

the request that the age limit be reduced, but at that time the Council did not see fit to approve the suggestion and he would like to make the request again. It is his opinion that this group of men has the right to employ persons of good character at the age of 18 and over and since according to the state law, it would be their right, they would appreciate it if the Council could see fit to agree that they do have the right and not to infringe on this right.

Mayor Herndon stated that the Beer Dealers have been most cooperative with the College Drives and other projects of the City, and the Beer Dealers have been asked to do this as a cooperative measure, to keep down some of the trouble that has been created in their places of business. Mayor Herndon stated that the Councilmen feel that this restriction is a good one, and they would like to further request the cooperation of the beer dealers.

Councilman Rose stated that even though they feel that they do have the right, they should be willing to cooperate and not to hire anyone under the 21 age limit. He does not think anyone under this age should be allowed in a beer establishment, and on this belief, he made a motion that the request be denied. Motion seconded by Councilman Plummer, unanimously carried.

Mr. Sol Novin stated that they have tried to hire girls 21 years of age and up and they are not available. He stated further that his business has been off and it is now a matter of staying in or going out of business for him.

Mr. McLain stated that he respects Councilman Rose's opinion, but he believes that it must be very clear to the group that the law is contrary to this action, and it is difficult for him to see how the Council can go on record as opposing the law.

Councilman Plummer stated that at a previous meeting action was taken approving removal of 30 feet of the Median of Churchill Drive. On checking this area, he finds that he made a mistake in making the motion as he thought the median went all the way to the end of Churchill Drive to Morganton Road, and he would now like to rescind his motion, if possible. Since the median is already so far back from the intersection, he would like to request that the Engineering Department put up some stakes or "No U Turn" signs, instead of tearing up the grass etc. After some discussion, Councilman Plummer made the motion that the action of the City Council at previous meeting be revoked, for removing 30 feet of the median on Churchill Drive. Motion seconded by Councilman Rose, and unanimously carried. There being no further business, on motion of Councilman Rose, seconded by Councilman Plummer, the meeting was adjourned.

L. J. Platt
City Clerk -

Regular Meeting
City Council - Fayetteville, N.C.
April 10, 1961

The regular meeting of the City Council was held in the Court Room of the City Hall at 8:00 P.M. on April 10, 1961.

Present:

Mayor George B. Herndon

Councilmen:

Eugene Plummer

Sol C. Rose

Ernest L. Massei

G.W. Ray, City Manager

J.O. Tally, Jr., City Attorney

Public hearing having been duly advertised and there being no opposition present, on motion of Councilman Massei, seconded by Councilman Plummer, the following resolution was unanimously adopted:

FINAL RESOLUTION REQUIRING THE PAVING,
PURSUANT TO PETITION OF IMPERIAL DRIVE

After careful study and consideration of the matter and of all pertinent facts and circumstances, including engineering and planning studies and advice, and in the exercise of its best legislative judgment, The City Council of Fayetteville, North Carolina, finds as fact that:

1) A petition, pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 160-82, et seq was filed with the City Council of Fayetteville, N.C. requesting the paving and other below described improvement of Imperial Drive, and said petition is in due and sufficient form, containing a general description of the paving and other improvement proposed for said street, and requesting that such paving and other improvement be made in conformity with the applicable provisions of law, and that the proportion of the cost of such paving and other improvement below specified be specially assessed against the property abutting on said street, and said petition has been signed by at least a majority in number of the owners, who own at least a majority of all lineal feet of frontage, of the lands abutting on said street.

and

2) THE RESOLUTION AND ORDER adopted at its meeting on the 27th day of March, 1961 by the City Council of the City of Fayetteville, N.C. entitled "PRELIMINARY RESOLUTION REQUIRING THE PAVING, PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION OF IMPERIAL DRIVE", having been duly published on the 31 day of March, 1961, in THE FAYETTEVILLE OBSERVER, a newspaper published in the City of Fayetteville, North Carolina, giving notice of a meeting of the City Council to be held on the 10th day of April, 1961, at 8:00 o'clock P.M., in the Court room at the City Hall of Fayetteville N.C., when all objections to the legality of making the proposed improvements were to be made in writing, signed in person or at attorney, filed with the Clerk of the City of Fayetteville, at or before said time, and that any such objections not so made would be waived, and objections to the legality, as well as to the policy or expediency, of the making of said improvements not having been filed or made (or having been filed and made, which objections were duly considered by said City Council, and none of said objections were sustained);

and

3) The public interest, safety, convenience and general welfare requires the paving and other below described improvement of Imperial Drive;

and

4) The property abutting on said street to be so paved and improved will be benefited by such pavement and improvement to the extent of the part of the cost thereof to be assessed, as stated below, against such abutting property;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE, N.C., DOES ORDER THAT:

1) All of that portion of Imperial Drive which lies between Cain Road and the end of the dedicated portion, shall be paved and curbs and gutters laid thereon, the cost of such improvement (exclusive of so much of said cost as is incurred at street intersections) to be specially assessed in the amount of two-thirds thereof upon the lots and parcels of land abutting upon said improved street portions according to the extent of the respective frontage thereon by an equal rate per foot of such frontage, to be paid after completion of such work and within thirty (30) days after notice of assessment, in cash with no interest, or in five equal annual installments, bearing annual interest at six percent, payable annually.