as possible, an internal policy has being developed to provide guidance on when bonds (in general) may be required.
6	Internal Audit recommends the	While report creation is part of the	Implemented	Implemented
	Permitting and Inspections Department work with the Information Technology Department to develop and	Cityworks "fixes," locking out the	The process-related component of this finding has been revised	The process-related component of this finding has been revised

Not Implemented

KEY

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	1 (or impremented	i ur daniy imprementeu	ımpiemeneu	1 use imprementation batter
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
-				
	implement a process to ensure certificates of occupancy/compliance are not issued prior to all inspections being documented as finalized. Permitting and Inspections management should also streamline and automate documentation for certificate of occupancy and certificate of compliance and encourage appropriate utilization of automated resources to promote efficiency and accountability in the inspection approval process for temporary and final certificates of occupancy and certificates of compliance.	complete. Information Technology has completed the process of watermarking the reports in question with a watermark that says INVALID if the report is printed before all the required inspections, payments, or documents are completed. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make	with the marking of all invalid permits. The procedural component has been implemented by development of a policy on how staff is to mitigate permits that have expired or are invalid.	with the marking of all invalid permits. The procedural component has been implemented by development of a policy on how staff is to mitigate permits that have expired or are invalid.
		refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date				
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019				
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections							
7	The Permitting and Inspections Department should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and the North Carolina State Building Code and create formal procedures for the certificate of compliance and certificate of occupancy process.	Responsible Party: Information Technology Information Manager Implementation Date: 11/30/2016 (workaround) TBD ultimate resolution Management has reached out to the Supervisor of the Code Inspections Section of the Department of Insurance for clarification on this finding. Section 204.8 Certificate of Compliance of the Administration Code gives a guideline for issuing Certificates of Compliance and Certificates of Occupancy. The Inspections Department is meeting all requirements for the issuance of Certificate of Compliance for Electrical, Mechanical, and Plumbing by issuing a final sticker notice that is placed at the jobsite. We also meet the requirements for the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the Building trade. The referenced General Statute was written in 1993 whereas the	Implemented While this recommendation was implemented by verification with the North Carolina Department of Insurance, the recent organizational change has led us to re-examine the issuance of C/O's and other types of occupancy allowances. Internal policies have been developed and re-designed to incorporate the revised development process and system. Completion Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented While this recommendation was implemented by verification with the North Carolina Department of Insurance, the recent organizational change has led us to re-examine the issuance of C/O's and other types of occupancy allowances. Internal policies have been developed and re-designed to incorporate the revised development process and system. Completion Date: 10/1/2018				
		referenced code sections are updated every three years.						

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	5-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official Implementation Date: 10/5/2016		
8	Update enforcement actions within Fayetteville City Code to ensure contractors comply with the North Carolina State Building Code.	Management will recommend to the City Council that the City Code be revised to eliminate this section since privilege licenses are no longer required. The Inspections Department uses Section 204.10 Stop Work Orders of the Administration Code to ensure the contractors comply with the Building Code. Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director	Implemented This recommendation was implemented on 5/8/17.	Implemented This recommendation was implemented on 5/8/17.
9	Testing performed by Internal Audit in Cityworks revealed deficiencies, whereas, there were areas where Internal Audit was not able to determine compliance with laws and regulations. Therefore, Permitting and Inspections management should consider having a specialized audit of the Cityworks software to ensure the	Implementation Date: 4/30/2017 While a number of the aspects of this finding have been addressed, the Permitting and Inspections Department will seek assistance from the Information Technology department in order to fulfill this recommendation in its totality. In particular, Information Technology will work with all PLL user areas and Internal Audit Staff to ensure	Implemented All of the sub-findings within this finding have been resolved, to include 9-3 as reflected below 9-3: Cityworks and Timmons Group were on-site on June 24th, 2019 to discuss with Internal Audit, Information	Implemented All of the sub-findings within this finding have been resolved, to include 9-3 as reflected below 9-3: Cityworks and Timmons Group were on-site on June 24th, 2019 to discuss with Internal Audit, Information

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				

deficiencies revealed in Cityworks are remedied and will provide an adequate level of control, ensure processes are put in place to address controls in which Cityworks is unable to perform, and the software is utilized to its maximum efficiency.

The Office of Internal Audit Permitting recommends Inspections management review the permitting and inspections process to determine key personnel who will have the ability to override the Cityworks system setup by adding, modifying and deleting fees, inspections and permits within Cityworks. Prior to developing and implementing a process related to access controls, Permitting and Inspections should management assess Cityworks setup related to Permitting and Inspection fees and inspection workflows to ensure consistency with current practice while taking compliance to North

that the necessary controls and permissions are in place.

As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to implementation continue refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and *Inspections* and *Information* Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.

Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections); PCE Director (for code changes); Information Technology Director; Assistant and Deputy City Manager

Technology and Development Services the implementation of the findings presented in the Permitting and Inspections Audit report, dated October 2016. The below outlines the status of implementing the inspector scheduling feature within Cityworks:

- The City's Information Technology Department in conjunction with Timmons Group is working through completing the implementation of the online scheduling using the Timmons Group portal;
- Cityworks offered new applications (Workload and Respond) to improve the scheduling function, whereas, the scheduling function through Cityworks is not being upgraded;
- The Permitting and Inspection Division (P&I) continue with the workaround allowing timely

Technology and Development Services the implementation of the findings presented in the Permitting and Inspections Audit report, dated October 2016. The below outlines the status of implementing the inspector scheduling feature within Cityworks:

- The City's Information Technology Department in conjunction with Timmons Group is working through completing the implementation of the online scheduling using the Timmons Group portal;
- Cityworks offered new applications (Workload and Respond) to improve the scheduling function, whereas, the scheduling function through Cityworks is not being upgraded;
- The Permitting and Inspection Division (P&I) continue with the workaround allowing timely

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	Carolina General Statutes, the North Carolina Building Code and the Fayetteville City Code into consideration. Alignment of the required processes with the setup in Cityworks should mean that overriding Cityworks setup by adding, modifying and deleting is an exception and not the rule. Permitting and Inspections management should ensure Permitting and Inspections personnel read and understand the City of Fayetteville Policy # 114 Information Technology Appropriate Usage, and stress the importance of not allowing others to use their access, and protecting all passwords. In addition, written policies and procedures should be documented on how accesses will be requested, who will approve the access and how access will be removed when it's no longer	Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	inspections as submitted in the April 25, 2019 response. P&I acknowledges the resolution of CityWorks issues are not within their authority as an end-user of the product. IT continues to work on developing an actionable scheduling function. P&I is active in this effort and is not dependent upon this function of CityWorks. P&I worked with IT to further develop the workarounds to CityWorks by establishing more phone call service for scheduling. Management accepts the limitations of the existing CityWorks programs, has found ways to not be hampered by the delayed rollout, and expresses appreciation to the IT personnel working to resolve the issues.	inspections as submitted in the April 25, 2019 response. P&I acknowledges the resolution of CityWorks issues are not within their authority as an end-user of the product. IT continues to work on developing an actionable scheduling function. P&I is active in this effort and is not dependent upon this function of CityWorks. P&I worked with IT to further develop the workarounds to CityWorks by establishing more phone call service for scheduling. Management accepts the limitations of the existing CityWorks programs, has found ways to not be hampered by the delayed rollout, and expresses appreciation to the IT personnel working to resolve the issues.

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	•		-	•
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	T		Revised Implementation	Revised Implementation
			Date: 1/30/2019	Date: 1/30/2019
10	Internal Audit recommends a work quality review program be developed and an adequate number of appropriate quality reviews of all permits and inspections be conducted in a timely manner. Documented results should be maintained and utilized as measures of effectiveness during performance evaluations.	The Senior Administrative Assistant will collect samples of work of a variety of permits issued by the Permitting Technicians on a quarterly basis. The reviews will be to ensure that the Permit Technicians are applying the requested work via the permit application within the generated permit issued by the technicians. The review of fees will also be observed ensuring that fee calculations are correct and applied to the proper revenue account. The Senior Administrative Assistant will also conduct monthly reviews of the cash drawers by randomly choosing dates, and times, to count down cash drawers of Permit Technicians that carry out an open cash drawer. A report of such reviews will be created to serve as backup for future auditing purposes.	Implemented Our Work Quality Review Policy has been expanded to encompass the recent organizational change and will tie together other related items such as Training, Work Quality Review, and Performance Measurement. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented Our Work Quality Review Policy has been expanded to encompass the recent organizational change and will tie together other related items such as Training, Work Quality Review, and Performance Measurement. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
		Inspections Supervisors workloads to allow for field-checking for work		

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-02	Permitting and Inspections			
		performed by subordinate inspectors. Until Cityworks can be configured to track and report on these field-checks, the Building Official will instruct the Inspections Supervisors to document the inspections which have been checked in a spreadsheet format. Additionally, Inspections Supervisors are providing one-hour weekly training sessions for subordinate personnel (non-inspector personnel also attend these sessions; see management response to Recommendation 13.)		
		As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
11	The Permitting and Inspections Department should establish measurable and achievable performance goals and service standards. Permitting and Inspections management should establish formal processes to collect performance information and provide adequate training to ensure accurate input of the data used to quantify each performance measure. Once appropriate performance information is available it should be used to better inform management for decision- making and should also enable the Permitting and Inspections	Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (Permitting); Building Official (Inspections) Implementation Date: 11/30/2017 The Building Official is working with Information Technology's project manager and our Cityworks vendors to develop an accurate and efficient system for gathering reporting information. This information may require adjustment to ensure that accurate, obtainable, and reliable information is measured and that this information represents appropriate performance measurement and service standards. Once these reports are installed in Cityworks, we will be able to analyze workload efficiency and effectiveness performance measures to utilize in management and	Implemented The department has created a policy to define what our data is, how we track our data, and how we use the data for performance measurement. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented The department has created a policy to define what our data is, how we track our data, and how we use the data for performance measurement. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	Department to better manage its operations and determine the appropriate balance between service level and resources.	reporting. The Strategy and Performance Analytics Office will be utilized as a resource moving forward. This initiative is part of Information Technology's priority project list. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections)		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Implementation Date : 6/30/2017		
12	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management consult with Information Technology personnel to review the impact on Cityworks regarding this instance and any other changes made by the 2015 update. Any data integrity issues should be reviewed to determine if any data needs 'cleaned' and fix any 'clean up' considered necessary.	This will require a great deal of input and assistance from Information Technology. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: IT Project Manager Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	Items from the 2015 Cityworks upgrade have been repaired. Additional processes (such as the creation of a test environment and regression testing) have been developed to gauge and minimize the impacts of any future upgrades. A policy is being developed that is specific to Development Services concerning the calculation of fees and the integrity of data (as discussed in finding 11). Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Items from the 2015 Cityworks upgrade have been repaired. Additional processes (such as the creation of a test environment and regression testing) have been developed to gauge and minimize the impacts of any future upgrades. A policy is being developed that is specific to Development Services concerning the calculation of fees and the integrity of data (as discussed in finding 11). Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				

13	While inspector training may be	Training for non-inspector personnel	Implemented	Implemented
	driven by certification	will consist of the following training		
	requirements, non-inspector	types, to be implemented as funding	The department has	The department has
	personnel training needs are not.	and operational considerations	incorporated internal training to	incorporated internal training to
	Conduct a personnel training	allow:	detail training and proficiency	detail training and proficiency
	assessment and develop or provide	 Annual training conducted by 	expectations.	expectations.
	training opportunities to meet the	the Building Official regarding		
	needs identified. Permitting and	the administrative requirements	Implementation Date:	Implementation Date:
	Inspections management should	and standards of the North	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
	dedicate the appropriate resources	Carolina Building Code.		
	and time to ensure proper training	• Non-inspector personnel		
	for department personnel. An	currently participate in the		
	important part of any training	weekly one-hour training of		
	program includes basic product	inspectors by the Inspections		
	knowledge. Each member of the	Supervisors.		
	department should be familiar with	• Periodic non-inspector		
	the services offered in order to	personnel "ride-alongs" with		
	competently satisfy customer needs	inspectors to establish		
	by providing accurate information	familiarity with the practical		
	and good customer service.	challenges of construction		
	Training should also include an	inspection from the perspective		
	understanding of the entire	of certified inspectors.		
	permitting and inspections process	• Formal training in the		
	and how activities in each area of	administration of construction		
	the Permitting and Inspections	permitting through the Certified		
	Department affect actions taken in	Permit Technician coursework		
	other areas both within the	developed by the NC		
	department and across other	Department of Insurance.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	departments. In addition, formal training on the Cityworks software program should be instituted to provide familiarity with the system.	 Continuation of prior training in customer service "soft skills" provided by an outside consultant chosen by the Interim Department Director. In the prior training, each staff member was provided an "Inspector Skills" training guide booklet and a study guide questionnaire. Upon completion of the questionnaire, the consultant held employee training of both inspectors and permitting staff on the related materials. Cityworks-specific training in the form of online courses, onsite training, and webinars offered by the software integrator and the software developer. Annual review of relevant City and departmental policies conducted by the Senior Administrative Assistant. Personnel from the State Licensing Board can be 		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	02 Permitting and Inspections			
		requested to provide periodic training on licensing issues. • The Building Official is compiling a portfolio of photographs illustrating various inspection types that will be used to help familiarize noninspector personnel with different inspection types. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	6-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
14	Permitting and Inspections management should identify the kinds of reporting information needed in order to adequately track and assess the efficiency of the permitting process. Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management work with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to improve standard reports that can be used on an ongoing basis to ensure the information needed to manage the permitting and inspections processes will be available to those charged with the responsibility.	purpose and intent of this audit.	As discussed in Finding #11, the department has developed a policy that defines how the data is defined, how to preserve the integrity of the data, and how to use the data to measure performance. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	As discussed in Finding #11, the department has developed a policy that defines how the data is defined, how to preserve the integrity of the data, and how to use the data to measure performance. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
15	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management collaborate with all departments involved in the City's permitting and inspections process to develop routine customer training sessions to be held at least annually. These sessions should, at a minimum, cover information within the entire permitting and inspections process which cause the most customer confusion, such as re-inspections and frequently asked questions. In addition, any new laws, regulations, and requirements	is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Information Technology Project Manager Implementation Date: 6/30/2017 We will coordinate with other departments to establish a program of customer training sessions. There are a variety of existing models to choose from in implementing customer training, including webinars, presentations before trade or homebuilders organizations, and online tutorials to help train our customers. Some of the timing for this initiative will depend upon when the Public Portal and plan review software is implemented by Information Technology.	Implemented The department is currently in deployment of an electronic plan review platform. In development of this platform, we have defined visual workflows that illustrate the development review and permitting process as it relates to the online review and permitting system. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented The department is currently in deployment of an electronic plan review platform. In development of this platform, we have defined visual workflows that illustrate the development review and permitting process as it relates to the online review and permitting system. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	should be included in the training sessions.	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
16	The written policies and procedures recommended in Finding 2 should include practices for closing or otherwise terminating permits that have been abandoned past a certain time threshold as such jobs may	The Information Technology Department is currently working on implementing an automated expiration process for permits that have not received an inspection within six months or that exceed the	Implemented While the process-related content of this finding has been mitigated, the department has also developed a policy on the	Implemented While the process-related content of this finding has been mitigated, the department has also developed a policy on the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	6-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	require the project to comply with newer, safer building codes and would help protect the public safety. Permitting and Inspections management should continue working with the Information Technology Department and the software developer to implement changes that would update a permit status as it is moved through permitting and inspections processes. Once these changes have been completed and thoroughly tested, the impact on historical information that may occur should be assessed before implementing such changes.	expiration date after issuance of the permit. Until the automation of expiring permits is implemented, the Permit Technicians are able to query a report to manually expire permits, as well as, export an excel report capturing the number of cases that were manually expired per Permit Technician. The Senior Administrative Assistant will draft a written procedure and policies as set forth in the recommendation and for compiling data for performance measuring purposes. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information	issuance and maintenance of open permits.	issuance and maintenance of open permits.

Technology's project priority list

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Senior		
		Administrative Assistant		
17	Allowing permits to expire should not be an easy method to avoid inspection and circumvent established controls. Permitting and Inspections management should establish controls to ensure failed inspections are followed to conclusion so the permit holder and/or contractor seek and receive final approval of the project. The Cityworks software should be configured to automatically expire permits based on specific criteria. A risk assessment should be prepared before permits within Cityworks are automatically expired, whereas, implementing this program could have a significant impact on permits.	with this finding. Permits that have not had an inspection within 6 months will be automatically expired and the status changed to Closed - Expired. An email will be sent to the applicant 30 days prior to the expiration and then again up on expiration. If a permit has had at least one inspection, the permit expiration will be extended for 12 months in keeping with the NC Building Code. This feature is currently in test and will be moved into production shortly. Staff will propose revisions to the	Implemented Implemented per last follow-up response.	Implemented Implemented per last follow-up response.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	02 Permitting and Inspections			
	A report should be created and run at some stated interval to resolve expired permits and impose a terminal status of EXPIRED. Some consideration should also be given to sending a notice to the permit holder advising of the expiration of the permit due to lack of activity and giving the permit holder an opportunity to respond. Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure compliance with the Fayetteville City Code Chapter 7, Building Code, Part II, Article III Enforcement, Section 7-68, Time Limitations on Validity of Permits, by expiring permits 60 days from issuance if the work authorized by the permit has not been commenced or update the Fayetteville City Code to be consistent with the North Carolina State Building Code requiring the time limitation for a	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: IT Project Manager for permit expiration notices; Planning and Code Enforcement Director for changes to City Code. Implementation Date: 4/30/2017		
	permit to expire as six months after the date of issuance if the work			

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	authorized by the permit has not been commenced.			
18	Permitting and Inspection management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to develop controls within Cityworks to verify the correct PIN is present on permit records. Should Cityworks not have this capability, Permitting and Inspections management should develop mitigating controls to ensure the validity of PIN's during the review and approval process for permit applications. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should develop a process for consistent and accurate input of address information and work with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to fully integrate the GIS mapping function within Cityworks. In the interim it may be beneficial to enter information in the "Notes" section of a permit to indicate that the	necessary to effectuate compliance with this finding. Permitting and Inspections will require considerable assistance from Information Technology in the testing of Cityworks upgrades. This was an issue that was discussed during a December meeting and there was no clear resolution because the GIS Data that contains the PIN information is provided by Cumberland County GIS because the Register of Deed and the County GIS use different systems. The update from the Register of Deed to the County GIS is not always as timely as the city would like it. City and County GIS have been working together to resolve this, the city receives a nightly update from the county, and as long as the Register	Implemented Implemented per last follow up response	Implemented Implemented per last follow up response

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	address will not match the County records and why. Thorough testing of all upgrades should be performed to ensure the product is performing at an acceptable level to achieve departmental goals.	GIS Road Map project to develop a collaborative GIS Environment with the county to help with this. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Chief Information Officer		
		Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
19	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management review	Management is currently reviewing the permit fees and the permit applications for all four trades. Once	Implemented	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	the existing Fee Schedule to determine whether enhancements would provide additional transparency and clarity for citizens and contractors. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure consistency among the permit application, Fayetteville City Code and the Fee Schedule.	we have corrected our fee schedule and permit applications, we will write the policy and procedures to make sure all permits are accurately issued and valued. Responsible Party: Building Official Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	The department has currently reviewed the fee scheduling and cross-examining it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. This process was concurrent with the implementation of our online Cityworks and idtPlans portal. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	The department has currently reviewed the fee scheduling and cross-examining it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. This process was concurrent with the implementation of our online Cityworks and idtPlans portal. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
20	Permitting and Inspections management should determine if Cityworks has the capability to provide reports by subsidiary ledger for fees charged to customers, which could be used to reconcile to the City's general ledger. Permitting and Inspections management should develop written procedures which should be followed to ensure a documented reconciliation between the amounts billed/refunded in Cityworks and actual revenue posted in the general	There is a lack of integration between the accounting software programs that the City uses that requires manual procedures to reconcile revenues across Cityworks, JDE, and the Point of Sale program. The reconciliation process of this report is completed by the Senior Administrative Assistant and, upon completion of the reconciliation, the Senior Administrative Assistant records her signature and has an employee unassociated with cash handling, approve the reconciliation report. The Senior Administrative Assistant	Implemented The IT department worked with the Cityworks developers to ensure that the date of transactions matches the date on other financial software systems. The department developed policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level to include the required reconciliation of all financial	Implemented The IT department worked with the Cityworks developers to ensure that the date of transactions matches the date on other financial software systems. The department developed policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level to include the required reconciliation of all financial

Implemented

Partially Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	- 100 p			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	ledger is performed at regular intervals. The reconciliation should be completed with verification of the balances by a second authorized individual including initialing and dating reports to document a review and reconciliation was performed. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should develop written policies and procedures to document the process and the importance of closing the POS register nightly. Once these processes are established, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure personnel are adequately trained on them.	will develop written procedures on the processes of this reconciliation procedure. The Permit Technicians have previously trained on the reset procedures of the Point of Sale cash drawers. A draft procedure on "Reconciliation Cash Drawers" has been prepared for review and approval by the Interim Permitting and Inspections Director. Compliance with these procedures will be included as a performance measure. Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 6/30/2017	transactions with the general ledger.	transactions with the general ledger.
21	Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure, when submitting payment to the North Carolina Licensing Board on a quarterly basis, that correct amounts are submitted based on a reconciliation of information in	Department created a new Account Payables subsidiary code to capture the \$9 fee that is paid to the NC Licensing Board. The existing revenue account captures the	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial

KEY

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	-		_	_
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
A2016	Cityworks and the general ledger. Any Homeowner Recovery Fund fee refunds should be taken into consideration when completing the reconciliation.	The recent segregation of the Homeowner Recovery Fee was implemented October 3, 2016. The Senior Administrative Assistant will continue to submit quarterly payments to the N.C. Licensing Board but, beforehand, the Senior Administrative Assistant will ensure that the payment is accurately reconciled amongst the Cityworks Revenue Report and General Ledger within JDE. The same will apply to refunds. The Senior Administrator will ensure refunds of the Homeowner Recovery Fee are properly processed and applied to the appropriate fund accounts within JDE and revenue accounts with Cityworks.	Policies to a department specific level.	Policies to a department specific level.
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 10/3/2016		
22	Permitting and Inspections management should require,	The Senior Administrative Assistant provided Permit Technicians copies	Implemented	Implemented

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	annually, all personnel who handle cash receipts to read the Cash Handling General Procedures and sign acknowledging receipt and understanding of the procedures. A formal written refund policy to provide guidance and direction on how to process refunds should be developed. In addition, Permitting and Inspections personnel should be trained on these policies. Permitting and Inspections management should ensure quality reviews are done for all cash receipt processes.	Procedures. Each of the technicians received, reviewed, and signed the Cash Handling General Procedures Acknowledgement form. A copy of the Cash Handling General Procedures is readily accessible to the Permit Technicians and such policy will be reviewed and signed on an annual basis as recommended by the Finance Department. The Senior Administrative Assistant prepared a department Refund Procedures & Policy. Upon review	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	P	,		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
23	Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections personnel responsibilities be reassigned in order to achieve an effective separation between opening the mail and recording transactions. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should consider checks being opened in dual custody to further strengthen controls. Additionally, Permitting and Inspections management should assess the Administrative Assistant's job description and determine if additional education, experience or knowledge related to internal controls is needed due to the supervision of cash handling functions and update the job	Personnel duties will be defined to require the front line permit technicians assigned to permit issuance to record transactions, and daily dispatch permit technicians will have mail duties to address this issue. The Senior Administrative Assistant will supervise and ensure compliance. Management is reviewing a vacant Permitting and Inspections position against the recommendation and will request a study from the Human Resource Department. Once the study is complete, management will recruit for this position in November 2016. Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director Implementation Date: 9/30/2017	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines. Implementation Date: 10/1/18	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. We have been working with Finance Department staff to adequately develop these procedures that are consistent across department lines. Implementation Date: 10/1/18

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	description or position as deemed appropriate.			
24	The Office of Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management work with the Information Technology Department to establish a process for security of faxed information. Such a process could include faxes being printed only when the appropriate security code is entered or having a dedicated fax machine for the Permitting and Inspections Department in a secure location with limited access. Permitting and Inspections management should ensure the faxes are destroyed in accordance with City's Administrative Policy # 311 - Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan.	The fax machine vendor programmed the Permitting Multi-Functional Device (fax machine) so permit applications received can only be printed by means of entering a security code. Faxes are secured within the device until the security code is applied. Permit Technicians and the Senior Administrative Assistant are only privy to such code, and if at any time the code may be breached, a new security code can be reassigned. The Finance Department provided the Senior Administrative Assistant a copy of the city's policy #311, Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan. Each technician received, reviewed, and signed the Acknowledge form. The Senior Administrative Assistant also prepared a draft policy of a Security and Confidential Information for review by the Permitting and	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented The department has developed and refined policies that incorporate the City's Financial Policies to a department specific level. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Inspection Director. Upon review and approval of the policy, the Senior Administrative Assistant will conduct mandatory training to all Permit Technicians within two weeks following adoption. The Senior Administrative Assistant will also conduct quarterly quality reviews of the Security and Confidential Information. Additionally, and in accordance to the Security of Sensitive and Confidential Information and Breach Response Plan, the Permit Technicians destroy (shred) faxes that contain confidential financial information following the completion of the issuance process of every permit. Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 9/30/2016		
25	Permitting and Inspections management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software	While report creation is part of the Information Technology Department's top priorities for Cityworks "fixes," locking out the	Implemented The process-related content of this finding has been addressed,	Implemented The process-related content of this finding has been addressed,

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

Inspections

and

Technology's project priority list

Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
2016-02 Permitting and Inspections			
developer to develop controls	_	Involvementation Date.	Tourism and after Deduc
within Cityworks to ensure permits are not printed before all pre-	require additional funding to complete. IT has completed the	Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implementation Date: 10/1/2018
permitting requirements are met		10/1/2010	10/1/2010
and the hardcoded status on the	in question with a watermark that		
permit should read the status within	says INVALID if the report is		
Cityworks.	printed before all the required		
Additionally, Internal Audit	inspections, payments, or documents are completed.		
recommends the appropriate	are completed.		
inspector review all written	We will coordinate with the		
applications as defined by NCGS	Department of Insurance to		
and Fayetteville City Code,			
Chapter 7, Article III before a permit is issued.	inspectors to issue trade permits.		
	As it relates to the deficiencies that		
	address the Cityworks PLL software,		
	the City Manager has authorized a		
	project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make		
	recommendations on whether to		
	continue implementation and		
	refinement efforts or seek another		
	PLL solution. Until the assessment		
	is completed, only issues already		
	identified as a part of Permitting and		

Information

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: IT Project Manager		
		Implementation Date : 11/30/2016 for the workaround. TBD for the ultimate resolution.		
26	Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management review applications, the Fee Schedule and Cityworks, and ensure they are consistent with one another. In addition, Permitting and Inspections management should review all permit applications to ensure all necessary information is required on the applications, applications are clear, and assess whether any unnecessary information should be removed from the applications. Once the applications are updated and made available to the contractors/homeowners, their use should be enforced.	We will coordinate with the Department of Insurance to determine the need for building inspectors to issue trade permits. Staffing and workload issues may preclude quality control by inspection supervisors without additional resources as has been noted in responses to prior findings. Staff will work with Information Technology to see if exceptions can be identified for quality control purposes. Once these issues are resolved, policies and procedures will be developed and training conducted to ensure subordinate staff adherence to the policies and procedures.	Implemented The department has reviewed the fee schedule and cross-examined it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. An internal policy has been developed in regards to permit issuance and work-quality review to address the human-related consistency component of permitting. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented The department has reviewed the fee schedule and cross-examined it with our permit applications to ensure that all language is consistent, clear, and transparent. An internal policy has been developed in regards to permit issuance and work-quality review to address the human-related consistency component of permitting. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	In order to be in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes, Inspectors should issue permits. However, prior to permit issuance, Permitting and Inspections personnel should ensure permit applications are completed with all information necessary to calculate fees. If information on the application is unclear, Permitting and Inspections personnel should ask the applicant for clarification. Any updated information should be clearly documented for future reference. Permitting and Inspections management should establish a quality review process for the Permitting and Inspections Department. Due to the high volume of applications, the likelihood of finding an exception by spot checking is statistically low. Therefore, when establishing a quality review process, Permitting and Inspections management could	As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Building Official; Senior Administrative Assistant Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	consider exception-based reporting from Cityworks which could identify unusual transactions, such as a residential building permit without a homeowner recover fee charged. Policies and procedures should be			
	written to provide clear guidance on accurate and consistent application of fees. Training should be given to Permitting and Inspections personnel to ensure understanding and adherence to policies and procedures.			
27	Internal Audit recommends the appropriate inspector review all written applications as defined by NCGS and Fayetteville City Code, Chapter 7, Article III before a permit is issued. This review should include the status of the contractor's license.	The Planning and Code Enforcement Director will review the City Code and propose any modifications that are necessary to modernize and ensure consistency between the City Code, the NC Building Code, and departmental procedures and policies.	While the process-related component of license review has been implemented, a policy was also revised to incorporate consistent research of contractor's license within the issuance of permits.	While the process-related component of license review has been implemented, a policy was also revised to incorporate consistent research of contractor's license within the issuance of permits.
	Additionally, Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections personnel establish and follow written procedures to ensure	Management has reached out to the Supervisor of the Code Inspections Section of the Department of Insurance for clarification on	In addition, the City Attorney's Office provided guidance that once the permit has been issued,	In addition, the City Attorney's Office provided guidance that once the permit has been issued,

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	each contractor's license is valid when issuing a permit. Since	inspector issuance of permits. The Permitting and Inspections		

permits expire December 31 each year and become invalid 60 days from that date unless renewed, Permitting and Inspections should establish and follow written procedures to ensure all general contractors with active permits still have valid licenses in March of each year. For any active permits determined to be issued to general contractors with invalid licenses, Permitting and Inspections personnel should establish written procedures to comply with NCGS 160-422 relating to the revocation of permits.

inspector issuance of permits. The Permitting and Inspections Department is meeting all requirements for the issuance of trade and building permits in our current practice.

Management is currently reviewing the permit fees and the permit applications for all four trades. Once we have corrected our fee schedule and permit applications, we will write the policy and procedures to make sure the permit is accurately issued and valued.

The Permit Technicians currently following procedures of verifying contractors licenses prior to the issuance of permits. The Senior Administrative Assistant will draft a policy and procedures to ensure that this process is being validated. The Senior Administrative Assistant will complete monthly random quality control checks to ensure that this

it is the contractor's responsibility to maintain his license in accordance with the 2012 North Carolina Administrative Code and Policies Section 204.3.6 which reads "It shall be the duty of every person who contracts for the installation or repair of a building or service system to comply with State or local rules and regulations concerning licensing."

Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

it is the contractor's responsibility to maintain his license in accordance with the 2012 North Carolina Administrative Code and Policies Section 204.3.6 which reads "It shall be the duty of every person who contracts for the installation or repair of a building or service system to comply with State or local rules and regulations concerning licensing."

Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-	02 Permitting and Inspections			
		recommendation is followed through. In speaking with the North Carolina Licensing Board for General Contractors, they are looking into developing a WebService with which we would be able to programmatically interface with in order to validate the contractor in real time. At this time there is no ETA for the availability of this WebService. Such an arrangement with other trades is being explored. Currently Information Technology has investigated other methods of automatically validating the Contractor License, however, there would be additional funding needed to do this. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the		
		current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Planning and Code Enforcement Director (code changes); Senior Administrative Assistant (procedures) Implementation Date: 9/30/2017		
28	Permitting and Inspections management should coordinate with the Information Technology Department and/or the software developer to develop controls within Cityworks to prevent creating duplicate permits. Should Cityworks not have this capability; Permitting and Inspections management should work with personnel within the department on mitigating controls to ensure	Cityworks cannot currently prevent the creation of duplicate permits, however, it will allow you to see all the existing permits, cases, service requests and work orders at a given address. Resolution of this issue is dependent on a vendor's schedule. Additionally, consideration should be given to distinguishing between a trade permit and a building permit with regard to the qualifications of	A project may require more than one of a specific trade permit (multiple electrical permits may be issued for one job). Staff is familiar with the requirements for each permit, and the differentiation of each permit type. Although trade permits may appear to be the	Implemented A project may require more than one of a specific trade permit (multiple electrical permits may be issued for one job). Staff is familiar with the requirements for each permit, and the differentiation of each permit type. Although trade permits may appear to be the

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and

and Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts

Inspections

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
	duplicate permits are not being	the issuing authority. If inspectors	same at first glance, staff includes notes and other items to	same at first glance, staff includes notes and other items to
	created. All permit applications should be reviewed by an	have to sign off on all permits prior to their issuance, a significant	differentiate each permit from	differentiate each permit from
	appropriate level inspector before a		another to avoid duplication.	another to avoid duplication.
	permit is issued at which time, the	permit volume. If this is the	anomer to avoid aspireution.	anomer to avoid daphedron.
	inspector can verify that a duplicate	direction of the Interim City	Implementation Date:	Implementation Date:
	permit is not being created.	Manager, we will produce a plan for	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
		implementation for consideration		
		during the FY18 budget cycle.		
		Information Technology is working		
		with software developer to bring a Cityworks PLL trainer on site to		
		provide specialized PLL training.		
		provide specialized I LL training.		
		As it relates to the deficiencies that		
		address the Cityworks PLL software,		
		the City Manager has authorized a		
		project assessment to evaluate the		
		current state of Cityworks and make		
		recommendations on whether to continue implementation and		
		refinement efforts or seek another		
		PLL solution. Until the assessment		

Information

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
29	Procedures should be established requiring inspectors to document within Cityworks when the inspector reaches the location and the results of the inspection before going to the next assignment. Cityworks should be configured, if necessary, to facilitate this type of documentation. Training should be provided to improve inspectors' documentation, to establish parameters and guidelines and the use of laptops in the field to result the inspections.	to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Interim Permitting and Inspections Director and Information Technology Director Implementation Date: 11/15/2016 Permitting and Inspections has purchased laptop computers for all the field inspectors to eliminate the problem of limited or no connectivity in some areas of the City. Since that time, the inspectors have been trained and directed by management to log into Cityworks and do all of their inspection postings at the jobsite. Management is working with Cityworks to be able to have this measurable data extracted in several types of reports. This will give management valuable information that we will be able to use in determining if the department is adequately staffed.	Implemented The process and policy related content of this finding has been remedied Also, technology has also being evaluated to ensure that the inspectors can result the inspections in real time after the inspection is conducted. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented The process and policy related content of this finding has been remedied Also, technology has also being evaluated to ensure that the inspectors can result the inspections in real time after the inspection is conducted. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Building Official Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
30	Internal Audit recommends the Permitting and Inspections	The inspections workflows are currently under modification. It is	Implemented	Implemented
	Department prohibit the practice of bypassing system controls by deleting and/or resulting inspections on the workflow as "NA". Quality reviews should be	the intent to modify and simplify each of the workflows per permit type. Until this occurs, an "N/A" will be placed on inspections tasks not related to the inspection. The	With the assistance of IT, the department has implemented the recommendation preventing the bypassing of system controls.	With the assistance of IT, the department has implemented the recommendation preventing the bypassing of system controls.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections				
	conducted by management to ensure all inspections are completed and resulted for each type of permit on the workflow. Cityworks workflows should be updated for each permit type to include only required inspections for that permit type.	Permitting and Inspections department is working closely with the IT department as well as with Cityworks in order to address this issue. As we modify the case types and workflows additional security will be added which will prohibit the addition or deletions of task in the workflow. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official		
		Implementation Date : 6/30/2017		
31	Permitting and Inspections management should develop procedures to clarify expectations, including established start times and locations to begin inspections for the workday. The procedures should also give general guidance on how to conduct inspections. Once these procedures are established, Permitting and Inspections management should ensure personnel are adequately trained on them. The AVL technology should be fitted and fully operational on all Permitting and Inspections Department vehicles. This data should be used by management in conjunction with monitoring inspector output as a measure of overall productivity.	The Permitting and Inspections Department will implement policies and procedures to ensure that inspections staff have clear and concise instruction regarding daily expectations, standards for training new staff, and policies as it relates to enforcement of the NC Building Code. The AVL systems are currently installed in all inspectors' assigned vehicles. The existing AVL system could not be permanently installed without voiding the manufacturer's warranty. Reporting is currently being addressed by the Information Technology Project Manager. The inspections staff will receive training on how to review and monitor the AVL system. Additionally, the real-time resulting of inspections will help confirm inspector location.	Implemented Accountability procedures are currently in place to satisfy the process-related content of this finding. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018	Implemented Accountability procedures are currently in place to satisfy the process-related content of this finding. Implementation Date: 10/1/2018

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
32	Permitting and Inspections management should develop procedures to ensure all permitted projects are inspected or permits are properly cancelled if the permitted work is not commenced.	All full demolition permits are inspected by the Code Enforcement Division of the Planning and Code Enforcement Department. Cityworks has been modified to notify the contractor when a permit is about to expire. This modification reflects the standards of the NC Building Code with regard to permit expiration. A procedure will be developed in order to provide clear and concise instruction on how to post inspections once the permit is completed, voided, or expired. An amendment to the City Code will be proposed to reflect the standards of the NC Building Code with regard to permit expiration. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the	Implemented The process-related content was addressed by ordinance amendment and Cityworks modification Implementation Date: 10/1/18	Implemented The process-related content was addressed by ordinance amendment and Cityworks modification Implementation Date: 10/1/18

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts to refine Cityworks will be discontinued.		
		Responsible Party: Senior Administrative Assistant (for Permitting); Building Official (for Inspections); PCE Director (for code changes and PCE policies and procedures) Implementation Date: 11/15/2016, with the City Code Changes to occur in January 2017		
33	Internal Audit recommends Permitting and Inspections management develop processes to ensure square footage and construction costs are validated	We agree that enhancements can be made to better confirm fee calculations from various measures, however, the proposed redundancy is unnecessary as any deviations will	Implemented The fee schedule has been modified to reflect permit fees	Implemented The fee schedule has been modified to reflect permit fees

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially I	nplemented	In	plemented		Past	Imple	mentati	ion Da	ate
	Recommendation	Manageme	nt Response		ement Follow-u – October 24, 2	-		nagem ponse –			-
A2016	A2016-02 Permitting and Inspections										
		T					1				
	prior to permit issuance and again	be caught durin	g the inspection	based upor	n heated and	non-	based	upon	heated	and	non-

oz remitnig una mopeetions			
prior to permit issuance and again	be caught during the inspection	based upon heated and non-	based upon heated and non-
prior to issuance of the certificate of	process. We agree that adjustments	heated area.	heated area.
occupancy/compliance. The	to the Fee Schedule need to be made		
process should include recording	to simplify calculation procedures;	In addition to the fee schedule	In addition to the fee schedule
adjustments in Cityworks and	this will require coordination with	modification, the building	
collecting or refunding any fees	Information Technology, and such	inspectors have initiated a	inspectors have initiated a
based on these adjustments. These	changes will be made at midyear, if	process to evaluate and compare	process to evaluate and compare
processes should be documented in	possible, or proposed as part of the	area and value based upon	
written policies and procedures and	FY18 budget	footings and the current fair	
personnel should be trained on		market value.	market value.
them.	As it relates to the deficiencies that		
	address the Cityworks PLL software,		
	the City Manager has authorized a	Implementation Date:	Implementation Date:
	project assessment to evaluate the	10/1/2018	10/1/2018
	current state of Cityworks and make		
	recommendations on whether to		
	continue implementation and		
	refinement efforts or seek another		
	PLL solution. Until the assessment		
	is completed, only issues already		
	identified as a part of Permitting and		
	Inspections and Information		
	Technology's project priority list		
	will be completed. All other efforts		
	to refine Cityworks will be		
	discontinued.		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	- 100 		p	<u> </u>
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		Responsible Party: Building Official Implementation Date: 6/30/2017		
34	A formal written callback policy to provide guidance and direction on how to impose callback fees should be developed and communicated to contractors/home owners. In addition, Permitting and Inspections personnel should be trained on this new policy.	Management is writing a formal callback policy. Once this policy is completed, we will modify Cityworks so that a callback fee will be automatically issued in accordance to the policy. Once this callback policy is completed, then management will notify the contractors and train the inspectors. As it relates to the deficiencies that address the Cityworks PLL software, the City Manager has authorized a project assessment to evaluate the current state of Cityworks and make recommendations on whether to continue implementation and refinement efforts or seek another PLL solution. Until the assessment is completed, only issues already identified as a part of Permitting and Inspections and Information Technology's project priority list will be completed. All other efforts	Implemented In addition to the callback fee, a policy has been implemented for staff knowledge on the consistent implementation of call-back fees. Implementation Date: 9/30/2018	Implemented In addition to the callback fee, a policy has been implemented for staff knowledge on the consistent implementation of call-back fees. Implementation Date: 9/30/2018

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		to refine Cityworks will be discontinued. Responsible Party: Building Official		
		Implementation Date : 6/30/2017		
35	Consider implementing multi-trade inspections, specifically HVAC permits, to enhance scheduling flexibility, reduce drive times and improve response times.	The Permitting and Inspections Department is now performing multi-trade inspections for two permit types. One is the mechanical change out permit when the mechanical inspector inspects both the mechanical and electrical installations. The other is the gas water heater permit when the plumbing inspector inspects the water heater, vent piping and the gas piping. A policy and procedure will be written to ensure both permits are ready before the inspector goes on the inspection. Management also utilizes this cross training when a trade section is shorthanded. Out of a department of 18 inspectors, we have 7 inspectors who have more than one standard certification. Management hopes to expand this	Implemented Current scheduling practices have been modified to support multi-trade inspections in unit installs and change outs. We have also developed a multi-trade permit Implementation Date: 10/1/18	Implemented Current scheduling practices have been modified to support multi-trade inspections in unit installs and change outs. We have also developed a multi-trade permit Implementation Date: 10/1/18

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-02 Permitting and Inspections			
		concept to more permit types as we		
		get more inspectors certified.		
		Responsible Party: Building		
		Official		
		Implementation Date : 10/1/2016		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2016-06 Contract Practices and Procedures					

The Office of Internal Audit recommends management determine if the City Manager's Office is the most appropriate department to be responsible for Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure based on the ability to provide oversight and management of all policy provisions and appendices. Once determined. management should designate personnel/positions responsible for the oversight and management of the policy and ensure the personnel/positions responsible have the ability to enforce contract policy provisions. This recommendation is applicable for all findings within this report and will have a direct impact on the management responses oversight and monitoring of compliance with the policy.

The approvals required and the procedures within Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure were initially assigned to the City Manager's Office; however, after further review and evaluation, the oversight and management of the policy to include the appendices is being assigned to the Finance department. Currently, the Finance department is responsible for the Purchasing function along with playing a major role with citywide contracting. Furthermore, Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedure will continue to require the City Manager to approve all delegation of contract signature authority as authorized by the City's Code of Ordinances Chapter 2 Article III Section 2-61.

Responsible Party: Chief Financial

Implementation Date: 01/31/2018

Officer or designee

Implemented

A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy will drafted be circulated/presented to SMT on 4.25.19 for a 10 day review process. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.

Implemented

A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to contracting process. An updated policy will be drafted and circulated/presented to SMT on 4.25.19 for a 10 day review process. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved City by Manager.

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A201	6-06 Contract Practices and Procedu	res		
2	1. Clarification should be added to Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedures to: a. Define the conditions under which a purchase order is required; and b. Require all signatures on contracts in Laserfiche be dated. 2. Training and monitoring practices to ensure procedures are being followed by all user departments should be improved. 3. A quality control program should be developed to help ensure purchase orders are		Implemented Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedures was updated and subsequently approved by the City Manager. Training was held 11.16.18, 3.29.19 and will continue to be held now that the policy has been developed and approved.	Implemented Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedures was updated and subsequently approved by the City Manager. Training was held 11.16.18, 3.29.19 and will continue to be held now that the policy has been developed and approved.

KEY

Not Implemented

with all required approvals,

signatures and the City Seal.

Financial

Officer

responsibility to develop a quality control program to help

the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-06 Contract Practices and Procedu	res		
3	 Clarification should be added to Policy #120 City of Fayetteville General Contracting Practices and Procedures defining the conditions under which a contract is required; Training and monitoring practices to ensure procedures are being followed by all user departments should be improved; A quality control program should be developed to help ensure contracts are obtained 	ensure purchase orders obtained prior to purchase or start of service, and all contracts are fully executed with all required approvals, signatures and the City Seal. Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer or designee Implementation Date: 03/31/2018 1. To ensure full implementation and compliance, the City Manager will assign the CFO the responsibility of providing clarification to Policy #120, City of Fayetteville General Contracting Processes and Procedures in an effort to define the conditions under which a contract is required. 2. To ensure full implementation and compliance, the City Manager will assign the CFO the responsibility of providing training and monitoring practices to ensure contract	Implemented A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy was drafted and circulated/presented to SMT. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.	Implemented A contract committee (parks and recreation, finance, legal, SPA, CMO, airport, and internal audit) has been created which has put into motion certain changes to the contracting process. An updated policy was drafted and circulated/presented to SMT. All comments were recorded and policy signed/approved by City Manager.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-06 Contract Practices and Procedu	ires		
	prior to purchase or start of service; and 4. Management should establish a central repository for all City contracts.	by all user departments. 3. To ensure full implementation and compliance, the City Manager will assign the CFO the responsibility to develop a quality control program to help ensure all contracts are fully executed with all required approvals, signatures and the City Seal. 4. All contracts will go through contract routing and LaserFiche will serve as the contract repository. Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer or designee	A training module has been prepared and will be delivered to staff using PowerDMS.	A training module has been prepared and will be delivered to staff using PowerDMS.
		Implementation Date : 03/31/2018		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

- The Office of Internal Audit 1.1 recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established Internal based on Audit's observations include the following. but are not limited to:
 - 1. An annual audit of all areas where property and evidence are maintained, to include the Forensic Evidence Unit storage lockers and drying room located in the Public Administrative Building garage;

The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be

Partially Implemented

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

An announced audit was conducted during the dates of July 23-26, 2019 as required by policy.

Not Implemented

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

An unannounced internal inspection was completed on September 19- October 12, 2018 by the Forensics Manager and Forensics Supervisor. A final report was submitted to the Chief of Police. An announced inspection was completed on September 26, 2018. A final report was submitted to Chief of Police.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
1.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property	Not Implemented The Evidence and Property

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:

2. Documentation representing review of the audits and inspections of the Property and Evidence Unit by management should be maintained to ensure management is aware of potential issues:

addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend the Police to Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

An announced audit was conducted during the dates of July 23-26, 2019. The findings of the audit were annotated in a memorandum that was sent to the Chief of Police for review and has been stored in Laserfiche.

Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

Upon completion of both the announced and unannounced inspections of the Property Room, complete reports were forwarded through the Chain of Command for review through the Chief of Police. This was completed by the middle of October 2018.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
		training when employees have deficiencies.		the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and
		Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander		conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		handling evidence.
				This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Room Management.
				UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental
				Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
1.2	The Office of Internal Audio	The marious of the autima Dallar	Doutfally Involved A	Date: 10/31/2019
1.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property	Not Implemented The Evidence and Property

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:

3. A special audit should be conducted for ALL types of property and evidence when there is a transition of personnel in and out of the Property and Evidence Unit;

addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend the Police to Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

The special audit and announced inspection were conducted in tandem with one another due to the proximity of new personnel being assigned to the Evidence and Property room.

Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible handling evidence.

Additionally, policy will be reviewed by contracted legal

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-0	01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		who specializes in NC Police Policy. The audit report and policy will be provided for their recommendations. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Room Management. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. New Personnel is being trained for the unit due to exiting supervisors retiring. This will result in an audit for new staff coming into the unit. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

- 1.4 The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established Internal based on Audit's observations include the following. but are not limited to:
 - 4. Determine the circumstances when property receipts are required, the personnel responsible to maintain them and ensure they are issued accordingly;

The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be

Partially Implemented

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

Not Implemented

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander		This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Submitting General Property Management. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
				Date: 10/31/2019
1.5	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal		The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.	Not Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.
	controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following,	Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to	The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

112010 01 20 dence and 110 percy 112 mage			
but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	reflect the recommendations	each of the following areas:
	best operating procedure policy to	made by the Office of Internal	Property Room Management;
5. Complete and accurate	recommend to the Police	Audit.	Currency Handling Procedures;
descriptions of property and	Department. Once the		Property Removal, Release and
evidence should be documented, to	recommendations have been	Upon completion of the final	•
include completing the database	submitted, the Police Department	review and approval of the	* *
fields required within RMS;	will update departmental operating	operating procedures, training	
	procedure policies to ensure they	will be created and conducted	
	are in compliance with the listed		have been reviewed by legal
	recommendations regarding of		and the subject matter experts
	audits and inspections of the unit.	are responsible for handling	· ·
	The Police Department always	evidence.	the Chain of Command. Upon
	conducts training when a new		completion of the final review,
	policy is updated or created for all		training will be created and
	the employees, when procedures are		conducted to effectively teach
	changed extensively it will require		the new policy to all persons
	even more training. There are also		who are responsible for
	times when the training can be		handling evidence.
	placed on our PowerDMS platform		TD1: 1
	as a video in order to be able to go		This area is specifically
	back to review again as a refresher		addressed in the new policy
	training when employees have		titled Submitting General
	deficiencies.		Property Management.
	Responsible Party: Specialized		UPDATE July 25, 2019 ;
	Services Division Commander		Policy has been reviewed and
			discussed with external legal
	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		for further review. Awaiting

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	1 (of impremented	Turumiy impremences	impremented	Tust implementation but		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment				
				final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created.		
				Revised Implementation		
1.6				Date: 10/31/2019		
1.6	The Office of Internal Audit	The review of the entire Police	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented		
	recommends management establish internal controls to	Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being	The Evidence and Dropouts	The Evidence and Duemouter		
	ensure personnel are in compliance	addressed to ensure the	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the		
	with North Carolina General	implementation of an updated	last available policy version	last available policy version		
	Statutes and operating procedures.	policy will cover operational and	from 2016.	from 2016.		
	Some possible areas where internal	legal restrictions. The Police	110111 2010.	110111 2010.		
	controls should be established	Department will await the research	The Evidence and Property	Stolen checks were completed		
	based on Internal Audit's	and recommendations from the City	Operating Procedure has been	on the firearms in the evidence		
	observations include the following,	of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	updated pending staffing to	room with property		
	but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	reflect the recommendations	documentation illustrating the		
		best operating procedure policy to	made by the Office of Internal	checks. Standard procedure is		
	6. Stolen checks should be	recommend to the Police	Audit.	to run a stolen report for all		
	generated for ALL firearms to	Department. Once the		weapons entered into evidence.		
	determine if they have been	recommendations have been	Upon completion of the final			
	reported stolen;	submitted, the Police Department	review and approval of the	This process has not changed as		
		will update departmental operating	operating procedures, training	stated above, in the policy re-		
		procedure policies to ensure they	will be created and conducted	write, the Property Room staff		
		are in compliance with the listed	to effectively teach the new	will not accept the property		
		recommendations regarding of	procedures to all persons who	without the required paperwork.		

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-01	1 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
		audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	are responsible for handling evidence.	The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Submitting General Property Management.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
				UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
1.7	The Office of Internal Audit	The review of the entire Police	Partially Implemented	Date: 10/31/2019 Not Implemented
1.,	recommends management	Department Evidence and Property	Turbung impremented	1100 Impromented
	establish internal controls to	Operating Procedure Policy is being	The Evidence and Property	The Evidence and Property
	ensure personnel are in compliance	addressed to ensure the	Room continue to follow the	Room continue to follow the
	with North Carolina General	implementation of an updated	last available policy version from 2016.	last available policy version from 2016.
	Statutes and operating procedures. Some possible areas where internal	policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police	1rom 2016.	1rom 2016.
	controls should be established	Department will await the research	Documentation is maintained	Documentation is maintained
	based on Internal Audit's	and recommendations from the City	showing the firearm was	showing the firearm was
	observations include the following,	of Fayetteville Police Attorneys	entered in the Recovered Gun	entered in the Recovered Gun
	but are not limited to:	who have been working to find the	File. Weapons stored in	File. Weapons stored in
		best operating procedure policy to	evidence longer than 2 years	evidence longer than 2 years
	7. Documentation should be	recommend to the Police	will be removed this file due to	will be removed this file due to
	maintained showing the firearm	Department. Once the	DCI rules.	DCI rules.
	was entered in the Recovered Gun File.	recommendations have been submitted, the Police Department	NO shange to this this is a	NO change to this, this is a
	THE.	submitted, the Folice Department	The change to this, this is a	The change to this, this is a

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	process that was already in place at the time of the audit. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	process that was already in place at the time of the audit. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy
				titled Submitting General Property Management.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
1.8	Additionally, the Office of Internal Audit recommends the Fayetteville Police Department review the training and guidelines given to	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Operating Procedure Policy is being addressed to ensure the	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the	UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019 Not Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the
	officers/detectives on property and evidence processing, and educate them on the impact if property and evidence is not processed correctly. Refresher training should be provided to all applicable Department personnel on ALL property and evidence operating procedures.	implementation of an updated policy will cover operational and legal restrictions. The Police Department will await the research and recommendations from the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys who have been working to find the best operating procedure policy to recommend to the Police Department. Once the recommendations have been	last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.	last available policy version from 2016. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		submitted, the Police Department will update departmental operating procedure policies to ensure they are in compliance with the listed recommendations regarding of audits and inspections of the unit. The Police Department always conducts training when a new policy is updated or created for all the employees, when procedures are changed extensively it will require even more training. There are also times when the training can be placed on our PowerDMS platform as a video in order to be able to go back to review again as a refresher training when employees have deficiencies. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
2.1	Conduct a full and complete inventory of all currency to	The implementation of this recommendation is contingent upon	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

Specialized

determine the amount being maintained in the Property and Evidence Unit, to include counterfeit and foreign currency. Records within RMS should be updated accordingly.

awaiting our research and is recommendations for the implementation of cash handling procedural processes. This will also depend on the creation of a bank account for the storage of funds and communication with the District Attorney's Office to determine if any funds will not be able to go into the financial institution. Currently, request has been made to the Finance Department for assistance. Counterfeit money will not be able to be held in the financial institution and will for the most part be turned over to the Secret Service. Foreign currency will be discussed with the financial institution recommendations on how to handle. With the expectation of implementing cash handling procedures and transferring the funds into a financial institution, an inventory will be conducted simultaneously and RMS will be updated accordingly.

Responsible Party:

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

A complete inventory of all currency will be conducted once policy revision has occurred.

The armored car options to transport money to the bank were discussed with Finance. This will not work for Favetteville Police Department's needs based on "evidence" related chain of custody issues. Finance personnel have requested a timeframe when the deposit of all currency into a non-interest producing account will begin. This information was passed on appropriate Police Department personnel follow-up related to the overall cost.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		Services Division Commander		ease of training. The policies

Services D	ivision Commander	ease of training. The policies
		have been reviewed by legal
Implemen	tation Date: 03/10/2019	and the subject matter experts
		and are currently in review with
		the Chain of Command. Upon
		completion of the final review,
		training will be created and
		conducted to effectively teach
		the new policy to all persons
		who are responsible for
		handling evidence.
		This area is specifically
		addressed in the new policy
		titled Currency Handling
		Procedures. Sgt Mary Bueno is almost complete with a case by
		case review of all currency
		cases and seeing which cases
		have been adjudicated.
		nave esem adjudicated.
		<u>UPDATE July 25, 2019;</u>
		The case by case review will
		be reviewed and evaluated by
		new supervisor and best
		practice for conducting a full
		currency count.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created.
				Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
2.2	Amend Operating Procedure 6.2 to provide clear guidance consisting of defining database fields and use of coding for all types of property and evidence in RMS; to include how debit, credit, gift or EBT cards and check or money orders should be classified and stored.	This will be addressed with the updated Operating Procedure 6.2 that the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are currently working on. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training	Not Implemented The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
			will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
2.2	Devices the moresty and evidence	This will be addressed once the	Doutielly Involvemented	Date: 10/31/2019
2.3	Review the property and evidence items converted from Visionaire RMS to ONESolution RMS to determine if disposing is an option. As these items are being disposed based on the current legal, approved procedures, the missing and inconsistent information	This will be addressed once the renovation of the first floor of the Police Department is completed, it will allow for more physical space to move items off the shelf and complete an accurate inventory when we move items for management of property/evidence	Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property	The re-organization of the first floor area of the Police Department should be finalized with rolling shelves in April 2019. This will enable Property and Evidence staff to make
			* · · ·	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

<u> </u>			
that time.	for evidence. This inventory will	updated pending staffing to	
	also provide the opportunity to	reflect the recommendations	The Property and Evidence
	ensure property and evidence items	made by the Office of Internal	Room staff is looking at
	in ONESolution RMS, specifically	Audit.	organizational methods to
	converted data, are accurate and		maximize space and streamline
	complete.	Upon completion of the final	process overall. As part of that,
		review and approval of the	
	Responsible Party: Specialized	operating procedures, training	
	Services Division Commander	will be created and conducted	
		to effectively teach the new	hired to assist with the research
	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	procedures to all persons who	and authorship of court orders
		are responsible for handling	
		evidence.	forward. Those orders are
			currently with the City of
		Any item that is destroyed at	Fayetteville Police Attorneys
		this time, must have a court	ε
		order for destruction in place	judge.
		for it to be completed. The	A 1. 1 1
		department currently requires a	An intern was hired and
		court order for any destruction.	completed their internship
			assisting with destroying of
			property. Police Department
			staff continues to work on
			property destruction when
			possible.
			Destruction of this property is
			our primary goal and a new
			policy has been authored that

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-	01 Evidence and Property Manager	nent		
				addresses only the Removal / Release / Destruction Procedures outlined by the legal team. This policy will eventually aid in the transition of property for destruction. It will not give clear guidance to the process required by NC General Statute and the Fayetteville Police Legal Team. The reorganization of the evidence room has begun and during this process, all pieces of property that is touched will be verified in RMS as accurate. If it is not listed in the RMS Evidence Module, it will be entered. This will allow for the older RMS system to be phased out of use since all evidence will be in the current module. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Reorganization of the Property Room is in the final stages of movement and
				personnel is transitioning to

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
				ensure this is completed in accordance to the updated policy. Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
2.4	For all other items required to be maintained, management should determine if the costs of using resources to "clean up" the data in ONESolution RMS for property and evidence outweigh the risk of missing and inconsistent data. Once management determines what risks are unacceptable, a process should be established to update any data for which an update is considered necessary.	This process of "clean up" involves a transition of information that occurred 7-8 year ago from an outdated RMS program to the OneSolution RMS program being used. The transition was done in such a manner that all the data was not transitioned clearly enough to verify the items. A quote will have to be acquired from a vendor working with IT to determine recommendation if it is even possible, feasibility and the	Not Implemented Currently, another request was submitted for the cost of the data transfer.to have this information within OneSolution RMS cleaned up because destruction orders are being prepared for the older property and many of these items are included in this process. Another request was submitted for the cost of the data transfer.	Not Implemented Currently, a quote has not been received to determine costs to have this information within OneSolution RMS cleaned up because destruction orders are being prepared for the older property and many of these items are included in this process. No change. UPDATE:

Implemented

Partially Implemented

Past Implementation Date

			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019	
A 2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment			
112010	A2010-01 Evidence and 110perty Management				
		financial impact before this can be completed. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		The previous process of obtaining all Destruction Orders to obtain a quote is still being conducted. No Change. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019	
3.1	Management should consider having RMS Administration supervised by the Information Technology Department. This should not only alleviate the current conflict of interest but would allow personnel to supervise this position with knowledge of the need for segregation of duties, access controls and security over RMS.	The RMS Administrator's function and oversight is not a conflict of interest regarding segregation of duties. We do agree including additional personnel to support the RMS Administrators functions in order to not have a single point of failure if they are away.	Management did not concur and will not be implementing this recommendation.	Management did not concur and will not be implementing this recommendation.	
3.2	Management should implement formal written procedures for software user account management to include developing a process to periodically review the access list and identify authorized users of RMS and specify access rights.	We will ensure we follow the City of Fayetteville's Information Technology standards for the maintenance of software user account management. We have already began developing an accountability form for each employee which will determine the user rights and restrictions depending on their position within	Process and practice has been implemented and tested within the department. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.	Partially Implemented This process is still being worked on, but as part of the process, when employees within the Fayetteville Police Department leave the agency or are transferred within the agency, they now have to out process through the RMS	

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management					
		the department. As the Property and Evidence Operational Procedures policy is established, we will determine if the written procedure needs to be included in that policy. As personnel move throughout the department an updated form for identifying authorized users of RMS will be updated. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		Manager and their rights are changed or removed. A policy has been drafted and is awaiting the Police Chief's signature outlining the process for removing former employees from all user accounts. UPDATE: Process and practice has been implemented and tested within the department. Actual written policy is a part of the operational procedure policy being established. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019		
3.3	Management should check with Superion to determine if RMS can be updated to assign the PR# after the record has been saved. If not, management should look at the process which allows Department personnel to cancel out of a record after the PR# has been assigned to determine if a change in the process could prevent the need for	We have confirmed the software does not have any options outside the process we have implemented. We have established a process and approval/tracking system that will ensure the person updating the error is the actual person submitting the evidence. Management will also attempt to determine what the commonality of the errors were	When a record is cancelled out of the system, an email is sent to the Database Manager detailing why the record needs to be cancelled. This is a requirement for this action to be	A process and approval/tracking system has been established that will ensure the person updating the error is the actual person submitting the evidence. Management is analyzing the errors to determine the		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	December 1-4	Managara A Danasa	Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	Department personnel to cancel	(3,572 PR#'s). Whereas,		commonality. No change.
	out of the record. If a process	management will review		
	cannot be established to prevent	discrepancies to attempt to reduce		<u>UPDATE July 25, 2019;</u>
	this, determine if a process can be	the errors and improve efficiency,		Process is still being analyzed
	implemented which would allow	reduce rework.		to determine errors and
	approval and tracking when a			determine the commonality.
	record is canceled after the PR#	Responsible Party: Specialized		
	has been assigned.	Services Division Commander		Revised Implementation
				Date: 10/31/2019
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		
4.1	Continue to research the	When items are missing and unable	Implemented	Implemented
	whereabouts of the two items	to be located, the chain of command		
	missing and notify the courts and	will be notified with a	While locating items for	While locating items for
	attorneys as deemed necessary.	memorandum and a supplemental	destruction, these items were	destruction, these items were
		report will be established and	located and have been placed in	located and have been placed in
		entered for records. The process of	the correct locations and	the correct locations and
		notifications will include any courts	updated in RMS.	updated in RMS.
		or attorneys which need notification	apatica in ravis.	
		related to an investigation.		
		Responsible Party: Specialized		
		Services Division Commander		
		bot vices bivision communici		
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		
4.2	Procedures for notifying	When items are missing and unable	Implemented	Implemented
	management, to include Police	to be located, the chain of command		
	Attorney, should be established	will be notified with a	A process has been established	•
	when property and evidence is	memorandum and a supplemental	when items are missing and	when items are missing and

Not Implemented

KEY

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	8-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	designated missing.	report will be established and entered for records. The process of notifications will include any courts or attorneys which need notification related to an investigation. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Data: 03/10/2019	unable to be located.	unable to be located.
4.3	Quarterly audits for high-risk items, cash, firearms, narcotics and jewelry, should be considered until steps can be taken to improve data integrity and reduce the inventory level of property and evidence through the disposal process.	Random quarterly audits are important for high value items and the property room as a whole. Upon the completion of Operating Procedure 6.2 this will be evaluated to determine if we will restrict this to only the high risk items or include more random audits for the entire Property and Evidence Unit. As the City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys are researching and evaluating other operating procedural policies to make recommendations for changes. The operating procedural policy will address the manner in which audits are completed.	Partially Implemented Monthly audits are being conducted on high-risk items by the Evidence Sergeant. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.	Not Implemented The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review,

Not Implemented

KEY

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	1 tot impremented	i urviung imprementeu	zmprementeu	Tust implementation Bute
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.
				This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property room management.
				UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created.
				Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
5.1	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend	The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will clearly identify these	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	written operating procedures to	matters and the Evidence Room	The Evidence and Property	
	ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide	Staff will be tasked with following those processes and checking for	Room continue to follow the last available policy version	La contraction de la contracti

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management					

A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management			
	adherence to laws and regulations.	accuracy.	from 2016.	each of the following areas:
	Additionally, not only should			Property Room Management;
	management amend the operating	Responsible Party: Specialized	The Evidence and Property	Currency Handling Procedures;
	procedures specifically referred to	Services Division Commander	Operating Procedure has been	Property Removal, Release and
	in this audit, but all operating		updated pending staffing to	Destruction; and Submitting
	procedures in which evidence and	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	reflect the recommendations	General Property. This allows
	property management is addressed.		made by the Office of Internal	for ease of review as well as
	The procedures should be		Audit.	ease of training. The policies
	amended to include sufficient			have been reviewed by legal
	guidance to allow an individual		Upon completion of the final	and the subject matter experts
	who is unfamiliar with the		review and approval of the	and are currently in review with
	operations to perform the		operating procedures, training	the Chain of Command. Upon
	necessary activities. Finally,		will be created and conducted	completion of the final review,
	subject matter experts should be		to effectively teach the new	training will be created and
	included in updating and		procedures to all persons who	conducted to effectively teach
	reviewing the procedures to ensure		are responsible for handling	the new policy to all persons
	only attainable and realistic		evidence.	who are responsible for
	requirements are included.			handling evidence.
	Some possible improvements to			This area is specifically
	operating procedures based on			addressed in the new policy
	Internal Audit's observations			titled Submitting General
	include the following, but are not			Property Management.
	limited to:			The Property and Evidence Room staff does a visual review
	1 Specific requirements should			
	1. Specific requirements should be listed to ensure sufficient			to ensure accuracy to the data
				that is inputted.
	and consistent descriptions are			

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	•	January Programme	F	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019			
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management						
	documented for all property and evidence;			UPDATE July 25, 2019; Awaiting final submission of policy from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation			
				Date: 10/31/2019			
5.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the necessary activities. Finally,	The updated Operating Procedure 6.2 will clearly identify these matters and the Evidence Room Staff will be tasked with following those processes and checking for accuracy. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted	The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review,			

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	nent		
	subject matter experts should be included in updating and reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included. Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to: 2. Clear realistic expectations of personnel's responsibilities to ensure the accuracy of the description, type, and amount of property should be clarified;		to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Submitting General Property Management. The Property and Evidence Room staff does a visual review to ensure accuracy to the data that is inputted. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
5.3	The Office of Internal Audit	The procedures will be updated to	Partially Implemented	Date: 10/31/2019 Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the activities. Finally, necessary subject matter experts should be included in updating reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.

Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:

reflect that the responsible Officers will weigh narcotics prior to being packaged and entered into the Property and Evidence Room. If the narcotics are forwarded to the laboratory then the lab will be responsible for the accurate measuring of the narcotics. If the narcotics do not go to the laboratory, then the responsible officer's weight prior to being packaged will be used as the weight on record.

Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander

Implementation Date: 03/10/2019

The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy

This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Submitting General Property Management.

UPDATE July 25, 2019;

Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
3. Address how the weight of narcotic evidence is to be determined and the requirements for determining the weight if the narcotic evidence does not go to a laboratory;			Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
The Office of Internal Audit		Partially Implemented	Date: 10/31/2019 Not Implemented
9		The Evidence and Property	The Property and Evidence
1 0 1		*	Room is currently operating
of information and provide	Room Staff.	last available policy version	from the March 2016 policy
1		from 2016.	version.
l		The Evidence and Property	The single policy from 2016
procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed.	•	*	has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management;
The procedures should be	Property. Property will not be	Audit.	Currency Handling Procedures;
amended to include sufficient	accepted into the evidence room	**	Property Removal, Release and
6			Destruction; and Submitting
		* * *	General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as
	Recommendation 3. Address how the weight of narcotic evidence is to be determined and the requirements for determining the weight if the narcotic evidence does not go to a laboratory; The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the	Recommendation Management Response 3. Address how the weight of narcotic evidence is to be determined and the requirements for determining the weight if the narcotic evidence does not go to a laboratory; The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the	Recommendation Management Response Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019 Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019 The Office and Property Management The Office of Internal Audit recommends management amend written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability of information and provide adherence to laws and regulations. Additionally, not only should management amend the operating procedures specifically referred to in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should be amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the amount of the procedure of the pr

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

r	necessary activities. Finally,	to complete.	will be created and conducted	ease of training. The policies
s	subject matter experts should be		to effectively teach the new	have been reviewed by legal
i	included in updating and	Responsible Party: Specialized	procedures to all persons who	and the subject matter experts
	reviewing the procedures to ensure	Services Division Commander	are responsible for handling	and are currently in review with
	only attainable and realistic		evidence.	the Chain of Command. Upon
r	requirements are included.	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		completion of the final review,
				training will be created and
	Some possible improvements to			conducted to effectively teach
	operating procedures based on			the new policy to all persons
	Internal Audit's observations			who are responsible for
	include the following, but are not			handling evidence.
	limited to:			
				This area is specifically
	4. Update procedures on the			addressed in the new policy
	process change of using			titled Submitting General
	laboratories other than SBI;			Property Management.
				LIDDATE Int. 25 2010.
				UPDATE July 25, 2019;
				Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal
				for further review. Awaiting
				final submission from
				external legal and then
				reviewed by departmental
				Chain of Command before
				training will be created.
				Will be created.
				Revised Implementation

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management					

				Date: 10/31/2019
5.5	The Office of Internal Audit	The updated Operating Procedure	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends management amend	6.2 will accurate address these		
	written operating procedures to	concerns and implementation will	The Evidence and Property	The Property and Evidence
	ensure consistency and reliability	be monitored by the Evidence	Room continue to follow the	3 1
	of information and provide	Room Staff.	last available policy version	*
	adherence to laws and regulations.		from 2016.	version.
	Additionally, not only should	The City of Fayetteville Police		
	management amend the operating	Attorneys are reviewing the		The single policy from 2016
	procedures specifically referred to	Operating Procedure Policy for	Operating Procedure has been	_
	in this audit, but all operating	recommendations to updating to	updated pending staffing to	new policies that addresses
	procedures in which evidence and	ensure this will address the	reflect the recommendations	each of the following areas:
	property management is addressed.	procedure for items entering	made by the Office of Internal	Property Room Management;
	The procedures should be	1 3 1 3	Audit.	Currency Handling Procedures;
	amended to include sufficient	accepted into the evidence room		Property Removal, Release and
	guidance to allow an individual	without all field completed or	Upon completion of the final	Destruction; and Submitting
	who is unfamiliar with the	addressed in RMS. This will be the	review and approval of the	General Property. This allows
	operations to perform the	submitting officer's responsibility	operating procedures, training	
	necessary activities. Finally,	to complete.	will be created and conducted	ease of training. The policies
	subject matter experts should be	Degranaible Donton Consisting	to effectively teach the new	have been reviewed by legal
	included in updating and	Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	procedures to all persons who	and the subject matter experts
	reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic		are responsible for handling evidence.	and are currently in review with
	2	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	evidence.	the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review,
	requirements are included.			training will be created and
	Some possible improvements to			conducted to effectively teach
	operating procedures based on			the new policy to all persons
	Internal Audit's observations			who are responsible for

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
		.		1
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	include the following, but are not limited to:			handling evidence.
				This area is specifically
	5. Clarify what types of property			addressed in the new policy
	and evidence can be opened to			titled Submitting General
	include the persons allowed to open each specific type of			Property Management.
	property and evidence;			UPDATE July 25, 2019 ;
				Policy has been reviewed and
				discussed with external legal
				for further review. Awaiting final submission from
				external legal and then
				reviewed by departmental
				Chain of Command before
				training will be created.
				Revised Implementation
				Date: 10/31/2019
5.6	The Office of Internal Audit	The updated Operating Procedure	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends management amend	6.2 will accurate address these		
	written operating procedures to ensure consistency and reliability	concerns and implementation will be monitored by the Evidence	The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the	The Property and Evidence Room is currently operating
	of information and provide	Room Staff.	last available policy version	from the March 2016 policy
	adherence to laws and regulations.	223311	from 2016.	version.
	Additionally, not only should	The City of Fayetteville Police		
	management amend the operating	Attorneys are reviewing the	The Evidence and Property	The reorganization of the
	procedures specifically referred to	Operating Procedure Policy for	Operating Procedure has been	evidence room has begun and

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

in this audit, but all operating procedures in which evidence and property management is addressed. The procedures should amended to include sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with the operations to perform the activities. necessary Finally. subject matter experts should be included in updating reviewing the procedures to ensure only attainable and realistic requirements are included.

Some possible improvements to operating procedures based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:

6. Review and update operating procedures for areas impacted when ONESolution RMS was implemented.

recommendations to updating to ensure this will address the procedure for items entering Property. Property will not be accepted into the evidence room without all field completed or addressed in RMS. This will be the submitting officer's responsibility to complete.

Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander

Implementation Date: 03/10/2019

updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

A complete audit of the Evidence Room will take an extensive amount of time to complete and preparations will need to be made for this occur; however as items are located that were not previously documented in RMS, they are entered into the module at that time.

during this process, all pieces of property that is touched will be verified in RMS as accurate. If it is not listed in the RMS Evidence Module, it will be entered. This will allow for the older RMS system to be phased out of use since all evidence will be in the current module.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review. training will be created and conducted to effectively teach

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
6	Management should review and update the operating procedure as deemed applicable to ensure Department personnel understand the importance of the guidelines related to biohazard labeling and appropriate storage of food and liquid beverages.	The Evidence Room Operating Policy re-write and the follow though and implementation of that policy. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal	The Property and Evidence Room is currently operating from the March 2016 policy version. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management;

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-01	Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
			Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Submitting General Property Management. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then

Implemented

Partially Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	8-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
7.1	If currency continues to be maintained in the Property and Evidence Unit, Internal Audit recommends management consider maintaining the currency in fireproof safes.	A review of cost to purchase and implement a camera system and an appropriate fire proof safe is being researched. The primary focus is to remove the bulk of the currency to a non-interest bearing bank account. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Partially Implemented The Evidence and Property Room continue to follow the last available policy version from 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Not Implemented The Property and Evidence Room staff has received a quote to have a fire proof safe installed into the Fayetteville Police Department Evidence Room. This will be purchased after construction of the first floor is complete to ensure that there is sufficient room for the safe as well as putting it in place one time and not having to move the very heavy item a second time. Evidence Supervisor is awaiting quotes from various companies on the proper safe. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-01	Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
			A fire safe has not been purchased at this time due to the finalization of the policy revision on currency handling procedures.	new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Currency Handling Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
7.2	In addition, Internal Audit recommends working cameras be installed and utilized to provide surveillance in all areas where property and evidence are stored.	A review of cost to purchase and implement a camera system and an appropriate fire proof safe is being researched. The primary focus is to remove the bulk of the currency to a non-interest bearing bank account. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	Implemented The camera install was completed on October 10, 2018 and all are operational.	final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019 Implemented The camera install was completed on October 10, 2018 and all are operational.
8	Management should determine if	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019 The Police Department conducted	Implemented	Implemented
0	these delays in time between when the property and evidence was seized and turned over to the Property and Evidence Unit's custody appear reasonable and appropriate. If it appears appropriate, management should ensure the process is sufficient to	the research regarding the listed items found the following: Reviewed all cases that were identified by the audit team and each case was a prolonged narcotic investigation that began on a date but continued for a length of	This matter has been corrected and discussions with the chain of commands have been made. The Property and Evidence Room Staff has been instructed that if there is a delay in property being entered into	This matter has been corrected and discussions with the chain of commands have been made. The Property and Evidence Room Staff has been instructed that if there is a delay in property being entered into

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				
	safeguard the items and ensure the integrity of the chain of custody is		RMS and then turned into the evidence room for storage they	RMS and then turned into the evidence room for storage they	

		<u>-</u>	
safeguard the items and ensure the	time afterward.	RMS and then turned into the	RMS and then turned into the
integrity of the chain of custody is	• As the officers continued	evidence room for storage they	evidence room for storage they
maintained.	the investigation, they would	are to not accept the property	are to not accept the property
	purchase narcotics or complete a	until it is corrected.	until it is corrected.
	search warrant and seize items on a		
	date that was different from the		
	original report.		
	• The officer entering those		
	items into the Evidence Module		
	would allow the system to auto-		
	populate the location and date and		
	time in the evidence module, the		
	error occurs here because the		
	system pulls in the original		
	reporting information from the first		
	report.		
	• The evidence staff has been		
	trained and instructed not to accept		
	this and have the officer update the		
	information.		
	• The narcotic unit has also		
	been trained to not rely on the auto-		
	populate feature.		
	• No documented issues		
	since this change.		
	If they should occur in the future		
	and it is not a result of the above		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
		auto population process, the Evidence Room Staff will bring this to attention of the officer's Chain of Command for correction or investigation. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander		
		Services Division Commander		
		Implementation Date: 03/10/2019		
9	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management refer to IAPE Standard 9.6 through 9.8 on the destruction of drugs to incorporate these standards in the processes utilized by the Department, and update written operating procedures based on the management approved process.	The Evidence Room Operating Procedure Policy will be re-written and include the standards based on best practice. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	In February 2018, a memorandum for record was completed that outlines the current destruction process until Operating Procedure 6.2 is updated. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training	In February 2018, a memorandum for record was completed that outlines the current destruction process until Operating Procedure 6.2 is updated. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-	01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
			will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before
				training will be created.

Implemented

The Evidence and Property

Operating Procedure has been

updated pending staffing to

reflect the recommendations

made by the Office of Internal

Past Implementation Date

The single policy from 2016

has been separated into four

new policies that addresses

each of the following areas:

Property Room Management;

Partially Implemented

Implementation Date: 03/10/2019

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	8-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
10	Internal Audit recommends all aspects of property and evidence, including, but not limited to intake, storage and destruction undergo a review process by a supervisor or higher to ensure accurate information is recorded during the intake process; items are securely stored; items are processed correctly for disposal; and issues can be addressed in a timely manner.	All Property Staff is responsible for reviewing items and returning to employee for corrections if needed. They are the gate keepers for this information and ensuring that the information entered into RMS is accurate and complete to the best of their knowledge. The RMS Administrator is looking into the possibility of making fields mandatory and RMS not allowing the submission until those fields were completed. The random and scheduled audits will address reviewing for all items listed in this recommendation. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	Partially Implemented The Evidence Room Staff has been empowered to identify errors in the input of data into RMS and the packaging of items for entry into the Evidence and Property Room. When errors are identified, the staff notifies the officer and the immediate Chain of Command to fix the errors. The disposal process continues to be reviewed to ensure it is efficient in identifying items to be destroyed, the amount of time to get the appropriate orders signed and the overall destruction of the item.	The Evidence Room Staff has been empowered to identify errors in the input of data into RMS and the packaging of items for entry into the Evidence and Property Room. When errors are identified, the staff notifies the officer and the immediate Chain of Command to fix the errors. The disposal process continues to be reviewed to ensure it is efficient in identifying items to be destroyed, the amount of time to get the appropriate orders signed and the overall destruction of the item.

Not Implemented

KEY

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-	01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
			Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting
				final submission from

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
				external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
11.1	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition. 1. Procedure updates for temporary removal of property from the evidence room should at a minimum include: a. A process for items released to court and the type of documentation required if retained; b. Specific procedures for transferring property and evidence items to other agencies and what documentation should be maintained;	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling	Not Implemented Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	c. Authorization for the Forensics Unit to maintain evidence and procedures for maintenance; and d. Instructions for a ("checked out") tickler file system and how follow-up should be documented.		evidence.	completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation
11.2	Management should create or	The review of the entire Police	Partially Implemented	Date: 10/31/2019 Not Implemented
	amend operating procedures addressing matters observed	Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure	Property and Evidence staff	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		

during this audit. Emphasis should the implementation of an updated continue to follow continue to follow be placed on the classifications of policy will cover all items listed in Operating Procedure put into Operating Procedure put into property, methods of disposal, and Recommendation 11.1 - 11.6. The place in March 2016. place in March 2016. procedures for disposition. City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on The single policy from 2016 The Evidence and Property this policy, until the policy is Operating Procedure has been has been separated into four 2. There specific are updated pending staffing to new policies that addresses observations relating to current updated the department will reflect the recommendations Operating Procedure 6.2.8, but continue to look at the operational each of the following areas: overall Internal Audit concluded. Property Room Management; addressed made by the Office of Internal issues in this Currency Handling Procedures; management should have a recommendation. Audit. Property Removal, Release and documented review process Specialized outlining steps to evaluate each **Responsible Party:** Upon completion of the final Destruction; and Submitting item of property and evidence for Services Division Commander review and approval of the General Property. This allows disposal, to include specifying operating procedures, training for ease of review as well as what considerations should be will be created and conducted ease of training. The policies **Implementation Date:** 03/10/2019 have been reviewed by legal made, and at a minimum should to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who and the subject matter experts include: are responsible for handling and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon Procedures for obtaining evidence. proper authorization for completion of the final review, final disposition of property training will be created and and conducted to effectively teach evidence: Guidelines for returning the new policy to all persons who are responsible items to identified owners: Guidelines for disposal of handling evidence. c. items requiring special consideration; This area is specifically Guidelines addressed in the new policy to ensure

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
	lawful disposal of property and evidence; e. Reconciliations of all computerized data systems and hard-copy paperwork to reflect the final disposition of property and evidence items, including who authorized and handled the release or destruction, and to whom items were released; and f. Define Department personnel roles to retain property and evidence or process for disposal.			titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
11.3	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition. 3. Although there are specific observations relating to the current Operating Procedure 6.2.9, Internal Audit concluded that	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this	Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016. The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management;

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

112010 01 Evidence and Property Manag			
overall, when reviewing the	recommendation.	Audit.	Currency Handling Procedures;
current operating procedure,			Property Removal, Release and
specific guidelines, procedures and	Responsible Party: Specialized	Upon completion of the final	Destruction; and Submitting
methods of disposal including	Services Division Commander	review and approval of the	General Property. This allows
guidelines for unclaimed property		operating procedures, training	
and how property transitions to the	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	will be created and conducted	ease of training. The policies
unclaimed property process for all		to effectively teach the new	have been reviewed by legal
categories of property held in the		procedures to all persons who	and the subject matter experts
Property and Evidence Unit did		are responsible for handling	and are currently in review with
not exist. Category specific		evidence.	the Chain of Command. Upon
guidelines should at a minimum			completion of the final review,
include:			training will be created and
a. Defined officer/detective			conducted to effectively teach
role in retaining property and			the new policy to all persons
evidence to ensure compliance			who are responsible for
with North Carolina General			handling evidence.
Statutes;			
b. When identification is			This area is specifically
needed and what documentation			addressed in the new policy
should be recorded; and			titled Property Removal
c. When serial numbers			Release Destruction Procedures
should be verified; the form of			and Submitting General
documentation required when			Property Management.
serial numbers are verified; and the			
type of disposal requiring serial			<u>UPDATE July 25, 2019;</u>
numbers to be verified.			Policy has been reviewed and
			discussed with external legal
			for further review. Awaiting

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	1 (of impremented	Turium impremented	zimpiementeu	1 ust imprementation 2 ute
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	T	T		e 1 1 · · · e
				final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created.
				Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
11.4	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure	Property and Evidence staff	Not Implemented Property and Evidence staff
	during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of	the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in	continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into	continues to follow the Operating Procedure put into
	property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.	Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police	place in March 2016.	place in March 2016.
	4. Procedures should include specific guidelines for valuables and sensitive items.	Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will	The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to	The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses
	and sensitive items.	continue to look at the operational	reflect the recommendations	each of the following areas:
	a. Guidelines for disposal of firearms at a minimum should	issues addressed in this recommendation.	made by the Office of Internal Audit.	Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures;
	include releasing, methods of			Property Removal, Release and
	disposal, destruction and adherence to federal, state and	Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander	Upon completion of the final review and approval of the	
	b. Guidelines for disposal of	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	operating procedures, training will be created and conducted	for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies
	narcotics, at a minimum should include packaging and preparation		to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who	have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
	for disposal; methods of destruction; destruction of large amounts and precautions for hazardous chemicals; c. Guidelines for disposal of currency and other high value items, at a minimum should include consideration for evidentiary value; accurate accounting for funds and high value items held in evidence; and methods of disposal; and d. Guidelines for biological/biohazardous items should at a minimum include compliance with all applicable state and local environmental health concerns; and use of qualified/approved disposal vendors and disposal sites.		are responsible for handling evidence.	and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
11.5	Management should create or	The review of the entire Police	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				
	amend operating procedures	Department Evidence and Property		December and Evidence stoff	

addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.

- The Office of Internal Audit recommends management establish internal controls to ensure personnel are in compliance with North Carolina General Statutes. Some possible areas where internal controls should be established based on Internal Audit's observations include the following, but are not limited to:
- Publication should state a. the items will be "sold or disposed of" or "sold or otherwise disposed of"; and
- Auction proceeds should be distributed to the Cumberland County Board of Education within 30 days after the sale.

Policy is being addressed to ensure | Property and Evidence staff | the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 - 11.6. The of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy, until the policy is updated the department will continue to look at the operational issues addressed in this recommendation.

Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander

Implementation Date: 03/10/2019

continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.

The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit.

Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.

Property and Evidence continue follow to Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016.

The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible handling evidence.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures. UPDATE July 25, 2019; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
11.6	Management should create or amend operating procedures addressing matters observed during this audit. Emphasis should be placed on the classifications of property, methods of disposal, and procedures for disposition.	The review of the entire Police Department Evidence and Property Policy is being addressed to ensure the implementation of an updated policy will cover all items listed in Recommendation 11.1 – 11.6. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys have been working on this policy with the policy in	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016. The Evidence and Property	Property and Evidence staff continue to follow the Operating Procedure put into place in March 2016. The single policy from 2016
	6. Any amendment to current procedures should account for	this policy, until the policy is updated the department will	Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to	has been separated into four new policies that addresses

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2018	A2018-01 Evidence and Property Management				

112020	of Evidence and Property Wanages			
	obsolete practices and be	continue to look at the operational	reflect the recommendations	each of the following areas:
	consistent with federal, state, and	issues addressed in this	made by the Office of Internal	Property Room Management;
	local requirements. In addition,	recommendation.	Audit.	Currency Handling Procedures;
	procedures should speak to federal,			Property Removal, Release and
	state and local retention	Responsible Party: Specialized	Upon completion of the final	Destruction; and Submitting
	requirements for each category of	Services Division Commander	review and approval of the	General Property. This allows
	property and evidence; and should		operating procedures, training	for ease of review as well as
	include a review of ALL operating	Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	will be created and conducted	ease of training. The policies
	procedures to ensure consistency		to effectively teach the new	have been reviewed by legal
	as it relates to property and		procedures to all persons who	and the subject matter experts
	evidence and the disposal process.		are responsible for handling	•
			evidence.	the Chain of Command. Upon
				completion of the final review,
				training will be created and
				conducted to effectively teach
				the new policy to all persons
				who are responsible for
				handling evidence.
				This area is specifically
				addressed in the new policy
				titled Property Removal
				Release Destruction
				Procedures.
				UPDATE July 25, 2019 ;
				Policy has been reviewed and
				discussed with external legal
				uiscusseu with external legal

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-01 Evidence and Property Manage	ment		
				for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019
12	Management should develop and implement a strategic plan to address the increasing levels of property and evidence maintained by the Property and Evidence Unit, and the possible need of additional facilities to store property and evidence.	The Fayetteville Police Department is currently in the process of redesign associated with various areas of the police administrative building and this includes the evidence section. The City of Fayetteville Police Attorneys will assist in addressing the issues and allow Property Room Staff to operate with the guidelines that he has established in accordance with state and federal laws. Responsible Party: Specialized Services Division Commander Implementation Date: 03/10/2019	Partially Implemented Additional shelving units were purchased for the identified locations and have been installed. The Evidence and Property Operating Procedure has been updated pending staffing to reflect the recommendations made by the Office of Internal Audit. Upon completion of the final review and approval of the operating procedures, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new	Not Implemented The additional space for evidence is still being developed. The identified locations have been cleared and in the process of being reconfigured to receive evidence. The areas have become available to the Property Room and some work has been done to ensure this transition is ready to be completed. The Fayetteville Police Department is the final stages of changes to the storage mechanism and policies that will aid in spacing.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-0	1 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
			procedures to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence.	The single policy from 2016 has been separated into four new policies that addresses each of the following areas: Property Room Management; Currency Handling Procedures; Property Removal, Release and Destruction; and Submitting General Property. This allows for ease of review as well as ease of training. The policies have been reviewed by legal and the subject matter experts and are currently in review with the Chain of Command. Upon completion of the final review, training will be created and conducted to effectively teach the new policy to all persons who are responsible for handling evidence. This area is specifically addressed in the new policy titled Property Removal Release Destruction Procedures and increased the square footage of the evidence room

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-	01 Evidence and Property Manager	ment		
				for greater management of space and property storage. <u>UPDATE July 25, 2019</u> ; Policy has been reviewed and discussed with external legal for further review. Awaiting final submission from external legal and then reviewed by departmental Chain of Command before training will be created. Revised Implementation Date: 10/31/2019

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-04 Performance Measures: Parks,	Recreation and Maintenance		
1.1	Management should review and update all Departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures address how the Department is meeting the associated objectives;	PRM staff reviewed and analyzed existing performance measures. Measures not currently meeting the associated objectives of the department were either adjusted or removed. Proposed measures were submitted to the office of Strategic Performance Analytics on September 11, 2018 for review. PRM staff intend to utilize proposed measures for all FY19 reporting. Responsible Party: Management Analyst	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented for the FY19 performance measure. PRM has updated and revised all performance measures to meet objectives of the department. Staff removed measures that did not clearly associate with the department's objectives.	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented for the FY19 performance measure. PRM has updated and revised all performance measures to meet objectives of the department. Staff removed measures that did not clearly associate with the department's objectives.
1.2	Management should review and update all Departmental performance measures to ensure the Department adequately and accurately tracks and reports the actual work being performed by personnel for the performance measures.	Implementation Date: 9/11/2018 Management has met with staff and will work to ensure the actual work being performed by personnel for the performance measures is adequately and accurately captured for FY19. Responsible Party: Management Analyst	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented by running new reports that are consistent with the updated performance measures. Current reports and historical reports for the new measures have been updated in Tracstat.	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented by running new reports that are consistent with the updated performance measures. Current reports and historical reports for the new measures have been updated in Tracstat.
		Implementation Date: 9/29/2018		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2018-04 Performance Measures: Parks, Recreation and Maintenance				

2	Management should review and	Management has mot with the	T141	T
2	Management should review and	Management has met with the	Implemented	Implemented
	update all departmental	Strategic Performance Analytics		
	performance measures to ensure the	staff to determine a better process of	This measure has been	This measure has been
	performance measures are defined	reporting performance measures.	implemented. Staff has phrased	implemented. Staff has phrased
	so the average user can effectively	Staff will update performance	the measure to communicate the	the measure to communicate the
	evaluate the information.	measures to effectively tell the story	what, why, and how.	what, why, and how.
		of the work being performed in		
		PRM. Staff will work closely with		
		Strategic Performance Analytics to		
		ensure we are communicating		
		information that can be easily		
		processed and understood by the		
		average user.		
		_		
		Responsible Party: Management		
		Analyst		
		-		
		Implementation Date: 9/11/2018		

Implemented

Management Follow-up

approval from CMO.

Past Implementation Date

Management Follow-up

approval from CMO.

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A2018	-04 Performance Measures: Public	Services		
2	Management should review and	Public services will review all	Implemented	Implemented
	update all departmental	performance measures within each	Dublic comices has made d	Dublic commisses has available
	performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined	division for full implementation beginning FY 20.	Public services has worked extensively over the last 6	Public services has worked extensively over the last 6
	so the average user can effectively	beginning 1-1 20.	months in improving our	months in improving our
	evaluate the information.	Responsible Party: Each division	performance measures.	performance measures.
		manager will be responsible for		
		implementation.	Step taken include the	Step taken include the
			following:	following:
		Implementation Date: 7/1/2019	• PS Director met with each	• PS Director met with each
			Division (Traffic,	Division (Traffic,
			Engineering and	Engineering and
			Infrastructure, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance and	Infrastructure, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance and
			Real-estate) to discuss	Real-estate) to discuss
			measures and methods for	measures and methods for
			improvement.	improvement.
			• Each division manager	• Each division manager
			provided new measures and	provided new measures and
			revisions to past ones to	revisions to past ones to
			more accurately reflect our	more accurately reflect our
			work products.	work products.
			• We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to	• We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to
			discuss our revisions.	discuss our revisions.
			 We finalized our revisions 	
			per attached and received	

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2018-04 Per	A2018-04 Performance Measures: Public Services					
3 Mana updat perfo perfo reade	agement should review and te all departmental ormance measures to ensure the ormance measures provide the er with all the necessary mation to make informed	Actual performance measures are requested to be finalized in July for the previous FY ending June 30 th . Some performance measures such as those linked to cost are subject to minor changes for example when invoices are posted late by the vendor. It is requested that there is a process for allowing updates to the performance measures based on receipts of delayed data. Responsible Party: Each division manager will be responsible for implementation.	following:	We finalized our measures. In summary we have reviewed and updated all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined so the average user can effectively evaluate the information and have received CMO approval for the revised measures. Implemented Public services has worked extensively over the last 6 months in improving our performance measures. Step taken include the following: PS Director met with each Division (Traffic, Engineering and Infrastructure, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance and Real-estate) to discuss		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2018	A2018-04 Performance Measures: Public Services					
		Implementation Date: 12/1/2018	measures and methods for improvement. • Each division manager provided new measures and revisions to past ones to more accurately reflect our work products. • We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to discuss our revisions. • We finalized our revisions per attached and received approval from CMO. • We finalized our measures. In summary we have reviewed and updated all departmental performance measures to ensure the performance measures are defined so the average user can effectively evaluate the information and have received CMO approval for the revised measures.	 provided new measures and revisions to past ones to more accurately reflect our work products. We met with CMO (Kristoff Bauer) on Nov 9, 2018 to discuss our revisions. We finalized our revisions 		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2016	A2016-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintenance Nonresident Fees Implementation				

1.1	The Office of Internal Audit	Recreation and Administrative	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
1.1	recommends management amend	management staff will review and	Turtuny implemented	110t Implemented
	the written Fayetteville-	amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland	This recommendation has not	This recommendation has not
	Cumberland Parks & Recreation	Parks and Recreation Non-Resident	been fully implemented. Staff	
	Non-Resident Fee Policy to provide	Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with	has had to revise the schedule to	to revise the schedule to amend
	clear guidance on how to accurately	training to occur in May/June and	amend the Fayetteville-	the Fayetteville-Cumberland
	and consistently charge fees. This	full implementation July 1, 2019. A	Cumberland Parks and	Parks and Recreation Non-
	policy should be amended to	new procedure will be implemented	Recreation Non-Resident Fee	Resident Fee Policy. The
	include sufficient guidance to allow	to define the process for staff to	Policy. The updated schedule	updated schedule includes
	an individual who is unfamiliar	determine whether the resident or	includes revisions that were	revisions complete by
	with the operations to perform the	nonresident fees should be charged.	complete by September 16,	September 16, 2019 with
	necessary activities. Finally,	The procedure will also include	2019. Training will conclude in	training to occur in Sept./Oct.
	subject matter experts should be	specific guidance on which fee to	October with full	and full implementation
	included in updating and reviewing	charge residents of Fort Bragg.	implementation anticipated by	November 1, 2019.
	the policy to ensure only attainable	During the review process we will	November 1, 2019.	,
	and realistic requirements are	determine if it is operationally	·	Revised Implementation Date:
	included. Improvements to the	feasible to charge nonresident fees		11/01/2019
	policy based on Internal Audit's	for pool entry, Adult Open Play and		
	observations should include, but	other similar programs. Training		
	not be limited to:	will be provided to all full-time and		
	a. Define the process for	part-time staff once the policy and		
	determining whether the	procedures are updated and ready for		
	resident or nonresident fee	implementation. Recreation and		
	should be charged;	Administrative management will		
	b. Establish specific	also develop a review process that		
	guidance on what areas, if	will ensure that fees are being		
	any, of Fort Bragg should	charged in accordance with the fee		
	be charged the resident	schedule.		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	_	, <u> </u>	_	-		
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019		
A2016	A2016-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintenance Nonresident Fees Implementation					
	fees; and	Responsible Party: Adrianne				
	c. Establish specific	Thomas, Business Manager				
	guidance and expectations					
	on charging swimming	Implementation Date: 07/01/2019				
	pool and Adult Open Play					
	Athletic fees.					
1.2	Once the policy and procedures are	Recreation and Administrative	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented		
	updated, management should	management staff will review and				
	provide training to PRM personnel	amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland	This recommendation has not	This recommendation has not		
	involved in charging and	Parks and Recreation Non-Resident	been fully implemented. Staff	been implemented. Staff has had		
	monitoring of the parks and	Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with	has had to revise the schedule to	to revise the original schedule		
	recreation program fees.	training to occur in May/June and	amend the Fayetteville-	(for training to occur in		
		full implementation July 1, 2019. A	Cumberland Parks and	May/June and full		
		new procedure will be implemented	Recreation Non-Resident Fee	implementation July 1, 2019).		
		to define the process for staff to	Policy. Training will conclude	The updated schedule includes		
		determine whether the resident or	in late October with full	training to occur in Sept./Oct.		
		nonresident fees should be charged.	implementation anticipated by	and full implementation		
		The procedure will also include	November 1, 2019.	November 1, 2019.		
		specific guidance on which fee to		Daviced Involumentation Dates		
		charge residents of Fort Bragg.		Revised Implementation Date: 11/01/2019		
		During the review process we will determine if it is operationally		11/01/2019		
		feasible to charge nonresident fees				
		for pool entry, Adult Open Play and				
		other similar programs. Training				
		will be provided to all full-time and				
		part-time staff once the policy and				
		procedures are updated and ready for				
		processing are apauted and ready for				

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		implementation. Recreation and Administrative management will also develop a review process that will ensure that fees are being charged in accordance with the fee schedule. Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager		
		Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
1.3	Management should develop a quality review program for the fees and conduct an adequate number of appropriate quality reviews in a timely manner. The documented results should be maintained and utilized as measures of effectiveness during performance evaluations.	Recreation and Administrative management staff will review and amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident	This recommendation has not been fully implemented. Staff has had to revise the schedule to amend the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy. Training will conclude in late October with full implementation anticipated by November 1, 2019.	This recommendation has not been implemented. Staff has had to revise the original schedule (for training to occur in May/June and full implementation July 1, 2019). The updated schedule includes training to occur in Sept./Oct. and full implementation November 1, 2019. Revised Implementation Date: 11/01/2019

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		for pool entry, Adult Open Play and other similar programs. Training will be provided to all full-time and part-time staff once the policy and procedures are updated and ready for implementation. Recreation and Administrative management will also develop a review process that will ensure that fees are being charged in accordance with the fee schedule. Responsible Party: Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager		
2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management update the existing fee schedule to provide additional transparency and clarity for City Council and citizens. This should include, but not be limited to, all fees applicable for the resident and nonresident rates, and fees for regularly scheduled programs led by PRM personnel.	Implementation Date: 07/01/2019 Recreation and Administrative management staff will review the fee schedule and update to ensure transparency and clarity regarding the PRM rates and fees. This includes the fees charged for County-wide regularly scheduled programs and services will be listed on the fee schedule reflecting the appropriate fee, to include the resident and non-resident fee, if applicable. However, the fees that	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented. The fee schedule was updated during the budget process and reflective of changes to ensure transparency and clarity. The updated fee schedule was presented to City Council for adoption and included in the FY2020 budget.	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented. The fee schedule was updated during the budget process and reflective of changes to ensure transparency and clarity. The updated fee schedule was presented to City Council for adoption and included in the FY2020 budget.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-	05 Parks, Recreation and Mainten	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		are assigned by Recreation staff based on community interest along with the fees that are determined by contractors providing instructional programs will be reflected on the fee schedule as not applicable to the resident and non-resident fee structure. Recreation staff creativity and response to community needs may be stifled if every program they lead must be listed on the fee schedule separately, whereas, these fees will be identified as Leisure Activities. Parks and Recreation provides constantly changing and varying programs through 21 facilities in unique communities all over Cumberland County. In order for Parks and Recreation to include all programs on the fee schedule, as opposed to having them listed as under the Leisure Activity designation, would add hundreds of lines to the fee schedule for activities and limit the ability of staff to meet the needs of their communities	The FY20 Fee Schedule was implemented on July 1, 2019.	The FY20 Fee Schedule was implemented on July 1, 2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016-0	95 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		without having fees approved through City Council. Many of these programs may have the same name, but are slightly different from site to site. For example, Movie Night may be a free activity at one center and another center may charge a fee because they offer the participant dinner and a movie. Another example would be summer programs offered through the park rangers division. They offer six Page 6 of 9 different summer programs for youth and teens that would all have to be listed separately because they are of varying prices. As stated in the report "when fees are not clearly stated on the fee schedule, citizens may be unaware if the correct fee was charged and it also creates the opportunity for misappropriation or theft of funds" we disagree as fees for all programs are listed on the Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and Recreation website.		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	P 1 1 1 1 1 1	January Programme	•	*
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A201	6-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		Responsible Party: Adrianne		
		Thomas, Business Manager		
		Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
3	The Office of Internal Audit	The policy already lists	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	recommends management amend	documentation that is acceptable,		
	the written Fayetteville-	more clarification will be added as to	This recommendation has not	This recommendation has not
	Cumberland Parks & Recreation	what is not acceptable, frequency for	been fully implemented. Staff	been implemented. Staff has had
	Non-Resident Fee Policy to ensure	updating documentation and	has had to revise the schedule to	to revise the schedule to amend
	clear guidance is provided on	document maintenance. Recreation	amend the Fayetteville-	the Fayetteville-Cumberland
	documentation for resident and	and Administrative management	Cumberland Parks and	Parks and Recreation Non-
	nonresident fees. This policy	staff will review and amend the	Recreation Non-Resident Fee	Resident Fee Policy. The
	should be amended to include	Fayetteville-Cumberland Parks and	Policy. The updated schedule includes revisions that were	updated schedule includes revisions complete by
	sufficient guidance to allow an individual who is unfamiliar with	Recreation Non-Resident Fee Policy by May 1, 2019 with training to	complete by September 16,	revisions complete by September 16, 2019 with
	the operations to perform the	occur in May/June and full	2019. Training will conclude in	training to occur in Sept./Oct.
	necessary activities. Finally,	implementation July 1, 2019.	October with full	and full implementation
	subject matter experts should be	implementation saly 1, 2015.	implementation anticipated by	November 1, 2019.
	included in updating and reviewing	Responsible Party: Recreation	November 1, 2019.	2,0,0,0,0,0,0
	the policy to ensure only attainable	Division Supervisor	,	Revised Implementation Date:
	and realistic requirements are	1		11/01/2019
	included.	Implementation Date: 07/01/2019		
	Improvements to the policy based			
	on Internal Audit's observations			
	should include, but not be limited			
	to:			
	a. Types of documentation			

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	o n	
4.1	considered sufficient and insufficient; b. Frequency for updating documentation; and c. Documentation maintenance, retention and destruction requirements which should ensure adherence to the security of sensitive and confidential information and the State's retention requirements. Management should consider having RecTrac administration supervised by the Information Technology Department. This should not only alleviate the current conflict of interest but would allow personnel to supervise this position with knowledge of the need for segregation of duties, access controls and security over RecTrac.	After ensuring that Information Technology (IT) had the capacity to accommodate RecTrac administration, management will outline a transition plan over the next several weeks, to include the delineation of "administrative rights" and as identified in our response to Recommendation 4.2. Additionally, given RecTrac's integral role in sustaining PRM operations, it is Management's belief that dedicated technical administration is required. The creation of a RecTrac Systems Analyst in the FY21 budget would	Not Implemented This recommendation has not been implemented yet. Staff would like to update the implementation date to November 1, 2019.	Not Implemented This recommendation has not been implemented yet. Staff would like to update the implementation date to November 1, 2019. Revised Implementation Date: 11/01/2019.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
4.2	Management should review RecTrac user accesses to ensure users only have access for which there is a necessary business need. This should include but not be limited to determining if a necessary business need exists for the ability to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes.	enhance day-to-day support/user experience, identify and resolve issues and improve process efficiencies as online transactions grow. Responsible Party: Michael Gibson, PRM Director and Adrianne Thomas, Business Manager Implementation Date: 03/01/2019 Access will be updated for Recreation Division Supervisors to restrict access and the ability to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes. This access will be updated by February 1, 2019 and remain with the Business Manager and Management Analysts only until PRM management can outline and implement a transition plan as identified in Management's Response 4.1, to include collaborating with Finance management on the impact the process changes will have on the day-to-day operations.	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented. Access to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes has been restricted to Business Manager and Management Analysts only.	Implemented This recommendation has been implemented. Access to change receipt and general ledger dates, drawers, and pay codes has been restricted to Business Manager and Management Analysts only.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A2016	-05 Parks, Recreation and Maintena	ance Nonresident Fees Implementation	on	
		Responsible Party: Michael		
		Gibson, PRM Director and Adrianne		
		Thomas, Business Manager		
		Implementation Date: 03/01/2019		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
1.0010				
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Depa	artment		
1.1	Internal Audit recommends management of the Finance Department to implement the	Finance management has filed the required fuel tax exemption package with WEX and will follow-up to	Implemented Completed	Implemented Completed
	following: 1. File applicable fuel tax exemption documentation and follow-up to ensure the City	ensure the City is receiving the tax exemption status as requested. Furthermore, the Finance Department will complete a reconciliation to ensure		
	receives the fuel tax exemption status with WEX.	reimbursements were filed on behalf of the City, both for State and Federal, monthly and annually respectively.		
		Responsible Party: Jody Picarella, Accounting Manager Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
1.2	Internal Audit recommends	Research has been conducted to	Implemented	Not Implemented
	management of the Finance Department to implement the following: 2. Research to determine if the City is entitled to Federal and State fuel tax refunds paid since 2014 and request refunds, as deemed eligible.	determine if the City is eligible for refunds, however, as of April 29, 2019 the amount and timeframe are still in the process of being finalized, knowing that time is of the essence. Once the eligibility is finalized the City will work diligently to file the required reports and documentation to maximize the amount of the refund. The City is currently	Pending/Under Review Refunds: IRS - \$184,494.76 NC- Excise Tax - \$334,030.21 Total - \$518,524.97 IRS representative was onsite 10.7.19 to review IRS returns	The preliminary research has yielded that federal and state reimbursements can be submitted for the prior three fiscal years and prior three years to the month respectively. Finance received the necessary information to file the
		working with WEX, North Carolina		appropriate returns from Wex on

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Depa	artment		
		Department of Revenue and the Internal Revenue Service. Responsible Party: Jody Picarella, Accounting Manager Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	NC – first paper submission is under review. Once approved all other refunds will be submitted electronically. The Finance department will continue to work with the Federal and State agencies to ensure the CoF receives the fuel tax refunds as these agencies deemed eligible.	7.15.19, and the department is working diligently to accurately complete the applicable returns. Furthermore there has been a slight discrepancy regarding the historical information provided by Wex and the information needed for the federal return for 2015. Finance is working to simultaneously remedy this discrepancy and file the return.
1.3	Internal Audit recommends management of the Finance Department to implement the following: 3. Monitor WEX fuel card invoices for merchants not participating in the tax exemption program to ensure fuel tax refunds are requested.	WEX tax enrollment documentation states that merchant participation is optional, therefore, Finance management will receive transactional information reflecting fuel taxes reported for the non-participating merchants for follow-up on refunds based on State and Federal guidelines; whereas, the State is applied for monthly and Federal is applied for annually. Furthermore, Finance staff will periodically record a receivable to reflect and aide in the reconciliation process of reimbursements due to the City.	Implemented Completed and ongoing – Finance has completed the recommended action on the May and June invoices. Finance will continually monitor future invoices for merchants that have opted out.	Implemented Completed and ongoing – Finance has completed the recommended action on the May and June invoices. Finance will continually monitor future invoices for merchants that have opted out.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Finance Depa	artment		
		Responsible Party: Jody Picarella, Accounting Manager		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department				

112017	-03 WEA Fuel Calus, Fire Departin			
2.1	Internal Audit recommends	The department's current business	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
	management of the Fire	practices are aligned with the	· -	· -
	Department implement the	recommended improvement	Awaiting finalization of city-	Awaiting finalization of city-
	recommendations as presented in	opportunities; however these are not	wide policy and Finance	wide policy and Finance
	the audit report to ensure internal	codified in policy or other written	Department WEX fuel card	Department WEX fuel card
	controls are established, followed,	guidance documents. The	processes to be established	processes to be established
	maintained, and properly	department is of the position that		
	documented to include a process to	there was no evidence found to	The Fire department	
	ensure adequate follow-up	indicate any fraud has been		FFD SOP# 306 Use of Fuel
	regarding questionable fueling	committed, nor has suspicion be	<u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> effective	
	transactions is conducted.	raised that indicates any fraudulent		^
		activity with any of the WEX Fuel		
		Cards assigned to the	transaction log and receipt	*
		Fire/Emergency Management	collection that is reconciled with	· ·
		Department. The department	the monthly WEX report by the	
		acknowledges there is a lack of	designated Fuel Card	Fuel Card Administrator.
		internal control measures that	Administrator.	
		inhibits the detection of potential	The Eigenes descriptions has	
		fraudulent activity. The department	The Finance department has	
		will assist with policy development that addresses the concerns raised	developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire	
		with the audit findings including, but	Department. The policy, to	
		limited to, responsible and frugal use	include the Purchasing division	
		of the department's fuel budget.	managing the maintenance of	
		of the department stuct budget.	the cards, will be finalized once	
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire	the 10 day review requirement	
		Chief Kevin Morgan	by the Senior Management	
			Team is completed. Anticipated	
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
			completion date by the Finance	
			department is October 31, 2019.	
2.3	Management should ensure WEX	It is an operational necessity that all	Implemented	Implemented
	fuel card PINs are only assigned to	fire department employees be issued		
	personnel with the ability and need	a fueling Personal Identification	Per FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel</u>	Per FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel</u>
	to use the fuel cards.	Number (PIN), as all employees are	Cards, each FFD employee will	Cards, each FFD employee will
		required and subject to drive/operate	be assigned a PIN upon	be assigned a PIN upon
		department owned vehicles.	employment, and the fuel card	employment, and the fuel card
			administrator will immediately	administrator will immediately
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire	notify the Finance Department	notify the Finance Department
		Chief Kevin Morgan	to deactivate upon separation of	to deactivate upon separation of
			employment.	employment.
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
2.4	Assessment of a secure location in	To address fuel card security, the	Implemented	Implemented
	Fire vehicles and equipment should	department has placed lock boxes in		
	be completed to determine the	most of the apparatus and are	Fuel card lock boxes have been	Fuel card lock boxes have been
	safest location for fuel cards to be	currently researching options to	installed in all FFD vehicles and	installed in all FFD vehicles and
	maintained. Policies should note	secure fuel cards in staff vehicles.	fire stations. Governance is	fire stations. Governance is
	suitable places to store the fuel card	Daniel Dantes Assistant Fina	defined in FFD SOP #306 Use	defined in FFD SOP #306 <u>Use</u>
	in City owned vehicles and	Responsible Party: Assistant Fire	of Fuel Cards.	of Fuel Cards.
	equipment.	Chief Kevin Morgan		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
3.1	Develop written city-wide and	The department's current business	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
3.1	departmental policies and	practices are aligned with the	artimity implemented	i di dany impiementeu
	procedures for using WEX fuel	recommended improvement	Awaiting finalization of city-	Awaiting finalization of city-
	cards, to include identifying the	opportunities; however these are not	wide policy and Finance	wide policy and Finance
	City administrator of the WEX fuel	codified in policy or other written	mu I manee	poney and I manee
L				

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
	card program. These policies and	guidance documents. The	Department WEX fuel card	Department WEX fuel card
	procedures should address the City	department management is receptive	processes to be established	processes to be established
	administrator and user department	to oversight by an overarching City		
	responsibilities, as well as	of Fayetteville (COF) policy	The Fire department has	The department has provided
	operational guidance.	governing the administration of the	provided input to the COF Chief	input to the COF Chief Financial
		WEX Fuel Card program.	Financial Officer for	Officer for development of a
		Additionally, the department will	development of a COF policy	COF policy governing issuance
		develop and implement an internal	governing issuance and use of a	and use of a fuel purchasing
		Standard Operating Guideline that	fuel purchasing Card. FFD SOP	Card. FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of</u>
		compliments the COF policy and	#306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> was	Fuel Cards was placed in to
		addresses operational procedures	placed in to effect 7/1/19.	effect 7/1/19.
		needed to ensure business		
		continuity. These documents will	The Finance department has	
		clarify responsibilities, stipulate	developed a written city-wide	
		training and define the process of	policy with input from the Fire	
		issuing, terminating and ensuring	Department. The policy, to	
		security of Personal Identification	include the Purchasing division	
		Numbers (PIN).	managing the maintenance of	
		B 11 B 4 A 14 A 17	the cards, will be finalized once	
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire	the 10 day review requirement	
		Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief	by the Senior Management	
		Financial Officer Jay Toland	Team is completed. Anticipated	
		Implementation Date: 0/1/2010	completion date by the Finance	
2.2	Engage year deportments are	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	department is October 31, 2019.	De sé alles Israelans and al
3.2	Ensure user departments are	The department's current business	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
	provided copies of the city-wide	practices are aligned with the	Assoiting finalization of situation	Awaiting finalization of site
	policies and procedures and are	recommended improvement	Awaiting finalization of city-	
	requested to comply and develop	opportunities; however these are not	wide policy and Finance	wide policy and Finance

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
1.0010				
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
		4.0.4.4.4.4.	D WENT C 1	D VYTY C 1
	internal procedures for fuel cards.	codified in policy or other written	Department WEX fuel card	Department WEX fuel card
	Training should be given to	guidance documents. The	processes to be established	processes to be established
	personnel that use WEX fuel cards	department management is receptive		FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel
	to ensure understanding and	to oversight by an overarching City	The Finance department has	<u>Cards</u> was effective 7/1/19. The
	adherence to the policies and	of Fayetteville (COF) policy	developed a written city-wide	policy was distributed to the
	procedures.	governing the administration of the	policy with input from the Fire	entire FFD staff though Power
		WEX Fuel Card program.	Department. The policy, to	DMS for their
		Additionally, the department will	include the Purchasing division	acknowledgement of receipt.
		develop and implement an internal	managing the maintenance of	The department has provided
		Standard Operating Guideline that	the cards, will be finalized once	input to the COF Chief Financial
		compliments the COF policy and	the 10 day review requirement	Officer (CFO) for the
		addresses operational procedures	by the Senior Management	development of a city-wide
		needed to ensure business	Team is completed. Anticipated	policy. The department is
		continuity. These documents will	completion date by the Finance	awaiting for this document to be
		clarify responsibilities, stipulate	department is October 31, 2019.	finalized and published by the
		training and define the process of		CFO.
		issuing, terminating and ensuring		
		security of Personal Identification		
		Numbers (PIN).		
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire		
		Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief		
		Financial Officer Jay Toland		
		Tiliancial Officer Jay Toland		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
3.3	A process should be developed to	The department's current business	Implemented	Implemented
	ensure when personnel are no	practices are aligned with the		
	longer employed with the City,	recommended improvement		

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
	their WEX fuel card PIN number is deactivated immediately after their last day of employment.	opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. The department management is receptive to oversight by an overarching City of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the WEX Fuel Card program. Additionally, the department will develop and implement an internal Standard Operating Guideline that compliments the COF policy and addresses operational procedures needed to ensure business continuity. These documents will clarify responsibilities, stipulate training and define the process of issuing, terminating and ensuring security of Personal Identification Numbers (PIN). Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards specifies that PIN numbers will be deactivated when employees separate from employment.	FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards specifies that PIN numbers will be deactivated when employees separate from employment.
3.4	Sharing PIN's should be considered a violation of policy.	The department's current business practices are aligned with the	Implemented	Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ient		
		recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. The department management is receptive to oversight by an overarching City of Fayetteville (COF) policy governing the administration of the WEX Fuel Card program. Additionally, the department will develop and implement an internal Standard Operating Guideline that compliments the COF policy and addresses operational procedures needed to ensure business continuity. These documents will clarify responsibilities, stipulate training and define the process of issuing, terminating and ensuring security of Personal Identification Numbers (PIN). Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland	FFD Sop #306 Use of Fuel Cards states that there shall be no sharing of PINs.	FFD Sop #306 Use of Fuel Cards states that there shall be no sharing of PINs.
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Department			

4.1	Management should ensure fuel	The department's current business	Implemented	Implemented
	consumption of each vehicle and	practices are aligned with the		
	personnel are monitored and	recommended improvement	FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel	FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel</u>
	reviewed and any abnormalities in	opportunities; however these are not	<u>Cards</u> assigns a Fuel Card	<u>Cards</u> assigns a Fuel Card
	fuel consumption, to include	codified in policy or other written	Administrator within the	Administrator within the
	purchases of higher grade fuel, is	guidance documents. Improvement	department who is responsible	department who is responsible
	investigated. Additionally, trend	Opportunity #2 references using	for monitoring fuel purchases	for monitoring fuel purchases
	analysis should be performed to	odometer readings to track miles per	and detecting abnormalities.	and detecting abnormalities.
	identify and justify	gallon, which may prove to be		
	increases/decreases in monthly fuel	problematic with fire apparatus. A		
	consumption.	considerable amount of engine run		
		time of fire apparatus occurs when		
		the vehicle is stationary on		
		emergency incident scenes. The		
		department will explore feasibility		
		of monitoring engine operating		
		hours for pumping apparatus. The		
		department is also exploring the		
		feasibility of tracking fueling		
		activities within its current Records		
		Management System (RMS). It is		
		theorized that refueling can be		
		entered as an activity, including		
		pertinent details such as gallons		
		purchased, amount charged, the		
		employee completing transaction,		
		etc. A reconciliation report from the		
		department's RMS may be available		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
		for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be defined in the department's Standard Operating Guideline if implemented. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 7/1/2019		
4.2	Based on the type of apparatus/vehicle, management	The department's current business practices are aligned with the	Implemented	Implemented
	should establish a mechanism to track miles per gallon, assess vehicle utilization and monitor potential abuse.	recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. Improvement Opportunity #2 references using	A monthly fuel log has been implemented along with the FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> with an effective date of 7/1/19. This log affords the	A monthly fuel log has been implemented along with the FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> with an effective date of 7/1/19. This log affords the

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
		odometer readings to track miles per gallon, which may prove to be problematic with fire apparatus. A considerable amount of engine run time of fire apparatus occurs when the vehicle is stationary on emergency incident scenes. The department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due	ability to assess fuel utilization and monitor for potential abuse.	ability to assess fuel utilization and monitor for potential abuse.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departn	nent		
4.3	Although the WEX system allows fuel card controls, establishment of a process to review for exceptions is recommended.	to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be defined in the department's Standard Operating Guideline if implemented. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 7/1/2019 The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. Improvement Opportunity #2 references using odometer readings to track miles per gallon, which may prove to be problematic with fire apparatus. A considerable amount of engine run time of fire apparatus occurs when the vehicle is stationary on emergency incident scenes. The	Implemented FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards assigns a Fuel Card Administrator within the department who is responsible for monitoring fuel purchases and reviewing for exceptions.	Implemented FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards assigns a Fuel Card Administrator within the department who is responsible for monitoring fuel purchases and reviewing for exceptions.

Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
EX Fuel Cards: Fire Depart	ment		
	department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be		
	Recommendation	Recommendation Management Response department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire	Recommendation Management Response Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019 EX Fuel Cards: Fire Department department will explore feasibility of monitoring engine operating hours for pumping apparatus. The department is also exploring the feasibility of tracking fueling activities within its current Records Management System (RMS). It is theorized that refueling can be entered as an activity, including pertinent details such as gallons purchased, amount charged, the employee completing transaction, etc. A reconciliation report from the department's RMS may be available for comparison to the monthly WEX report. This will also afford drivers the opportunity to document and memorialize customary discrepancies that inaccurately appear malicious such as multiple transactions in close proximity due to fueling being interrupted by emergency calls, malfunctions of the fuel pumps, purchasing multiple fuel types simultaneously for the varied power equipment carried of fire apparatus, etc. This process will be

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	nent		
		Operating Guideline if implemented. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan		
		Implementation Date: 7/1/2019		
5.1	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 1. Require an annual physical verification of all fuel cards, as evidenced by signature and date of the personnel conducting the inventory and the cardholder assigned to the vehicle;	suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies the Fuel Card Administrator will conduct a periodic audit of all fuel cards.	The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies the Fuel Card Administrator will conduct a periodic audit of all fuel cards.
5.2	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed:	The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written	Implemented The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies	Implemented The department enacted FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards effective 7/1/19 which specifies
		guidance documents. These	the Fuel Card Administrator will	the Fuel Card Administrator will

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2019	05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent				
	2. Unaccounted for fuel cards should be deactivated immediately;	suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable.	notify the Finance Department to deactivate cards that are unaccounted for immediately.	notify the Finance Department to deactivate cards that are unaccounted for immediately.		
		Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 9/1/2019				
5.3	Internal Audit recommends the Fire	The department's current business	Implemented	Implemented		
5.5	Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 3. Management should develop and maintain a listing of vehicles and the fuel cards assigned, to include spare and miscellaneous fuel cards;	practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	An inventory of all fuel cards is maintained by the Fuel Card Administrator as defined in FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards.	An inventory of all fuel cards is maintained by the Fuel Card Administrator as defined in FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards.		
5.4	Internal Audit recommends the Fire	The department's current business	Implemented	Implemented		
3.4	Department implement a fuel card	practices are aligned with the	Implemented	Implemented		
	inventory process. At a minimum,	recommended improvement	The department will notify the	The department will notify the		
	the following should be performed:	opportunities; however these are not	Finance Department when	Finance Department when		

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
	4. Management should ensure cancellation of fuel cards due to disposal/surplus is completed on a timely basis and are followed-up to ensure they are deactivated;	codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan	vehicles are to be disposed of. The Finance Department will deactivate assigned fuel cards as applicable.	vehicles are to be disposed of. The Finance Department will deactivate assigned fuel cards as applicable.
5.5	Internal Audit recommends the Fire Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 5. Establish a process in which fuel cards without activity for a given period of time are deactivated; and	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019 The department's current business practices are aligned with the recommended improvement opportunities; however these are not codified in policy or other written guidance documents. These suggestions will be incorporated into the recommended COF policy and/or FFD Standard Operating Guideline as applicable. Responsible Party: Assistant Fire Chief Kevin Morgan Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented The Fire department has identified an inventory process as recommended. The number of cards in use has been reduced. All cards currently assigned are needed for operational viability although some may continue to realize extended periods of non-use.	Not Implemented This recommendation, may not be feasible for department operations. More investigation will be needed to determine if this is an issue or not.
6	Management should utilize the controls within the WEX fuel card	The department will restrict the ability to purchase only the fuel type	Implemented	Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ient		
	online system when possible, and when not possible, alternative controls should be put in place to detect exceptions.	used by the vehicle where possible. The department currently allows the purchase of non-fuel items (i.e. motor oil, DEF fluid, transmission fluid, etc.) with the WEX program, however data shows this has only been employed twice since implementation. Due to the limited need demonstrated, the department will restrict fuel cards to fuel purchases only.	The purchase of fuel is governed by FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> effective 7/1/19.	The purchase of fuel is governed by FFD SOP #306 <u>Use of Fuel Cards</u> effective 7/1/19.
		Responsible Party: Office Manager Bobbie Bethea Implementation Date: 7/1/2019		
7	Internal Audit recommends WEX fuel card administration responsibilities be reassigned in order to achieve an effective separation.	The department management suggest the WEX program be administered by the City of Fayetteville (COF) Finance Office, utilizing similar internal controls that are applied to the COF Procurement Card Program. The department will develop and implement a standard operating guideline that compliments the COF WEX policy and delineates responsibility of operational	Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established Delegation has been established within the Fire department with FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards. However, the department has provided input and is	Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established Delegation has been established within the department with FFD SOP #306 Use of Fuel Cards. However, the department has provided input and is awaiting

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A 2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent .			
112017					
		functions to a department level as appropriate. The department will further separate operational and reconciliatory responsibilities respectively between the logistics division supervisor and the Office Manager as a measure of segregation of duties. Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	awaiting the release of COF policy to realize true delegation of all responsibility related to fuel cards. The Finance department has developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire Department. The policy, to include the Purchasing division managing the maintenance of the cards, will be finalized once the 10 day review requirement by the Senior Management Team is completed. Anticipated completion date by the Finance department is October 31, 2019.	the release of COF policy to realize true delegation of all responsibility related to fuel cards.	
8	Management should ensure personnel sign a fuel usage agreement that details the terms and conditions on the proper use of the fuel card prior to issuing fuel cards.	This process should be described in the City of Fayetteville WEX Policy and enforced by the department. This recommendation will be facilitated through use of Power DMS. Responsible Party: Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established This recommendation will be addressed with release of the COF policy governing the use of fuel cards.	Awaiting finalization of citywide policy and Finance Department WEX fuel card processes to be established This recommendation will be addressed with release of the COF policy governing the use of fuel cards.	

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-05 WE	X Fuel Cards: Fire Departm	ent		
			The Finance department has developed a written city-wide policy with input from the Fire Department. The policy, to include the Purchasing division managing the maintenance of the cards, will be finalized once the 10 day review requirement by the Senior Management Team is completed. Anticipated completion date by the Finance	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department				

2.1	Internal Audit recommends	Implementation of a City policy will	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
	management of the Police	be established and the overall		
	Department implement the	procedures for the police	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	recommendations as presented in		Department took immediate	Department took immediate
	the audit report to ensure internal		action regarding the WEX fuel	action regarding WEX fuel card
	controls are established, followed,	, , , ,	card usage prior to the audit	usage relating to the
	maintained, and properly	1	including a memorandum that	observations presented in the
	documented to include a process		was submitted through	audit report. New procedures
	to ensure adequate follow-up	1 *	PowerDMS to all employees as	were established and
	regarding questionable fueling		guidance for the issuance of and	communicated to personnel.
	transactions is conducted.	vehicles may be a challenge and	the usage of the fuel cards.	Management continues to refine
		will need to be determined. This		the procedures based on best
		will be recommended but likely	In addition to evaluating best	practices and policies and
		monitored with daily accountability	practices, the FPD recommends	procedures will be codified and
		measures. Locations for fueling will	that the fuel cards be assigned	disseminated.
		also be evaluated by management.	to the employee for usage.	
				The review of options to
		Responsible Party: Assistant Chief		accomplish the
		Anthony Kelly		recommendation is being
				considered.
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		
				The WEX PIN 4 digit pin is
				assigned by the police
				department. Before employee
				generated PINs could be
				created the existing WEX
				account would need to be
				closed and then reopened. The

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019		
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department					
				department is waiting on a response from WEX to determine if pins can be created by each card holder, or if WEX can generate a unique identifier numbers for each card holder. Sgt. Lawson is still researching possible solutions for the recommendation. Other options regarding having the WEX card assigned to personnel as opposed to the vehicle would also resolve other issues presented by the Internal Audit.		
2.2	Management within the Police Department should consider changing the currently assigned WEX PINs to a confidential PIN.	Implementation of a City policy will be established and the overall procedures for the police department are presently being evaluated to ensure best practice and accountability. Verifying if the "Pins" are operationally able to be changed and reassigned will be a part of that evaluation. Determining a "secure" location within the vehicles may be a challenge and will need to be determined. This will be recommended but likely	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding the WEX fuel card usage prior to the audit including a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS to all employees as guidance for the issuance of and the usage of the fuel cards.	Departmental policy is still being developed and reviewed. The review of options to accomplish this recommendation will also be considered. The WEX PIN 4 digit pin is assigned by the police department. Before employee generated PINs could be		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Degrange	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
	-			
		monitored with daily accountability	practices, the FPD recommends	created the existing WEX
		measures. Locations for fueling will	that the fuel cards be assigned	account would need to be
		also be evaluated by management.	to the employee for usage.	closed and then reopened. The
				department is waiting on a
		Responsible Party: Assistant Chief		response from WEX to
		Anthony Kelly		determine if pins can be created
		T I 0/1/2010		by each card holder, or if WEX
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		can generate a unique identifier numbers for each card holder.
				Sgt. Lawson is still researching
				possible solutions for the
				recommendation. Other
				options regarding having the
				WEX card assigned to
				personnel as opposed to the
				vehicle would also resolve
				other issues presented by the
				Internal Audit.
2.3	Management should ensure WEX	Implementation of a City policy will	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	fuel card PINs are only assigned to personnel with the ability and need	be established and the overall procedures for the police	The Fayetteville Police	Departmental policy is being
	to use the fuel cards.	department are presently being	Department took immediate	developed and reviewed. The
	to use the fuel cards.	evaluated to ensure best practice	action regarding the WEX fuel	review of options to accomplish
		and accountability. Verifying if the	card usage prior to the audit	this recommendation is being
		"Pins" are operationally able to be	including a memorandum that	considered.
		changed and reassigned will be a	was submitted through	
		part of that evaluation. Determining	PowerDMS to all employees as	The WEX PIN 4 digit pin is
		a "secure" location within the	guidance for the issuance of and	assigned by the police

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	-		-	-
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
		vehicles may be a challenge and will need to be determined. This will be recommended but likely monitored with daily accountability measures. Locations for fueling will also be evaluated by management. Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	the usage of the fuel cards. In addition to evaluating best practices, the FPD recommends that the fuel cards be assigned to the employee for usage.	department. Before employee generated PINs could be created the existing WEX account would need to be closed and then reopened. The department is waiting on a response from WEX to determine if pins can be created by each card holder, or if WEX can generate a unique identifier numbers for each card holder. Sgt. Lawson is still researching possible solutions for the
				recommendation. Other options regarding having the WEX card assigned to personnel as opposed to the vehicle would also resolve other issues presented by the Internal Audit.
2.4	Assessment of a secure location in Police vehicles and equipment	Implementation of a City policy will be established and the overall	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
	should be completed to determine the safest location for fuel cards to be maintained. Policies should note suitable places to store the fuel card in City owned vehicles	procedures for the police department are presently being evaluated to ensure best practice and accountability. Verifying if the "Pins" are operationally able to be	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding the WEX fuel card usage prior to the audit including a memorandum that	Employees have been and continue to be instructed to secure the WEX card inside the assigned glove compartment and removed the card when the vehicle is turned in for
	and equipment.	changed and reassigned will be a	was submitted through	vehicle is turned

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

is being wed. The ccomplish will also he policy.
ved. The ccomplish will also
ccomplish will also
will also
ne policy.
city-wide
developed
impacted
The
vill be
olicies.
de ir vil

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
3.2	Ensure user departments are provided copies of the city-wide policies and procedures and are requested to comply and develop internal procedures for fuel cards. Training should be given to personnel that use WEX fuel cards to ensure understanding and adherence to the policies and procedures.	Departmental Procedures are being evaluated for best practices and will ensure they are in compliance with City-Wide policy. Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Partially Implemented The city-wide policy is currently being reviewed and recommendations are being made. In addition, all FPD employees signed off on a memorandum that was submitted through PowerDMS in January 2019 as it pertains to guidance for the issuance and usage of fuel cards.	Not Implemented City-wide and departmental policies are being developed and reviewed. The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding WEX fuel card usage relating to the observations presented in the audit report. New procedures were established and communicated to personnel. Management continues to refine the procedures based on best practices and policies and procedures will be codified and disseminated.
3.3	A process should be developed to ensure when personnel are no longer employed with the City, their WEX fuel card PIN number is deactivated immediately after their last day of employment.	Departmental Procedures are being evaluated for best practices and will ensure they are in compliance with City-Wide policy. Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland	The Fayetteville Police Department utilizes POL-122 for when an employee separates employment to ensure the fuel card is deactivated and the card is turned in with the vehicle through personnel assigned to	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding WEX fuel card usage relating to the observations presented in the audit report. New procedures were established and

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019	
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department				

		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Technical Services.	communicated to personnel.
				Management continues to refine
				the procedures based on best
				practices and policies and
				procedures will be codified and
				disseminated.
				Departmental and city-wide
				policies are being developed
				and reviewed.
3.4	Sharing PIN's should be	Departmental Procedures are being	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	considered a violation of policy.	evaluated for best practices and will		
		ensure they are in compliance with	The memorandum that was	The Fayetteville Police
		City-Wide policy.	submitted through PowerDMS	Department took immediate
			in January 2019 provides	action regarding WEX fuel card
		Responsible Party: Chief Gina V.	instructions for when an	usage relating to the
		Hawkins and Chief Financial	employee does not remember	observations presented in the
		Officer Jay Toland	their PIN and the steps to have	audit report. New procedures
			it reset.	were established and
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		communicated to personnel.
		_		Management continues to refine
				the procedures based on best
				practices and policies and
				procedures will be codified and
				disseminated.
				Departmental and City-wide
				policies are being developed

Implemented

and fuel usage of personnel.

Past Implementation Date

observations presented in the

audit report. New procedures

Partially Implemented

Officer Jay Toland

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	 -05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
4.1	N			and reviewed.
4.1	Management should ensure fuel consumption of each vehicle and personnel are monitored and reviewed and any abnormalities in fuel consumption, to include purchases of higher grade fuel, is investigated. Additionally, trend analysis should be performed to identify and justify increases/decreases in monthly fuel consumption.	This will be included with the Citywide policy and departmental procedure. Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial Officer Jay Toland Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented The Fayetteville Police Department instituted a fuel mileage log that is submitted biweekly to capture mileage and fuel usage of personnel. In addition, the Technical Services Unit, conducts monthly inspections that includes built-in reports to detect exceptions.	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate action regarding WEX fuel card usage relating to the observations presented in the audit report. New procedures were established and communicated to personnel. Management continues to refine the procedures based on best practices and policies and procedures will be codified and disseminated. Departmental and city-wide policies are being developed
4.2	Based on the type of apparatus/vehicle, management	This will be included with the Citywide policy and departmental	Implemented	and reviewed. Partially Implemented
	apparatus/vehicle, management should establish a mechanism to	procedure.	The Fayetteville Police	The Fayetteville Police
	track miles per gallon, assess		Department instituted a fuel	Department took immediate
	vehicle utilization and monitor	Responsible Party: Chief Gina V.	mileage log that is submitted	action regarding WEX fuel card
	potential abuse.	Hawkins and Chief Financial	biweekly to capture mileage	usage relating to the

KEY

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	_		<u>-</u>			
			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up		
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019		
A2019	A2019-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Department					
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		were established and		
				communicated to personnel.		
				Management continues to refine		
				the procedures based on best practices and policies and		
				procedures will be codified and		
				disseminated.		
				<u> </u>		
				Departmental and city-wide		
				policies are being developed		
				and reviewed.		
4.3	Although the WEX system allows	This will be included with the City-	Implemented	Partially Implemented		
	fuel card controls, establishment	wide policy and departmental	TI F " 'II D I'			
	of a process to review for exceptions is recommended.	procedure.	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate	The Fayetteville Police Department took immediate		
	exceptions is recommended.	Responsible Party: Chief Gina V.	action regarding the WEX fuel	action regarding WEX fuel card		
		Hawkins and Chief Financial	card usage prior to the aduit	usage relating to the		
		Officer Jay Toland	including a memorandum that	observations presented in the		
		•	was submitted through	audit report. New procedures		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	PowerDMS in January 2019 to	were established and		
			all employees as guidance for	communicated to personnel.		
			the issuance of and the usage of	Management continues to refine		
			the fuel cards.	the procedures based on best		
				practices and policies and procedures will be codified and		
				disseminated.		
				dissemilated.		
				Departmental and city-wide		

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

			Management Follow-up	Management Follow-up
	Recommendation	Management Response	Response – October 24, 2019	Response – August 8, 2019
A 2010	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tun on t		
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Carus: Fonce Depart	unent		
				policies are being developed
				and reviewed.
5.1	Internal Audit recommends the	This will occur with the	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Police Department implement a	development of the departmental		
	fuel card inventory process. At a	procedure as well as evaluating the	The Fayetteville Police	As of April 30, 2019, the Police
	minimum, the following should be	best practice for the future.	Department has completed a	Department had conducted
	performed:	B H B A A COLO	100% inventory of all WEX	inventories of all fuel cards and
	1 D	Responsible Party: Assistant Chief	fuel cards. This was completed	is currently in the evaluation of
	1. Require an annual physical verification of all	Anthony Kelly	by Technical Services.	the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and
	fuel cards, as evidenced	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards.
	by signature and date of	implementation Date: 9/1/2019		miscenaneous fuer cards.
	the personnel conducting			Ongoing, the police department
	the inventory and the			will be conducting an inventory
	cardholder assigned to the			of all WEX cards during the
	vehicle;			Quadrennial inspection before
	·			the end of 2019. The police
				department will conduct an
				inspection twice a year to
				ensure accountability. This
				process will be reflected in the
				departmental policies and
5.0	Y . 1 A 10	TDI: 11 11 11 11		procedures.
5.2	Internal Audit recommends the	This will occur with the	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a	development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the	The Fayetteville Police	As of April 30, 2019, the Police
	minimum, the following should be	best practice for the future.	Department has completed a	Department had conducted
	performed:	best practice for the future.	100% inventory of all WEX	inventories of all fuel cards and
	performed.		100% inventory of all WEA	inventories of all fuel cards allu

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
	2. Unaccounted for fuel	Responsible Party: Assistant Chief	fuel cards. This was completed	took immediate action relating
	cards should be	Anthony Kelly	by Technical Services and any	to fuel cards that could not be
	deactivated immediately;		fuel cards that were	accounted for during the
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	unaccounted for, were deactivated.	inventory.
				Ongoing, the police department will be conducting an inventory of all WEX cards during the Quadrennial inspection before the end of 2019. The police department will conduct an inspection twice a year to ensure accountability. This process will be reflected in the departmental policies and procedures, to include deactivating unaccounted for fuel cards.
5.3	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a	This will occur with the development of the departmental	Implemented	Partially Implemented
	fuel card inventory process. At a	procedure as well as evaluating the	The Fayetteville Police	As of April 30, 2019, the Police
	minimum, the following should be	best practice for the future.	Department has a system	Department had conducted
	performed:		already in place that identifies	inventories of all fuel cards and
		Responsible Party: Assistant Chief	the assignment of fuel cards.	is currently in the evaluation of
	3. Management should	Anthony Kelly		the process of maintaining the
	develop and maintain a			spare fuel cards and
	listing of vehicles and the	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		miscellaneous fuel cards. The
	fuel cards assigned, to			inventory process is being

Not Implemented

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
_				
	include spare and miscellaneous fuel cards;			established in policies and procedures.
5.4	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 4. Management should ensure cancellation of fuel cards due to disposal/surplus is completed on a timely basis and are followed-up to ensure they are deactivated;	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future. Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	The Fayetteville Police Department has completed a 100% inventory of all WEX fuel cards. This was completed by Technical Services and any fuel cards that were unaccounted for, were deactivated.	As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards. The inventory process is being established in policies and procedures, to include cancelling fuel cards due to disposal/surplus.
5.5	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 5. Establish a process in which fuel cards without activity for a given period of time are deactivated; and	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future. Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Partially Implemented The Fayetteville Police Department will conduct a 6 month review to determine if a fuel card has not been utilized and make the determination if the fuel card should be deactivated.	Partially Implemented As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards. The inventory process is being established in policies and procedures, to include

Not Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

Partially Implemented

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depar	tment		
				deactivating fuel cards without activity.
5.6	Internal Audit recommends the Police Department implement a fuel card inventory process. At a minimum, the following should be performed: 6. The Police Department should immediately complete a physical inventory of all WEX fuel cards to ensure existence and immediately deactivate all fuel cards not located.	This will occur with the development of the departmental procedure as well as evaluating the best practice for the future. Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards	As of April 30, 2019, the Police Department had conducted inventories of all fuel cards and is currently in the evaluation of the process of maintaining the spare fuel cards and miscellaneous fuel cards
6	Management should utilize the controls within the WEX fuel card online system when possible, and when not possible, alternative controls should be put in place to detect exceptions.	The existing controls will be evaluated to determine which thresholds need to be increased. Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Anthony Kelly Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	Implemented The Fayetteville Police Department through our Technical Services Unit, conducts monthly inspections that includes built-in reports to detect exceptions.	Not Implemented The department is awaiting a response back from WEX management team to determine the process of limiting the purchases to vehicle products such as gas and oil.
7	Internal Audit recommends WEX fuel card administration responsibilities be reassigned in order to achieve an effective	Management Concurs Responsible Party: Chief Gina V. Hawkins and Chief Financial	Partially Implemented The city-wide policy is currently being reviewed and	Not Implemented Awaiting final city-wide policy to ensure this is accomplished.

Not Implemented

Implemented

Partially Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	tment		
		0.00		
	separation.	Officer Jay Toland	recommendations are being	
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	made.	
8	Management should ensure	This will be a part of the overall	Partially Implemented	Not Implemented
	personnel sign a fuel usage	departmental training of the City-		
	agreement that details the terms and conditions on the proper use	wide policy.	The city-wide policy is	Awaiting final departmental
	of the fuel card prior to issuing	Responsible Party: Assistant Chief	currently being reviewed and recommendations are being	and city-wide policy to ensure this is accomplished.
	fuel cards.	Anthony Kelly	made.	tins is accompnished.
		1 1110110111		
		Implementation Date: 9/1/2019	In addition, all FPD employees	
			signed off on a memorandum	
			that was submitted through	
			PowerDMS in January 2019 as	
			it pertains to guidance for the issuance and usage of fuel	
			cards.	
			cards.	
9	Internal Audit recommends the	The evaluation of the entire process	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented
	Police Department implement the	will include clear internal controls		
	recommendations as previously	and documented accountability of	The city-wide policy is	The Fayetteville Police
	presented in the above findings to	the process.	currently being reviewed and	Department took immediate
	ensure internal controls are established, followed, maintained,	Responsible Party: Chief Gina V.	recommendations are being made.	action regarding WEX fuel card usage relating to the
	and properly documented to	Hawkins	maue.	observations presented in the
	include a process to ensure	TIW WAIIIO		audit report. New procedures
	adequate follow-up regarding	Implementation Date: 9/1/2019		were established and
	questionable fueling transactions.	_		communicated to personnel.

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-05 WEX Fuel Cards: Police Depart	ment		
				Management continues to refine the procedures based on best practices and policies and procedures will be codified and disseminated.
				Awaiting final departmental and city-wide policy to ensure this is accomplished.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-06 Code Violation Enforcement and Collections				

112017	-00 Couc violation Emorcement an	a concensus		
1.1	The Office of Internal Audit	The development of the policies and	Not Implemented	Not Applicable – Audit report
	recommends management:	procedures must be in accord with		presented at August 8, 2019
		the city attorney practices which	The Development Services	Audit Committee meeting.
	1. Develop comprehensive	create multiple, non-linear paths for	department has been without an	
	policies and procedures that	code compliance. We will work	assistant director since the work	
	provide consistent code	various departments to continue	of the audit and the initial report	
	enforcement, to include but not	creating the balance of not becoming	to the Audit Committee. The	
	limited to: (a) number of re-	"too policy-constrained to be	schedule of actions recognized	
	inspections and extensions	responsive and one that permits too	the impact of this vacant	
	allowed; (b) number of days	much freedom to generate focused	position and realistically placed	
	allowed to correct specific	activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with	implementation dates to reflect	
	code enforcement violations;	more written policies and procedures	the short-staffing impact. Still,	
	(c) expectations of timely re-	than presently exist. Currently, 69	work is underway by others to	
	inspections; (d) when	types of city code violations are	move the implementation	
	exceptions are applicable to the	handled by the division. Design by	forward.	
	standard enforcement process;	category of city ordinance violations	In Contambon the Department	
	and (e) approval and	will be initially categorized to create	In September, the Department Director trained two individuals	
	documentation process for	designs for code violation types		
	exceptions.	standardizing all case types with the to-be-revised city code ordinances	on process mapping to create	
		that also will become more	graphics on the processes used in various code enforcement	
		standardized.	activities. In turn, the division	
		standardized.	continues to work on process	
		The immediate application of the	mapping to more completely	
		city's newly created nuisance	document the variety of existing	
		properties ordinance is underway.	code enforcement actions.	
		The newly formed nuisance	thereby creating the needed	
		ordinance will have relatable	steps for developing the	
		ordinance win have relatable	steps for developing the	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-	06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
			1. 1.	
		policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold	complete policies and procedures. The two types of	
		this provision into the new	process mapping events focused	
		procedures. The division will further	on demolitions and general code	
		coordinate with the Fayetteville	enforcement actions. Doing	
		Police Department in encouraging	these allows us to also see the	
		activities connected to the RAMP	impact of the various city	
		program that presents opportunities	ordinances on timelines of	
		for additional code enforcement of	actions.	
		the most challenging rental housing	XX7 1 1 C 1 1 1	
		properties.	We are ahead of schedule on item 1.1.	
		The division manager and	item 1.1.	
		supervisors presently meet weekly		
		with the code enforcement team.		
		They will immediately begin an		
		element of training as a team and		
		individual inspector training as new		
		written policies are created. Fine		
		tuning of draft policies will occur in		
		conjunction with the front-line		
		enforcement team and the legal team to ensure defensible practices exist		
		with all personnel. Quarterly quality		
		reviews will occur with evidenced		
		reports presented back to the		
		Development Services Assistant		
		Director.		

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		Responsible Party: Housing & Code Enforcement Division Manager		
		Implementation Date: 06/30/2020		
1.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management: 2. Ensure personnel are trained on comprehensive policies and procedures.	The development of the policies and procedures must be in accord with the city attorney practices which create multiple, non-linear paths for code compliance. We will work various departments to continue creating the balance of not becoming "too policy-constrained to be responsive and one that permits too much freedom to generate focused activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with more written policies and procedures than presently exist. Currently, 69 types of city code violations are handled by the division. Design by category of city ordinance violations will be initially categorized to create designs for code violation types standardizing all case types with the to-be-revised city code ordinances that also will become more standardized.	Through the development of the flowcharts created by the process mapping, Code Enforcement personnel has begun. This will continue in direct relation to the preparation of the items of 1.2. We are ahead of schedule on item 1.2.	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at August 8, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-06	Code Violation Enforcement a	and Collections		
		The immediate application of the city's newly created nuisance properties ordinance is underway. The newly formed nuisance ordinance will have relatable policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold this provision into the new procedures. The division will further coordinate with the Fayetteville Police Department in encouraging activities connected to the RAMP program that presents opportunities for additional code enforcement of the most challenging rental housing properties. The division manager and supervisors presently meet weekly with the code enforcement team. They will immediately begin an element of training as a team and individual inspector training as new written policies are created. Fine		
		tuning of draft policies will occur in conjunction with the front-line enforcement team and the legal team to ensure defensible practices exist		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
1.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends management: 3. Develop a documented quality review program to ensure compliance with comprehensive policies and procedures.	with all personnel. Quarterly quality reviews will occur with evidenced reports presented back to the Development Services Assistant Director. Responsible Party: Housing & Code Enforcement Division Manager Implementation Date: 06/30/2020 The development of the policies and procedures must be in accord with the city attorney practices which create multiple, non-linear paths for code compliance. We will work various departments to continue creating the balance of not becoming "too policy-constrained to be responsive and one that permits too much freedom to generate focused activity" (O'Malley, 2010) with more written policies and procedures than presently exist. Currently, 69 types of city code violations are handled by the division. Design by category of city ordinance violations will be initially categorized to create	Not Implemented 1.3 activities occur as items 1.1 and 1.2 are rolled out. Therefore, this one has not begun in the two months since the presentation of audit findings. This is as planned.	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at August 8, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		designs for code violation types standardizing all case types with the to-be-revised city code ordinances that also will become more standardized. The immediate application of the city's newly created nuisance properties ordinance is underway. The newly formed nuisance ordinance will have relatable policies and practices to blend in the existing citation letters and then fold this provision into the new procedures. The division will further coordinate with the Fayetteville Police Department in encouraging activities connected to the RAMP program that presents opportunities for additional code enforcement of the most challenging rental housing properties.		
		The division manager and supervisors presently meet weekly with the code enforcement team. They will immediately begin an		
		element of training as a team and		

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		individual inspector training as new		
		written policies are created. Fine		
		tuning of draft policies will occur in		
		conjunction with the front-line		
		enforcement team and the legal team		
		to ensure defensible practices exist		
		with all personnel. Quarterly quality reviews will occur with evidenced		
		reports presented back to the		
		Development Services Assistant		
		Director.		
		Director.		
		Responsible Party: Housing &		
		Code Enforcement Division		
		Manager		
		Implementation Date: 06/30/2020		
2.1	The Office of Internal Audit	The current city ordinances create	Not Implemented	Not Applicable – Audit report
	recommends:	varying times for compliance and		presented at August 8, 2019
		different citation charges. US	2.1. As the Audit was being	Audit Committee meeting.
	1. Development Services	Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana,	finalized, Development Services	
	management should coordinate	2019) implications of due process	and the City Attorney's office	
	with the City Attorney's office	and prohibiting excessive fines will	had begun the first of the city	
	to update the City Code of	help frame the review of existing	code adjustments. We are also	
	Ordinances as deemed	ordinances, fee schedules, and	working these in conjunction	
	necessary and ensure	practices.	with the state's new statute,	
	comprehensive policies and		160D, to continue work on the	
	procedures are in agreement.		matching of not only	

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019-	06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
	Any updates to the City Code of Ordinances should ensure compliance with North Carolina General Statutes.	coordinate with the City Attorney's	enforcement procedures to city ordinances, but also state statutes and US Supreme Court actions of 2019. We are on schedule with item 2.1.	

Partially Implemented

Implemented

Past Implementation Date

	1 tot implemented	Turing implemented	Implemented	
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services		
		Implementation Date: 03/30/2020		
2.2	The Office of Internal Audit recommends: 2. Management should obtain written guidance from the City Attorney's office for the enforcement of code violations that deviate from the standard code enforcement cases.	The current city ordinances create varying times for compliance and different citation charges. US Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana, 2019) implications of due process and prohibiting excessive fines will help frame the review of existing ordinances, fee schedules, and practices. Development Services will coordinate with the City Attorney's office in producing suggested City Ordinance changes to address existing incongruities. Prior city policies will be updated with the City Attorney's office as in prior practice. City Ordinance revisions and adjustments will need City Council approval. Existing practices will be converted to more formal written processes dealing with site and structure	2.2. The Department Director spent time with the City Attorney's personnel to begin this activity in the absence of an assistant director. Additionally, the director observed a series of appeal hearings of various types of code enforcement cases to further appreciate the nuanced approach that is needed to creating a flexible standard that will be necessary in implementing this activity 2.2. This item is ahead of schedule.	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at August 8, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY

Not Implemented

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019
A2019	-06 Code Violation Enforcement an	d Collections		
		specific circumstances and general best practices for case management.		
		An existing practice of an annual review of betterment practices for the department will continue to fold in the meetings with the City Attorney's office has occurred prior to this audit which identified this need, independent of the audit, and has resulted in City Ordinance changes earlier in 2019. Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services Implementation Date: 03/30/2020		
2.3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends: 3. Management should develop a process for reviewing the City's Code of Ordinances, as it pertains to code enforcement, to ensure updates are made as necessary.	Implementation Date: 03/30/2020 The current city ordinances create varying times for compliance and different citation charges. US Supreme Court (Timbs v. Indiana, 2019) implications of due process and prohibiting excessive fines will help frame the review of existing ordinances, fee schedules, and practices.	Not Implemented 2.3. This item is underway in conjunction with 2.1, and is considered on schedule.	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at August 8, 2019 Audit Committee meeting.

KEY No	t Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date			
Re	commendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019			
A2019-06 Code Violation Enforcement and Collections							
		Development Services will coordinate with the City Attorney's office in producing suggested City Ordinance changes to address existing incongruities. Prior city policies will be updated with the City Attorney's office as in prior practice. City Ordinance revisions and adjustments will need City Council approval. Existing practices will be converted to more formal written processes dealing with site and structure specific circumstances and general best practices for case management. An existing practice of an annual review of betterment practices for the department will continue to fold in the meetings with the City Attorney's office has occurred prior to this audit which identified this need, independent of the audit, and has resulted in City Ordinance					

KEY	Not Implemented	Partially Implemented	Implemented	Past Implementation Date			
	Recommendation	Management Response	Management Follow-up Response – October 24, 2019	Management Follow-up Response – August 8, 2019			
A2019-06 Code Violation Enforcement and Collections							
3	The Office of Internal Audit recommends:	Responsible Party: Assistant Director, Development Services Implementation Date: 03/30/2020 The lack of delineation was determined in January 2019 by the Development Services Director and	Not Implemented	Not Applicable – Audit report presented at August 8, 2019			
	Development Services management should coordinate with the Finance Department to develop comprehensive policies and procedures showing clear lines of departmental responsibilities regarding the assessment and collections of abatements costs, civil penalties and administrative fees, to include but not limited to the authority to waive/reduce abatement costs, collection agency reporting and periodic reconciliations.	Assistant Director. Coordination began with the newly appointed Chief Financial Officer to work through the roles of each department. The formalizing of these policies is targeted to occur within the first six months of the current vacant position being filled. The first three months of the new person as the Assistant Director will allow an acclimation of overall duties and documentation of the process in place and issues to resolve. Responsible Party: Assistant	3. Activity on this item is still designed to begin within the first six months of the hiring of an assistant director. The process of finding and hiring a qualified applicant continues. It should be noted that this item of delineating Finance Department and Development Services clear lines is ongoing as it was identified outside of the audit in January 2019. The focus of this finding to code enforcement is still on schedule.	Audit Committee meeting.			
		Director, Development Service Implementation Date: 03/30/2020					